

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:01.480

Deb (Guest)

Board of licensing.

00:00:01.530 --> 00:00:20.950

Deb (Guest)

Uhm for Washington, it is now 10:03 AM and I'm calling this regular board meeting of the Washington Board of licensure for landscape architects to order the board will provide an opportunity for public comment during the meeting and we will ask you to unmute prior to the public comment period.

00:00:22.080 --> 00:00:42.530

Deb (Guest)

To allow anyone who would like to speak to provide your name, so that end, we would ask you to provide us your name so that we can make sure to call on you during the public comment period. But as a courtesy could all of you remember to mute your mikes to reduce the background noise when others are speaking.

00:00:43.290 --> 00:01:00.380

Deb (Guest)

Uhm or if you are not speaking, but please remember to unmute when you desire to speak so for board members to help us capture the information correctly. Please state your name so that Kim can record it with the minutes.

00:01:01.080 --> 00:01:04.910

Deb (Guest)

Thanks Kim could you please take the role.

00:01:05.970 --> 00:01:08.350

Hall, Kimberly (DOL)

Yes, good morning, first Deborah.

00:01:08.940 --> 00:01:09.520

Deb (Guest)

Yes.

00:01:10.230 --> 00:01:10.820

Hall, Kimberly (DOL)

Darren.

00:01:14.870 --> 00:01:15.510

Hall, Kimberly (DOL)

Sharon.

00:01:15.940 --> 00:01:17.010

Sharon (Guest)

Yep, come here.

00:01:17.730 --> 00:01:18.230
Hall, Kimberly (DOL)
Lynn.

00:01:19.680 --> 00:01:20.090
Len Zickler
Here.

00:01:20.730 --> 00:01:21.370
Hall, Kimberly (DOL)
Curtis.

00:01:22.230 --> 00:01:22.660
Curtis LaPierre
Here.

00:01:23.700 --> 00:01:26.310
Hall, Kimberly (DOL)
OK, thank you and staff Julia.

00:01:27.870 --> 00:01:28.250
Manley, Julia (DOL)
Here.

00:01:29.090 --> 00:01:29.670
Hall, Kimberly (DOL)
Rick.

00:01:30.640 --> 00:01:31.290
Storvick, Richard (DOL)
Here.

00:01:33.380 --> 00:01:33.970
Hall, Kimberly (DOL)
Deb.

00:01:35.460 --> 00:01:35.890
Allen-Ba, Debra (DOL)
Here.

00:01:35.660 --> 00:01:36.050
Deb (Guest)
Here.

00:01:37.870 --> 00:01:39.010
Hall, Kimberly (DOL)
Other devs sorry.

00:01:38.750 --> 00:01:41.920

Deb (Guest)

Other dev you have another dev now on staff huh?

00:01:40.000 --> 00:01:40.900

Allen-Ba, Debra (DOL)

2 of us. Sorry.

00:01:40.230 --> 00:01:40.650

Hall, Kimberly (DOL)

Uh.

00:01:42.330 --> 00:01:43.890

Hall, Kimberly (DOL)

Yes, Uh Anisa.

00:01:45.990 --> 00:01:46.480

Bonham, Aneesa (DOL)

Here.

00:01:47.310 --> 00:01:47.860

Hall, Kimberly (DOL)

Keith.

00:01:48.690 --> 00:01:49.110

Peterson, Keith (DOL)

Here.

00:01:50.840 --> 00:01:52.700

Hall, Kimberly (DOL)

And I I Lisabeth.

00:01:54.990 --> 00:01:55.540

Elizabeth Lagerberg (Guest)

I'm here.

00:01:56.850 --> 00:02:02.670

Hall, Kimberly (DOL)

Thank you. I I can't see everybody else is squares, so if I missed anybody who wants to be reported.

Please say your name now.

00:02:04.730 --> 00:02:08.770

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

I think you also have some licensing staff, it looks like Tonya is with us.

00:02:04.830 --> 00:02:05.500

Hessler, Tanya (DOL)

This is Bob,

00:02:09.300 --> 00:02:09.690

Hall, Kimberly (DOL)

K.

00:02:09.300 --> 00:02:09.800

Hessler, Tanya (DOL)

I'm here.

00:02:14.080 --> 00:02:14.510

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And.

00:02:15.280 --> 00:02:16.980

Manley, Julia (DOL)

A nice as as well.

00:02:15.580 --> 00:02:16.030

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

And.

00:02:17.410 --> 00:02:18.250

Hall, Kimberly (DOL)

We got any sun.

00:02:21.040 --> 00:02:22.650

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

And Sherry Honeywell.

00:02:26.610 --> 00:02:28.800

Hall, Kimberly (DOL)

Alright so I'm gonna count.

00:02:27.900 --> 00:02:28.340

Deb (Guest)

Alright.

00:02:31.480 --> 00:02:39.630

Deb (Guest)

OK, so the first order of business is to approve the agenda, which requires an uh emotion for approval.

00:02:43.860 --> 00:02:44.780

Deb (Guest)

Do I hear a motion?

00:02:43.940 --> 00:02:47.220

Daren Crabill

This is Danielle I'll move to approve as written.

00:02:50.100 --> 00:02:50.570

Deb (Guest)

So.

00:02:52.480 --> 00:02:53.610

Len Zickler

I'll second this one.

00:02:52.550 --> 00:02:53.000

Deb (Guest)

Do I have?

00:02:54.490 --> 00:02:55.060

Deb (Guest)

OK.

00:02:58.370 --> 00:02:59.440

Deb (Guest)

All in favor.

00:03:00.610 --> 00:03:01.020

Deb (Guest)

Hi.

00:03:01.200 --> 00:03:01.570

Len Zickler

Hi.

00:03:02.000 --> 00:03:02.270

Daren Crabill

Hey.

00:03:02.880 --> 00:03:03.380

Sharon (Guest)

I.

00:03:04.930 --> 00:03:05.340

Curtis LaPierre

Alright.

00:03:06.760 --> 00:03:07.900

Deb (Guest)

Any opposed.

00:03:09.320 --> 00:03:10.840

Deb (Guest)

OK passes.

00:03:11.820 --> 00:03:24.080

Deb (Guest)

So the next order of business is the approval of the minutes. We have you had a chance to review the minutes and are there any comments on it before we take a motion for approval.

00:03:28.310 --> 00:03:30.650

Deb (Guest)

Do we have a motion for approval of the minutes.

00:03:34.250 --> 00:03:35.340

Daren Crabill

This is Darren also move.

00:03:37.010 --> 00:03:38.380

Sharon (Guest)

This is Sharon I'll second.

00:03:39.860 --> 00:03:42.520

Deb (Guest)

OK, all in favor aye.

00:03:43.880 --> 00:03:44.180

Daren Crabill

Hi.

00:03:44.650 --> 00:03:45.020

Sharon (Guest)

Hi.

00:03:46.600 --> 00:03:46.970

Curtis LaPierre

Hi.

00:03:49.570 --> 00:03:50.670

Deb (Guest)

Any opposed.

00:03:52.950 --> 00:03:55.340

Deb (Guest)

All right, the motion is approved.

00:03:56.950 --> 00:04:04.020

Deb (Guest)

They minutes are approved, so we have a the next order of business is review of communications.

00:04:05.100 --> 00:04:08.330

Deb (Guest)

Uh on page 8 of your packet.

00:04:10.050 --> 00:04:10.570

Deb (Guest)

Oops.

00:04:11.760 --> 00:04:13.940

Deb (Guest)

Sorry I'm moving around on my computer here.

00:04:23.960 --> 00:04:35.090

Deb (Guest)

We have a communication from the California Architects Board and Landscape Architecture Landscape Architects Technical Committee. Have you had a chance to review that?

00:04:38.230 --> 00:04:38.670

Curtis LaPierre

Yes.

00:04:38.270 --> 00:04:39.280

Len Zickler

Yes, land here.

00:04:41.600 --> 00:04:56.770

Deb (Guest)

So the background on the communications in isn't is included in the packet about the proposal of chains. Changes to the uniform standards in the Model Law and we will be discussing.

00:04:57.400 --> 00:05:00.030

Deb (Guest)

Uhm the changes to the Model Law.

00:05:03.650 --> 00:05:04.520

Deb (Guest)

Let's see.

00:05:05.870 --> 00:05:08.720

Deb (Guest)

I guess this is the place to go ahead and discuss that.

00:05:11.650 --> 00:05:12.140

Deb (Guest)

Let's see.

00:05:11.690 --> 00:05:13.870

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Yeah, the letter, the letter was just for.

00:05:13.170 --> 00:05:15.430

Deb (Guest)

It's under under new business? Yeah.

00:05:15.550 --> 00:05:19.360

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Right yeah, the letter was just for a review you know, so the board.

00:05:16.400 --> 00:05:16.770

Deb (Guest)

The.

00:05:19.510 --> 00:05:21.280

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Uhm was aware of.

00:05:22.040 --> 00:05:24.020

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Vielleicht stamps on.

00:05:25.270 --> 00:05:27.120

Manley, Julia (DOL)

The the proposed changes.

00:05:27.570 --> 00:05:27.980

Deb (Guest)

Right.

00:05:29.870 --> 00:05:39.680

Deb (Guest)

So apparently California is not exactly in favor of these standards because they don't align with their own statutes.

00:05:40.610 --> 00:05:46.080

Deb (Guest)

And, which are 6 years instead of 8 years primarily.

00:05:47.470 --> 00:05:48.520

Deb (Guest)

So it.

00:05:49.480 --> 00:05:51.160

Deb (Guest)

The Clarks standards are.

00:05:51.210 --> 00:05:54.390

Deb (Guest)

There are significantly more.

00:05:54.440 --> 00:06:02.600

Deb (Guest)

Uhm onerous as far as being a barrier to license your in the opinion of the California board or committee.

00:06:04.390 --> 00:06:05.420

Deb (Guest)

Do I have that correct?

00:06:07.650 --> 00:06:08.170

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Correct.

00:06:08.060 --> 00:06:08.560

Curtis LaPierre

Yes.

00:06:09.100 --> 00:06:11.260

Deb (Guest)

OK, so uhm.

00:06:12.440 --> 00:06:13.540

Deb (Guest)

I think that.

00:06:14.170 --> 00:06:19.810

Deb (Guest)

We can just kind of file that away until we're ready to talk about the actual uniform standards.

00:06:20.740 --> 00:06:23.000

Deb (Guest)

And what are opinions are on those?

00:06:24.130 --> 00:06:24.780

Deb (Guest)

Uhm.

00:06:26.420 --> 00:06:31.990

Deb (Guest)

Let's see I don't see any other communications do we have any other communications.

00:06:32.840 --> 00:06:35.860

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Nope, not for this meeting it was that was all we received.

00:06:37.600 --> 00:06:38.990

Deb (Guest)

I'm sorry could you say that again.

00:06:40.190 --> 00:06:41.740

Manley, Julia (DOL)

No no other communications.

00:06:42.300 --> 00:06:42.680

Deb (Guest)

OK.

00:06:43.510 --> 00:06:44.120

Deb (Guest)

Uhm.

00:06:45.340 --> 00:06:58.140

Deb (Guest)

So the carb uniform standards is the next item of business and I know that I have some thoughts on them and I hope that the rest of you have reviewed them and have your own thoughts on them.

00:06:59.130 --> 00:07:05.620

Deb (Guest)

And even if we did in my opinion, it even if we did stick with the 8 years.

00:07:06.990 --> 00:07:07.660

Deb (Guest)

Uhm.

00:07:08.900 --> 00:07:15.550

Deb (Guest)

The they're the clerk proposal doesn't give any credit for.

00:07:17.950 --> 00:07:49.230

Deb (Guest)

Architecture and engineering as far as reducing the number of years of experience required and I don't think that that really makes a lot of sense because much of what landscape architects study in the education component of our licensure is covered in engineering and architecture. We have had a lot of discussions with the architecture boards and the engineering discussions about the overlap in our professions.

00:07:50.030 --> 00:07:57.940

Deb (Guest)

And it doesn't seem appropriate to me for those standards to remain at as though.

00:07:58.940 --> 00:08:02.480

Deb (Guest)

The students have or the graduates have only.

00:08:03.230 --> 00:08:14.290

Deb (Guest)

You know like at the same as if they were you know had a psychology degree or a you know medical degree or something. So I would like to hear from the rest of the board members.

00:08:17.140 --> 00:08:24.850

Curtis LaPierre

This is Curtis I was a little surprised by that it seems to be a bit of a change in philosophy.

00:08:25.480 --> 00:08:26.090

Curtis LaPierre

Uh.

00:08:27.130 --> 00:08:28.010

Curtis LaPierre

When I was.

00:08:28.720 --> 00:08:41.280

Curtis LaPierre

Applying to be clocked certified uh I had very little time actually working under a landscape architect. But lots of time working with engineers and in fact, architects.

00:08:42.010 --> 00:08:42.590

Curtis LaPierre

Uhm.

00:08:43.230 --> 00:08:45.680

Curtis LaPierre

And so that was taken into consideration.

00:08:46.300 --> 00:08:50.520

Curtis LaPierre

And given you know extra weight that it should have.

00:08:51.330 --> 00:08:54.680

Curtis LaPierre

Yeah, I was a little surprised to see that Education Wise.

00:08:56.190 --> 00:08:58.510

Curtis LaPierre

But that didn't seem to make a difference.

00:08:59.170 --> 00:09:08.150

Curtis LaPierre

Here, the only thing I could think of as well. Maybe they didn't want to go down that slippery slope because you could also make a case that.

00:09:08.770 --> 00:09:20.000

Curtis LaPierre

Someone with an Environmental Science degree had maybe comma ways toward a fulfilling that education in landscape architecture.

00:09:20.680 --> 00:09:23.480

Curtis LaPierre

Uh as wooden architect or engineer.

00:09:25.490 --> 00:09:25.940

Curtis LaPierre

That's it.

00:09:30.520 --> 00:09:32.530

Deb (Guest)

Other comments from board members.

00:09:37.400 --> 00:09:38.580

Hall, Kimberly (DOL)

Julia you're muted.

00:09:39.960 --> 00:09:45.830

Manley, Julia (DOL)

I know I'm sorry my teams is giving me fits today. It's it's not being kind to me.

00:09:46.260 --> 00:10:01.570

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Uhm I was gonna interrupt let you all know that we do have a fair questions. We do have a representative from Clark here with us today. Zach is with us vector and is happy to answer any questions that we might have.

00:10:03.090 --> 00:10:08.380

Zachary Druga

Absolutely thanks so much for that and thanks so much for the invitation to sit on the call today.

00:16:30.041 --> 00:17:00.961

Zachary Druga

Confrontation especially when we do need a statutory change, which again you know, we're not expecting that to take place overnight or anything. We know that's a lengthy process for a lot of jurisdictions, so again. Just you know working with you on your own kind of time frame there to help assist you throughout the process, but again once this vote happens in April, a Clock doesn't start ticking in your jurisdiction. There's no expectation that you'll have it adopted or implemented by a certain date. So it's just again kind of starting this dialogue in trying to get on the same page and figure out exactly what the changes.

00:17:01.011 --> 00:17:02.061

Zachary Druga

Look like in your jurisdiction.

00:17:04.991 --> 00:17:07.331

Len Zickler

In depth plan can I make a comment?

00:17:09.541 --> 00:17:10.201

Deb (Guest)

Please do.

00:17:11.291 --> 00:17:12.071

Len Zickler

Alright hey great.

00:17:12.621 --> 00:17:13.231

Len Zickler

Uh.

00:17:14.411 --> 00:17:28.061

Len Zickler

Yeah, I did first of all let me. Let me say that. Obviously, the Clark committees that have been working all of individuals been working on this so been working on it for a long time kind of oppressive body of work actually and I can't imagine.

00:17:29.091 --> 00:17:37.901

Len Zickler

All of the I I can't imagine you know the depth of discussions that have occurred to bring us to this to this model standard here.

00:17:39.581 --> 00:18:00.051

Len Zickler

That, said, I I do agree with the comments relative to particularly to civil engineering and architectural education and training, which I think is very parallel to landscape architect landscape architecture as opposed to for example, in my case is a city planner or an environmental planner.

00:18:00.451 --> 00:18:20.861

Len Zickler

Uh you know, I think there's a big gap between you know, an additional amount of education that one would receive as a civil engineer or a or a an architect or a landscape architect over over planning profession. For example, so I I'm you know that that that piece, I I would agree with the other board members.

00:18:22.471 --> 00:18:33.401

Len Zickler

A question I have relative to the the California problem or issue is what have California just doesn't get there, but if they?

00:18:34.031 --> 00:18:43.601

Len Zickler

They did they just can't bring themselves to meet the model standard? What is the recourse or is there recourse or can they continue with?

00:18:44.281 --> 00:18:48.791

Len Zickler

Uh their standards as they as they now exist in the future.

00:18:51.111 --> 00:19:20.721

Zachary Druga

They can certainly that that's up to them. Ultimately, you know they're actually pretty not too far out of alignment with the standard you know, we're working with them and you know, obviously there's going to be. You know a handful of jurisdictions that are gonna you know take longer or you know, possibly vote no at the at the April meeting, but again, you know, most of the jurisdictions, you know, we're kind

of eager to work towards this implement and those you know, kind of the low hanging fruit ones you know will certainly go after first, but you know, Uh, California.

00:19:20.771 --> 00:19:25.591

Zachary Druga

You know, we're gonna keep supporting them and keep working with them You know what the ultimate goal of getting them there.

00:19:25.881 --> 00:19:55.371

Zachary Druga

Uh but also in that letter, they mentioned their jurisdictional exam. So I just wanted to address that concern is well well. There's nothing in the standard that references the jurisdiction like exams since only a relatively few number of jurisdictions require them jurisdictions that do like California, Alaska, British Columbia. They can absolutely still require that jurisdictional exam nothing in the standard would preclude them from.

00:19:55.991 --> 00:19:58.041

Zachary Druga

From still offering that supplemental exam.

00:20:00.821 --> 00:20:01.821

Deb (Guest)

This is Deb again.

00:20:02.971 --> 00:20:17.851

Deb (Guest)

How does this affect reciprocity then because if you get 80% of the jurisdictions adopting this standard but then the other 20% not and they have less rigorous or less onerous standards.

00:20:18.201 --> 00:20:22.771

Deb (Guest)

Uhm. How did how does that affect reciprocity?

00:20:24.651 --> 00:20:55.051

Zachary Druga

Great question one of the other changes. We've made to our Model Law and drag documents to reflect the new standard is is really just requiring proof of license and in good standing in a jurisdiction to receive reciprocity. You know you don't have to kind of read demonstrate the 3 ES again. Just you know if you have a license in Nevada in good standing. You know you could get a license in California if they adopt the standard and then you know, obviously still passed their supplemental exam.

00:20:55.371 --> 00:21:00.051

Zachary Druga

Uh but now we've certainly tried to streamline the reciprocity approach there.

00:21:00.361 --> 00:21:16.981

Zachary Druga

Uh so hopefully, yeah again once enough people are kind of the critical mass starts to implement there's

no difference between initial and reciprocal right so you have that that proof. You have a license in good standing, so reciprocity should be no issue, especially coming through that.

00:21:17.391 --> 00:21:25.761

Zachary Druga

Uh the accredited degree path, which 92% of applicants do only about 7 to 8% or using alternative paths to begin with.

00:21:29.591 --> 00:21:38.881

Deb (Guest)

That does become a slippery slope here, however, especially with the online testing and online applications and.

00:21:38.931 --> 00:21:41.011

Deb (Guest)

Uhm all of that.

00:21:41.261 --> 00:21:42.711

Deb (Guest)

It it it.

00:21:44.141 --> 00:21:47.401

Deb (Guest)

It seems illogical for somebody to.

00:21:48.091 --> 00:21:59.561

Deb (Guest)

Go to one of the 80% if 80% adopt this to go to one of those jurisdictions to get your license why not? Just go online and get your license from California.

00:22:00.511 --> 00:22:01.631

Deb (Guest)

How does that work?

00:22:02.331 --> 00:22:04.021

Deb (Guest)

That seems like a slippery slope to me.

00:22:03.941 --> 00:22:04.631

Zachary Druga

Well, I mean?

00:22:05.811 --> 00:22:38.131

Zachary Druga

Well, we already kind of have that that slope now with so many differences in the in the licensure requirements. You know applicants. Do already kind of bottom shop and see you know if Connecticut 's less than Massachusetts. I'll go grab my license in Connecticut real quick, then get reciprocity in Massachusetts so that's already an issue. We have and again as more and more people implement the standard you know, we reverse that trend by having everybody eventually kind of shift through the

same standards there and eliminating that need to kind of or the ability to shop around for lesser requirements.

00:22:06.451 --> 00:22:06.771

Daren Crabill

Good.

00:22:40.661 --> 00:22:45.401

Daren Crabill

Yeah, this is Darren to me that that is I.

00:22:46.211 --> 00:22:48.081

Daren Crabill

A great advantage.

00:22:49.681 --> 00:22:52.311

Daren Crabill

It is trying to even out that.

00:22:53.301 --> 00:22:56.631

Daren Crabill

Reciprocity part of the process that you know.

00:22:57.291 --> 00:23:01.101

Daren Crabill

Obviously, you'll each state will have still their own maybe.

00:23:02.971 --> 00:23:06.161

Daren Crabill

You know requirements for uh.

00:23:06.891 --> 00:23:12.301

Daren Crabill

You know additional education yearly education. Ours is you know 24 / 2 years.

00:23:13.261 --> 00:23:16.231

Daren Crabill

But to me that's always been UM.

00:23:17.001 --> 00:23:18.451

Daren Crabill

An unnecessary owners.

00:23:19.361 --> 00:23:26.621

Daren Crabill

Part of our process between states, frankly so to me evening evening that out, as a good thing.

00:23:27.491 --> 00:23:28.821

Daren Crabill

For for our profession.

00:23:32.481 --> 00:23:33.191

Zachary Druga

Great point thank you.

00:23:32.741 --> 00:23:33.171

Deb (Guest)

I.

00:23:33.941 --> 00:23:47.651

Deb (Guest)

I completely agree that evening out is a good thing for the profession and I completely agree that uniform standards are a good thing for the profession. I just struggle with if California can do it in 6 years? Why can't everybody.

00:23:52.921 --> 00:23:53.191

Zachary Druga

Yeah.

00:23:53.251 --> 00:24:25.571

Zachary Druga

I mean that's a good point but we had to, you know look at across the whole membership to see what the requirements were We looked up. You know to our our friends there in architecture and engineering to see what their requirements were what their alternative paths look like and and again just kind of going back through the data and the research. We did with our consultants. That's where we came out. You know, we certainly just didn't look at who had the most or who had the least. Uh requirements and just kind of pick one of those so it was you know comprised of all of our member jurisdictions.

00:24:26.091 --> 00:24:49.581

Zachary Druga

So you know again. California is not the only one that's going to have to make a change to to implement a lot of people are going to have to you know make some form of change rather go down from 10 years to 8 years or or whatever. The case may be so again. You know it's hard to just kind of look at 1:00 state and think well. You know why didn't we just do what they do you know again it looked at the entire membership and our allied professions as well.

00:24:59.351 --> 00:25:01.731

Deb (Guest)

Are there any other comments about this?

00:25:04.451 --> 00:25:05.631

Daren Crabill

Can I have a question I'm?

00:25:04.471 --> 00:25:05.321

Curtis LaPierre

Uh tabbed it.

00:25:04.861 --> 00:25:05.441

Len Zickler
Yeah, device.

00:25:06.331 --> 00:25:07.071

Daren Crabill
Process.

00:25:06.621 --> 00:25:07.061

Curtis LaPierre
Go ahead.

00:25:08.901 --> 00:25:09.431

Daren Crabill
So.

00:25:11.711 --> 00:25:27.121

Daren Crabill
Do we need is this our last opportunity to take action as a board prior to that vote. I I don't recall remember our dates for future discussion or meetings, so this. I saw head nod from Chile 's that's correct.

00:25:29.181 --> 00:25:41.441

Manley, Julia (DOL)
Yeah, this is our last regular meeting before the April 19th or April 20th vote. We could call if needed. We could call a special board meeting with just this on the agenda.

00:25:43.801 --> 00:25:47.871

Daren Crabill
And one more question before I handed over my colleagues here.

00:25:50.001 --> 00:25:50.791

Daren Crabill
Are there?

00:25:52.901 --> 00:26:00.811

Daren Crabill
I guess of the the changes different like the statutory changes. You know the rules are are one thing.

00:26:01.491 --> 00:26:03.731

Daren Crabill
Or is that a huge hurdle.

00:26:04.491 --> 00:26:11.931

Daren Crabill
From Staffs mind for us like? What are what are the sort of the pitfalls or is there?

00:26:12.871 --> 00:26:19.221

Daren Crabill

Do the benefits if we, we all agree that this is a good thing or the benefits worth.

00:26:20.231 --> 00:26:25.331

Daren Crabill

Going through that process. 'cause I I seem to remember it's it's kinda jealous.

00:26:26.121 --> 00:26:26.671

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Uhm.

00:26:27.931 --> 00:26:31.481

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Well, for for the board, I mean, it's it's.

00:54:32.892 --> 00:54:49.802

Deb (Guest)

OK, we are ready to move on to item 4 complaint cases for review and Curtis. You have item 4.1. Kurt case, number, 2020 dash. Oh, 9 dash 1148 dash oh oh LAN LAN?

00:54:51.692 --> 00:54:53.172

Deb (Guest)

Would you like to take it away?

00:54:57.522 --> 00:54:58.022

Curtis LaPierre

Yes.

00:55:00.912 --> 00:55:01.862

Curtis LaPierre

Let me uh.

00:55:02.612 --> 00:55:10.662

Curtis LaPierre

State the facts I know you can all read but I think it's important to go through and state the facts and uh elaborate here.

00:55:11.632 --> 00:55:14.602

Curtis LaPierre

First of all the summary of the complaint was.

00:55:15.662 --> 00:55:23.722

Curtis LaPierre

That the complaint alleged that the respondent the landscape architect submitted to the local jurisdiction.

00:55:24.562 --> 00:55:26.302

Curtis LaPierre

And as built drawing.

00:55:26.992 --> 00:55:29.202

Curtis LaPierre

And 2 report letters.

00:55:30.212 --> 00:55:33.072

Curtis LaPierre

That were knowingly based on false.

00:55:33.672 --> 00:55:34.672

Curtis LaPierre

Incorrect.

00:55:35.252 --> 00:55:36.192

Curtis LaPierre

Misleading.

00:55:36.962 --> 00:55:39.022

Curtis LaPierre

Or fabricated information.

00:55:40.322 --> 00:55:46.142

Curtis LaPierre

And that's middle of those materials constitutes unprofessional conduct.

00:55:48.882 --> 00:55:58.592

Curtis LaPierre

The facts were that the respondent the landscape architect prepared a planting plan, which was sealed and signed.

00:55:59.172 --> 00:56:02.822

Curtis LaPierre

This is for a residential development to plat.

00:56:03.612 --> 00:56:08.442

Curtis LaPierre

Uh and that was done signed on December 13th.

00:56:09.032 --> 00:56:12.792

Curtis LaPierre

2019 about 5 months later.

00:56:13.812 --> 00:56:14.602

Curtis LaPierre

In May.

00:56:16.022 --> 00:56:24.672

Curtis LaPierre

Landscape architect responded performed a site inspection for the purpose of certifying to the local jurisdiction.

00:56:25.572 --> 00:56:29.292

Curtis LaPierre

That the planting materials that were shown on.

00:56:30.262 --> 00:56:35.792

Curtis LaPierre

So sealed plan were installed as shown on the plan.

00:56:38.322 --> 00:56:45.612

Curtis LaPierre

Uh shortly after that site inspection, the respondent sent 2 letters to the local jurisdiction.

00:56:46.222 --> 00:56:53.042

Curtis LaPierre

Stating that in fact, the project complied with the planting plan that they had prepared.

00:56:55.192 --> 00:56:56.682

Curtis LaPierre

Well, I think it's important to note.

00:56:57.412 --> 00:57:02.232

Curtis LaPierre

That the respondents scope of work their contract.

00:57:03.302 --> 00:57:04.622

Curtis LaPierre

Did not include?

00:57:05.432 --> 00:57:07.872

Curtis LaPierre

City ongoing site inspection.

00:57:09.612 --> 00:57:11.972

Curtis LaPierre

During construction or following construction.

00:57:12.752 --> 00:57:13.942

Curtis LaPierre

Uh in that.

00:57:14.692 --> 00:57:16.892

Curtis LaPierre

Contractual responsibilities.

00:57:17.502 --> 00:57:26.222

Curtis LaPierre

As presented were limited to just repairing, that planting plan and then with that single inspection.

00:57:27.122 --> 00:57:29.152

Curtis LaPierre

And subsequent letter.

00:57:29.842 --> 00:57:32.982

Curtis LaPierre

Form of certification to the local jurisdiction.

00:57:35.092 --> 00:57:36.222

Curtis LaPierre

At some time.

00:57:36.982 --> 00:57:42.142

Curtis LaPierre

Uh someone, although it's not established who that was.

00:57:42.962 --> 00:57:45.422

Curtis LaPierre

Submitted a copy of the original.

00:57:46.122 --> 00:57:47.142

Curtis LaPierre

Landscape plan.

00:57:48.582 --> 00:58:02.592

Curtis LaPierre

Apparently, as an as built plan so rather than a sealed stamped planned it set as built that had any changes notated on it, that had a uh a date.

00:58:03.072 --> 00:58:07.292

Curtis LaPierre

Uh reflecting an inspection rather than that somebody.

00:58:07.902 --> 00:58:12.012

Curtis LaPierre

Uh submitted original in original copy of the landscape plan.

00:58:14.812 --> 00:58:27.732

Curtis LaPierre

That was not established as in the evidence as far as I could determine that that was the respondent that submitted that original landscape plan ostensibly as a as built.

00:58:29.202 --> 00:58:30.392

Curtis LaPierre

Uh the complaint.

00:58:31.002 --> 00:58:35.392

Curtis LaPierre

Uh got access to the site and performed a site inspection.

00:58:36.632 --> 00:58:37.752

Curtis LaPierre

In July.

00:58:38.902 --> 00:58:49.242

Curtis LaPierre

So that the respondent was out there in May to do their inspection and the complaint went out in July notice some.

00:58:49.892 --> 00:58:52.112

Curtis LaPierre

Discrepancies various discrepancies.

00:58:52.722 --> 00:58:58.472

Curtis LaPierre

Between the approved planting plan and what was actually at the site.

00:59:01.502 --> 00:59:03.752

Curtis LaPierre

In reviewing the evidence.

00:59:05.472 --> 00:59:06.272

Curtis LaPierre

Provided.

00:59:09.582 --> 00:59:19.982

Curtis LaPierre

It was my conclusion that there was not sufficient evidence provided to show that the respondents submitted an as built plan to the local jurisdiction.

00:59:21.262 --> 00:59:23.762

Curtis LaPierre

Irrespective of the accuracy of that plan.

00:59:25.212 --> 00:59:25.782

Curtis LaPierre

Uhm.

00:59:27.972 --> 00:59:37.302

Curtis LaPierre

The as built the plan that was submitted as an as built just as a reminder was not stamped and sealed or noted anywhere as an as built.

00:59:39.552 --> 00:59:47.602

Curtis LaPierre

Uh because number 2 and the conclusions because the evidence provided by the complaint was based on an inspection performed.

00:59:48.182 --> 00:59:52.852

Curtis LaPierre

2 months after the respondents inspection and certification.

00:59:54.132 --> 01:00:05.402

Curtis LaPierre

That intervening 2 months period between the 2 inspections likely resulted in changed conditions at the active construction site.

01:00:08.032 --> 01:00:09.842

Curtis LaPierre

Therefore, the complaint.

01:00:10.802 --> 01:00:12.742

Curtis LaPierre

Had no factual knowledge.

01:00:13.602 --> 01:00:19.082

Curtis LaPierre

Of the site conditions at the time of the May inspection of the respondent.

01:00:19.672 --> 01:00:21.692

Curtis LaPierre

So this is a fine point here.

01:00:24.632 --> 01:00:27.022

Curtis LaPierre

Uh in reaching this conclusion.

01:00:29.782 --> 01:00:31.182

Curtis LaPierre

I'm not saying that.

01:00:32.172 --> 01:00:33.652

Curtis LaPierre

We have determined.

01:00:37.042 --> 01:00:41.422

Curtis LaPierre

That the respondents 2 letters to the County, saying.

01:00:42.242 --> 01:00:44.632

Curtis LaPierre

The site was in compliance with the plan.

01:00:45.712 --> 01:00:50.162

Curtis LaPierre

I'm not saying that that we have any evidence that that's factual or not.

01:00:50.912 --> 01:00:52.272

Curtis LaPierre

What I'm saying is?

01:00:54.822 --> 01:00:58.352

Curtis LaPierre

The complaint came in 2 months after that.

01:00:59.152 --> 01:01:03.152

Curtis LaPierre

Landscape architects inspection on an active construction site.

01:01:04.732 --> 01:01:10.622

Curtis LaPierre

Was apparently a lot of work going on still with grading and changes and retaining walls and that sort of thing?

01:01:12.112 --> 01:01:20.172

Curtis LaPierre

For which the landscape architect had no monitoring inspection or any control or contractual responsibility to be out there.

01:01:21.832 --> 01:01:22.302

Curtis LaPierre

Uhm.

01:01:23.012 --> 01:01:25.132

Curtis LaPierre

Therefore, that does not equal.

01:01:25.862 --> 01:01:29.152

Curtis LaPierre

The amount of evidence that we would need to see.

01:01:31.892 --> 01:01:32.752

Curtis LaPierre

To say that.

01:01:33.592 --> 01:01:36.952

Curtis LaPierre

The conditions at the site were misrepresented to the County.

01:01:38.862 --> 01:01:40.612

Curtis LaPierre

I'm happy to take any questions.

01:01:48.652 --> 01:01:53.342

Deb (Guest)

This is Deb I have no questions does anybody else on the on the board have questions.

01:01:56.512 --> 01:01:56.892

Len Zickler

No.

01:01:57.472 --> 01:01:57.962

Sharon (Guest)

Nope.

01:02:00.052 --> 01:02:01.802

Deb (Guest)

I see uh raised hand.

01:02:03.262 --> 01:02:04.472

Deb (Guest)

I'm not sure whose it is.

01:02:05.902 --> 01:02:10.002

Manley, Julia (DOL)

It's a public member will take public comment at the end.

01:02:11.002 --> 01:02:12.032

Deb (Guest)

OK, we will take.

01:02:11.312 --> 01:02:15.602

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Of Yeah, we can't take it during uh uhm this portion of the meeting.

01:02:14.642 --> 01:02:14.972

Deb (Guest)

Right.

01:02:16.412 --> 01:02:18.492

Deb (Guest)

OK, thank you for that clarification.

01:02:19.302 --> 01:02:19.832

Deb (Guest)

Uhm.

01:02:20.882 --> 01:02:27.812

Deb (Guest)

I would like to have a motion for acceptance of the.

01:02:29.112 --> 01:02:31.382

Deb (Guest)

Case managers recommendations.

01:02:33.122 --> 01:02:34.562

Daren Crabill

This is Darren Hall also move.

01:02:36.062 --> 01:02:37.072

Deb (Guest)

Do I have a second?

01:02:40.742 --> 01:02:41.812

Sharon (Guest)

Sharon hospital.

01:02:41.122 --> 01:02:41.792

Len Zickler

This is Linda.

01:02:44.902 --> 01:02:46.182

Deb (Guest)

I hear Sharon came.

01:02:45.452 --> 01:02:45.932

Len Zickler

Sharon.

01:02:46.792 --> 01:02:48.302

Deb (Guest)

Yeah, the second.

01:02:46.992 --> 01:02:47.322

Sharon (Guest)

Yeah.

01:02:47.152 --> 01:02:47.812

Len Zickler

Parents got it.

01:02:48.892 --> 01:02:49.402

Sharon (Guest)

Yes.

01:02:50.262 --> 01:03:00.332

Deb (Guest)

OK, all in favor of accepting the case managers recommendation for the the closure of this case with no further action say I.

01:02:51.132 --> 01:02:52.462

Daren Crabill

Stop. Stop.

01:03:00.972 --> 01:03:01.432

Sharon (Guest)

I.

01:03:01.122 --> 01:03:01.552

Daren Crabill

Hi.

01:03:01.212 --> 01:03:01.512

Deb (Guest)

I.

01:03:02.442 --> 01:03:02.852

Len Zickler

Alright.

01:03:02.602 --> 01:03:03.612

Deb (Guest)

Any opposed.

01:03:05.032 --> 01:03:07.772

Deb (Guest)

OK, the motion is approved.

01:03:09.072 --> 01:03:15.802

Deb (Guest)

Uhm are there any legal issues for deliberation moving forward on number 5?

01:03:17.722 --> 01:03:18.642

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Not at this time.

01:03:20.722 --> 01:03:25.342

Deb (Guest)

Uhm disciplinary reports and investigative reports.

01:03:26.972 --> 01:03:33.052

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And we have none in the packet this, this time around, there will be something in the next packet.

01:03:33.492 --> 01:03:39.962

Deb (Guest)

OK great the attorney general 's report. We do not have one this time from what I understand.

01:03:40.862 --> 01:03:41.372

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Correct.

01:03:41.892 --> 01:03:48.102

Deb (Guest)

OK and we have no committees outstanding at this moment that I know of.

01:03:50.222 --> 01:03:52.332

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Correct we, we have the?

01:03:53.792 --> 01:04:03.462

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Black review committee but as Kim stated earlier. That's on hold until we know what happens with the uniform standards vote in April.

01:04:04.262 --> 01:04:10.672

Deb (Guest)

Alright could we have moving on to number 9 could we have the board Staffs report the board Staffs report.

01:04:13.922 --> 01:04:14.452

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Certainly.

01:04:15.012 --> 01:04:37.112

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Uhm let's see first I'm gonna make a plug for the club task analysis survey that open. January 24th this weekend is open for 6 weeks. They sent out emails to everyone asking them to complete that survey. It is focused on the US and Canada. This time around, so I'll plug for if you.

01:04:37.902 --> 01:04:39.972

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Want to do that or have come.

01:04:40.722 --> 01:04:47.482

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Colleagues that you know would be it would benefit Clark greatly to have that done it helps with the.

01:04:48.192 --> 01:04:49.662

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Making sure that Larry is.

01:04:50.242 --> 01:04:52.522

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Yeah, some up-to-date indefensible.

01:04:53.482 --> 01:04:55.732

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Uh let's see for.

01:04:56.442 --> 01:04:59.872

Manley, Julia (DOL)

The Department things are moving along.

01:05:00.622 --> 01:05:11.632

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Uhm towards coming back into the office. It has been paused again until March 15th due to the increase in cases and and the Omicron variant.

01:05:12.312 --> 01:05:18.262

Manley, Julia (DOL)

But we have been making plans for how that's going to look we're not all rushing back into the office.

01:05:18.652 --> 01:05:19.642

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Uhm no work.

01:05:20.342 --> 01:05:44.342

Manley, Julia (DOL)

You know full time in the office. We are looking at you know business needs and the success that we've had at working remotely and giving people those options were were hoping to be able to keep giving that option to people we do have a couple that have requested to work in the office. At least part time and so they've they've been doing that for a few weeks now.

01:05:45.962 --> 01:05:47.922

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Uhm for our.

01:05:48.762 --> 01:05:49.532

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Division.

01:05:49.582 --> 01:05:52.252

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Uhm we are right now.

01:05:53.392 --> 01:06:00.532

Manley, Julia (DOL)

We have the online system down it went down on Tuesday for maintenance and it has not returned yet.

01:06:01.952 --> 01:06:10.962

Manley, Julia (DOL)

So we've had a little bit of an increase in phone calls and emails with that being down. But you know, we have plans in place so if if your license.

01:06:11.032 --> 01:06:18.212

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Uhm expires during the time that it's down, we're gonna play the no blood no foul game on that.

01:06:20.442 --> 01:06:32.482

Manley, Julia (DOL)

We've fees and all of that it is. I know frustrating, though for the customers that you know, especially those that are trying to get their first license. It is a hold up for them and so.

01:06:33.672 --> 01:06:36.332

Manley, Julia (DOL)

We're working diligently to get that pulled back up.

01:06:37.052 --> 01:06:37.772

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Uhm.

01:06:38.632 --> 01:06:52.722

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And then the function alignment is going well. People are beginning to settle into their new areas. Some in new roles and we're starting to work on where there's crossover work and you know figure out where work should.

01:06:53.312 --> 01:07:04.292

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Live and who we need to turn to for help so recognize still even though I'm working with mainly the licensing group recognized still work very closely together.

01:07:05.302 --> 01:07:15.952

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Uh and then last you'll see. We have your license counts license, he counts on screen and I believe the next page are your new licensees.

01:07:19.442 --> 01:07:23.072

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And then we have your budget report.

01:07:25.452 --> 01:07:27.682

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And fund balance report.

01:07:29.762 --> 01:07:34.912

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And then Rick did you want to talk about other news?

01:07:36.232 --> 01:07:48.732

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

Well, first I'll talk about their budget report and just kind of clarity. You'll you'll notice that in by fiscal year 27. You've got \$19,000.00 left in your fund balance.

01:07:50.252 --> 01:07:54.212

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

And so right now to today, you're you're OK.

01:07:55.242 --> 01:08:25.932

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

And I think with our functional alignment. We're going to be looking at how we do. The budget and and things and so I would anticipate in the coming year or 2, there's going to be conversations. Around this and trying to identify our the the fees still fully supporting our does there need to be any adjustment. Some of the programs currently are facing proposed increase in fees to keep their fund balances whole the landscape architect program has not been identified that way. But.

01:08:26.212 --> 01:08:46.282

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

It's something as in the past staff will keep a close look at will be working with the Budget Office to make sure that you stay good. That being said. The reality is coming fiscal year 27. I won't be here anymore. Actually come next fiscal year. I won't be here. This August will be my last day with Department of licensing.

01:08:47.272 --> 01:09:07.252

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

If I'm really good with my vacation. I will take 6 weeks of vacation then. After that, and retire on October first so some some changes afoot. It's you know it's been a joy. Working with all of the boards. You all are great. I had an inside track with land because he he put my youngest son up.

01:09:07.612 --> 01:09:13.312

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

And at 1:00 point to so that he could live in Spokane and work on his.

01:09:14.612 --> 01:09:33.292

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

Professional engineer degree actually so it's it's been a real joy. I anticipate over the next 6 months, will will be working on transitions and exactly what that means and looks like this is at the last time you'll see me, but I can guarantee it pretty much after August 12th you probably won't see me at your meetings so.

01:09:35.172 --> 01:09:36.922

Deb (Guest)

Well Congrats to you on that.

01:09:35.622 --> 01:09:35.972

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

OK.

01:09:37.572 --> 01:09:41.792

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

Thanks, I understand that I should consult with you if I need to know how to do this retirement thing right.

01:09:37.712 --> 01:09:38.032

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Yeah.

01:09:38.222 --> 01:09:38.552

Len Zickler

Yeah.

01:09:44.072 --> 01:09:45.432

Deb (Guest)

Yeah, and the pro at it.

01:09:45.742 --> 01:09:46.492

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

There you go.

01:09:46.162 --> 01:09:50.212

Daren Crabill

Retirees are starting out number working folk here, I'm.

01:09:46.272 --> 01:09:46.602

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And.

01:09:48.982 --> 01:09:49.252

Manley, Julia (DOL)

And.

01:09:49.892 --> 01:09:50.722

Manley, Julia (DOL)

I know.

01:09:50.152 --> 01:09:52.582

Deb (Guest)

Oh, that's why I have to step down pretty soon.

01:09:52.872 --> 01:09:54.902

Daren Crabill

Jealousy is starting to creep in.

01:09:56.512 --> 01:10:03.132

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Just a little bit during test a little bit. We've been trying to convince Rick but he should be a public member on one of the other boards.

01:10:04.462 --> 01:10:07.942

Manley, Julia (DOL)

So he can consult with Sharon on on that public member.

01:10:07.332 --> 01:10:12.922

Daren Crabill

I imagine he'll be asked numerous times over the next few years for or something like that.

01:10:12.572 --> 01:10:12.852

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Yes.

01:10:13.402 --> 01:10:36.972

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

Well and and I I do have to share that my retirement plans at you know, I've thought about this for 40 years and I'll be married for 40 years. On August 14th and I learned the other day that actually my retirement plans don't look anything. Like my wife 's retirement plans for me, she informed me that she's put up with all my employers for 40 years and so she becomes my boss on August 14th and I'm just like.

01:10:13.722 --> 01:10:14.232

Sharon (Guest)

And I.

01:10:36.802 --> 01:10:37.082

Len Zickler

No.

01:10:38.942 --> 01:10:44.002

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

That's that wasn't my plan. I always going to be like independently not doing anything right, but we'll see.

01:10:40.832 --> 01:10:41.082

Daren Crabill

So.

01:10:43.372 --> 01:10:46.632

Daren Crabill

It's so basically what you're saying is you'll be looking for another job.

01:10:49.252 --> 01:10:54.252

Sharon (Guest)

I'm just saying that she knows the rules better than you do Rick and you will be your boss.

01:10:49.572 --> 01:10:50.052

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

That's right.

01:10:54.492 --> 01:10:58.042

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

Yeah, yeah, I I I feel that that is my reality right.

01:10:59.222 --> 01:11:01.332

Deb (Guest)

You're on a ride with your partner.

01:11:01.712 --> 01:11:02.382

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

That's right.

01:11:04.762 --> 01:11:05.572

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Thank you Rick.

01:11:05.842 --> 01:11:06.562

Deb (Guest)

Thank you.

01:11:06.162 --> 01:11:06.592

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

Big.

01:11:06.942 --> 01:11:09.872

Manley, Julia (DOL)

So that's it for the staff report.

01:11:11.002 --> 01:11:18.672

Deb (Guest)

Thank you very much and I do want to thank you. Rick for your support and your assistance. All along the way it's been really helpful to us.

01:11:20.302 --> 01:11:25.212

Deb (Guest)

So under other business do we does Julia? Do we have some other business.

01:11:29.162 --> 01:11:35.172

Manley, Julia (DOL)

I have none, but this is a chance if anyone else has any other business they come.

01:11:35.832 --> 01:11:37.532

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Need to bring up any of the board members.

01:11:39.292 --> 01:11:40.512

Len Zickler

Do we have a public comment.

01:11:41.292 --> 01:11:44.592

Deb (Guest)

We will have that next after we finish other business.

01:11:41.702 --> 01:11:42.052

Manley, Julia (DOL)

But.

01:11:45.072 --> 01:11:45.592

Manley, Julia (DOL)

Yes.

01:11:45.392 --> 01:11:45.742

Len Zickler

Right.

01:11:46.382 --> 01:11:46.992

Deb (Guest)

So.

01:11:47.212 --> 01:11:47.472

Len Zickler

No.

01:11:49.102 --> 01:11:51.792

Deb (Guest)

No, I'm sorry, it was somebody else have a comment.

01:11:54.522 --> 01:11:54.842

Manley, Julia (DOL)

No.

01:11:55.542 --> 01:11:59.492

Deb (Guest)

OK UM action items from this meeting Kim.

01:12:01.262 --> 01:12:07.072

Hall, Kimberly (DOL)

Action items, the only thing that I captured was to send in the voting.

01:12:07.852 --> 01:12:20.342

Hall, Kimberly (DOL)

2 Clarab is building up that I gathered in for agenda items. I don't think I captured anything for next agenda other than what's on our standard agenda or?

01:12:20.982 --> 01:12:23.462

Hall, Kimberly (DOL)

The April meeting or the spring meeting.

01:12:22.922 --> 01:12:23.532

Deb (Guest)

Right.

01:12:24.322 --> 01:12:34.022

Deb (Guest)

Right OK, I didn't hear anything else for the agenda or the action items will have to wait and see what comes up for us over the next 3 months.

01:12:35.952 --> 01:12:40.792

Deb (Guest)

Or so we are ready to move on to public comment and presentations.

01:12:40.852 --> 01:12:46.672

Deb (Guest)

Uhm we have William lighter a guest I'd like to invite you to.

01:12:47.372 --> 01:12:50.012

Deb (Guest)

And speak to us.

01:12:51.672 --> 01:12:53.052

William Lider, PE (Guest)

Yes. Can you hear me OK?

01:12:53.772 --> 01:12:54.442

Deb (Guest)

Yes.

01:12:54.872 --> 01:13:18.202

William Lider, PE (Guest)

Yeah, so I'm going in light around the professional engineer and I represent the smoking watershed council and we wore the complaint on the disciplinary action. That was heard today. I was a little shocked to hear the description because I was the one that went out there and actually inspected the site and discovered the fact that the trees had never been planted.

01:13:18.902 --> 01:13:19.832

William Lider, PE (Guest)

In that area.

01:13:20.402 --> 01:13:28.632

William Lider, PE (Guest)

Uh your case manager I think really misrepresented the facts on what happened in this particular case.

01:13:29.532 --> 01:13:31.212

William Lider, PE (Guest)

Yeah, in this case here.

01:13:31.862 --> 01:13:32.542

William Lider, PE (Guest)

Ah.

01:13:33.362 --> 01:13:33.962

William Lider, PE (Guest)

The.

01:13:34.632 --> 01:13:40.112

William Lider, PE (Guest)

Your staff would have you believe that the trees were planted.

01:13:40.802 --> 01:13:51.412

William Lider, PE (Guest)

And then the developer went in and scraped out all the trees down to mineral earth and compacted the soils after the trees were planted that is simply ridiculous it did not happen.

01:13:52.012 --> 01:13:57.562

William Lider, PE (Guest)

The fact of the matter is that a false report was filed by this landscape architect.

01:13:58.412 --> 01:14:08.722

William Lider, PE (Guest)

Thousands of dollars were spent in hundreds of hours were invested in correcting the mistake that went into the.

01:14:09.622 --> 01:14:14.372

William Lider, PE (Guest)

Fraudulent report that was filed on this project that all the trees were planted.

01:14:15.042 --> 01:14:38.912

William Lider, PE (Guest)

Are the areas where the trees were to be planted was wetland buffer that were noted on the drawings not to be disturbed at all. And so they were supposed to go in by hand and plant approximately 60 or 70 trees in this wetland buffer to enhance the buffer and instead the buffer was scraped down to mineral Earth compacted flat like a pancake very dense soil.

01:14:40.022 --> 01:14:49.812

William Lider, PE (Guest)

And none of the trees were planted and then of course, the developer did everything in their power to keep us out in part because we got in because of a?

01:14:50.832 --> 01:14:55.652

William Lider, PE (Guest)

Uh I got a settlement agreement that we had that we had appealed this particular project.

01:14:56.242 --> 01:15:03.262

William Lider, PE (Guest)

And the fact that the County base is under the code it's sign off of this permit that the.

01:15:04.272 --> 01:15:09.852

William Lider, PE (Guest)

But the trees had been planted when it was obvious that they had never, ever been planted in there, so that is this.

01:15:10.432 --> 01:15:11.652

William Lider, PE (Guest)

Flat out wrong.

01:15:12.282 --> 01:15:18.332

William Lider, PE (Guest)

To say that the trees were there and then somehow magically disappeared, they were never planted in there.

01:15:18.702 --> 01:15:48.442

William Lider, PE (Guest)

Are all the grading was done at the time that the landscape architect certified in writing in the written report that the trees were planted. If there was grading going on in the trees had not never been planted than that report never should have been filed and it makes no never mind whether or not the architect was landscape. Architect was paid to verify the site. If she didn't verify the site. Then she shouldn't assign the letter and so.

01:15:48.502 --> 01:15:51.482

William Lider, PE (Guest)

This is a slam dunk case of.

01:15:52.432 --> 01:15:53.102

William Lider, PE (Guest)

Of.

01:15:54.792 --> 01:16:18.932

William Lider, PE (Guest)

Of misrepresentation in unprofessional conduct that if this goes without any disciplinary action. It is going to send a message to everyone else in the County and the state that you can get away without falling from piling fraudulent reports without any consequence the last thing I would like to say is.

01:16:18.992 --> 01:16:49.352

William Lider, PE (Guest)

Is uh my disappointment with the staff in the time that it took to get this complaint before the board this complaint was filed in September 2020, a long before the covid shutdown and in March of 2021 and I know the covid makes a good excuse for not getting things done, but in this case here. The complaint was lost found lost again found again and after I don't know how many emails had finally.

01:16:49.412 --> 01:16:52.142

William Lider, PE (Guest)

Got some attention and then.

01:16:53.642 --> 01:17:03.182

William Lider, PE (Guest)

The presentation to the board was false or not false erroneous would be a better word to use excuse me but.

01:17:03.232 --> 01:17:11.482

William Lider, PE (Guest)

Yeah, so anyway, I I do. Hope you will consider this an executive session and consider what I said thank you.

01:17:12.322 --> 01:17:23.932

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

So Miss Mister Lighter and just to let you know the option that you have in this is that I is as I listened to Mister Lapierre present his.

01:17:24.002 --> 01:17:31.872

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

Get a summary is that indicated that he felt with based on the documentation that was provided to him that.

01:17:32.292 --> 01:17:44.562

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

Uh that's where he made his recommendation and I think if you feel that you have better documentation. That, clearly, identifies the conditions that you're you're speaking to.

01:17:44.972 --> 01:17:54.382

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

Uhm and you would be welcome to submit further documentation and we would have the case manager review that and feel.

01:17:55.142 --> 01:18:17.782

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

To to look at it, see if he feels that changes. His position on it, uh that's essentially the the only appeal process that we have is through additional documentation and that would be reviewed by the case manager so if if you have additional things that you want to submit to that file follow up with our office and we'll take it from there so.

01:18:05.382 --> 01:18:06.072

William Lider, PE (Guest)

Pope like it.

01:18:18.132 --> 01:18:22.672

William Lider, PE (Guest)

The case manager has all the information was provided I mean, there's no question on who.

01:18:21.532 --> 01:18:42.872

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

OK so yes, I I understand that and so we've given you an opportunity to speak at all, I can tell you is that if you want to appeal the decision the appeal process is is simply to provide additional information based on the information provided. He's made his recommendation and and the board has acted so.

01:18:43.232 --> 01:18:47.112

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

Ah that's where where it goes from here.

01:18:47.802 --> 01:18:58.432

William Lider, PE (Guest)

Well, that's really unfortunate because what you're telling them what you're telling your professional landscape. Architects is go ahead and file false reports you can get away with it. We don't care.

01:18:51.092 --> 01:18:51.342

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

Yeah.

01:18:58.192 --> 01:18:59.382

Storvick, Richard (DOL)

OK, I.

01:18:58.872 --> 01:19:18.822

Deb (Guest)

Sir Sir I think that you have already expressed your opinion to us and and I truly appreciate your joining us and giving us your perspective on this and as Rick says if you want to submit some more information. Please do so and we can go through the appeal process at that point. But for the time being. Thank you for your comment.

01:19:02.432 --> 01:19:03.502

William Lider, PE (Guest)

Alright, thank you.

01:19:23.482 --> 01:19:27.562

Deb (Guest)

Now moving on to number 12.

01:19:28.072 --> 01:19:33.522

Deb (Guest)

Uh it is time to adjourn this meeting, I am calling this meeting adjourned.

01:19:35.772 --> 01:19:36.762

Deb (Guest)

Thank you all.

01:19:39.452 --> 01:19:40.332

Deb (Guest)

See y'all later.