

## Washington State Architect Board Meeting Transcript

July 21, 2022

0:0:0.0 --> 0:0:3.50

Roch Manley

OK, it is now 9:05 AM.

0:0:4.230 --> 0:0:6.170

Roch Manley

And I called this regular meeting.

0:0:6.840 --> 0:0:8.570

Roch Manley

Of the architect board to order.

0:0:10.470 --> 0:0:26.0

Roch Manley

The board will provide an opportunity for public comment during the meeting, as occurs courtesy. We encourage participants to mute their mics or their phone. If you're calling in when not speaking to reduce the background noise.

0:0:26.820 --> 0:0:27.950

Roch Manley

When others are speaking.

0:0:28.790 --> 0:0:42.180

Roch Manley

Please remember to unmute your mic or phone when you are speaking. Also for board members to help us capture information correctly, please state your name when making comments. Thanks.

0:0:44.570 --> 0:0:54.90

Roch Manley

Sherry at this time, would you please call roll and board members and staff? Please respond if you are in attendance.

0:0:55.960 --> 0:0:59.700

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

OK. Thank you, rock. I'm so rock manly.

0:1:1.190 --> 0:1:1.660

Roch Manley

Present.

0:1:2.300 --> 0:1:3.10

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Scott harm.

0:1:3.460 --> 0:1:3.970

Harm, Scott

Present.

0:1:5.120 --> 0:1:5.990

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Erica Lloyd.

0:1:6.800 --> 0:1:7.430

Erica Loynd

Present.

0:1:9.160 --> 0:1:10.120

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Paul Wu.

0:1:13.630 --> 0:1:13.990

Harm, Scott

Hello.

0:1:12.860 --> 0:1:14.20

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

So OK.

0:1:14.810 --> 0:1:16.380

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

We're missing him. OK, Sean.

0:1:17.90 --> 0:1:17.660

Sian Roberts

I'm here.

0:1:18.480 --> 0:1:20.450

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Thank you. And Rick Benner.

0:1:21.790 --> 0:1:22.190

Rick Benner

Here.

0:1:24.320 --> 0:1:27.270

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

OK. Thank you. And then I'll move on to staff.

0:1:28.370 --> 0:1:29.680

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Rick Storwick

0:1:30.140 --> 0:1:30.520

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Here.

0:1:31.530 --> 0:1:32.430

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Sydney mule.

0:1:33.410 --> 0:1:33.840

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Here.

0:1:35.210 --> 0:1:36.260

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Debra Allen-Ba

0:1:37.130 --> 0:1:37.490

Allen-Ba, Debra (DOL)

Here.

0:1:38.380 --> 0:1:39.790

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

On this uh woods.

0:1:41.50 --> 0:1:41.280

Woods, Alyssa (DOL)

Yeah.

0:1:44.590 --> 0:1:45.670

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Lynn Briscoe.

0:1:46.550 --> 0:1:46.940

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Here.

0:1:48.800 --> 0:1:50.110

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Aneesa Bonham.

0:1:51.350 --> 0:1:51.730

Bonham, Aneesa (DOL)

Here.

0:1:53.660 --> 0:1:54.960

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Elizabeth Lagerberg.

0:1:56.420 --> 0:2:5.580

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

I'm here and I also I believe my colleague Rebecca Echols is also here attending. She's my backup assistant attorney general.

0:2:7.140 --> 0:2:7.660

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Thank you.

0:2:6.870 --> 0:2:7.680

Rebecca Echols (Guest)

Yes, good morning.

0:2:8.630 --> 0:2:12.710

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Perfect. Thank you. Thanks, Rebecca. And then, Tanya Hessler.

0:2:13.420 --> 0:2:13.750

Hessler, Tanya (DOL)

Here.

0:2:15.440 --> 0:2:16.540

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Keith Peterson.

0:2:17.560 --> 0:2:17.870

Peterson, Keith (DOL)

Here.

0:2:18.780 --> 0:2:20.20

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

And Sandy Baur.

0:2:21.570 --> 0:2:22.20

Baur, Sandra (DOL)

Here.

0:2:22.940 --> 0:2:23.440

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Perfect.

0:2:25.990 --> 0:2:26.380

Roch Manley

No.

0:2:22.960 --> 0:2:26.710

Harm, Scott

I'll go. Do you have a full house? Just need ball.

0:2:25.340 --> 0:2:27.960

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Yeah. OK. Thank you. Back to you, rock.

0:2:28.420 --> 0:2:30.320

Roch Manley

All right. Thank you, Sherry.

0:2:32.100 --> 0:2:34.420

Roch Manley

I'm excited to.

0:2:35.620 --> 0:2:43.470

Roch Manley

Let you know. I think everyone saw the e-mail from Sherry that the governor has recently appointed a new member to the board.

0:2:44.280 --> 0:2:45.750

Roch Manley

Miss Erica Lloyd.

0:2:46.790 --> 0:2:56.410

Roch Manley

She's coming into the position previously held by retiring board member Colin Jones, so welcome to the board, Erica.

0:2:57.890 --> 0:2:59.0

Erica Loynd

Thank you very much.

0:3:0.370 --> 0:3:3.580

Roch Manley

As a way of introducing you to the rest of us.

0:3:4.280 --> 0:3:7.950

Roch Manley

I'd like to ask each of the board members to share a short.

0:3:8.700 --> 0:3:14.860

Roch Manley

Introduction, including your experiences, architects and your experiences on the board. Your experience on the board.

0:3:15.570 --> 0:3:24.400

Roch Manley

Uh, after these introductions are done, I would ask Erica to please take a few moments to introduce yourself.

0:3:24.890 --> 0:3:32.360

Harm, Scott

So Mr. Chair Paul, who is saying he's trying to get in, but he's not having great success.

0:3:32.710 --> 0:3:34.830

Roch Manley

Ah, it's OK. I see.

0:3:36.490 --> 0:3:41.520

Roch Manley

Well, maybe we'll go ahead with the go ahead with our introductions and.

0:3:42.10 --> 0:3:48.500

Roch Manley

Umm, when Paul is able to join us and I think Sian is here, but we can't see her.

0:3:49.880 --> 0:3:51.870

Roch Manley

We'll, we'll go ahead.

0:3:53.500 --> 0:3:55.310

Roch Manley

And I think perhaps.

0:3:57.290 --> 0:4:5.200

Roch Manley

Just let's start with you, Scott and I'll. I'll bat clean up on the introductions.

0:4:5.760 --> 0:4:6.250

Harm, Scott

OK.

0:4:7.70 --> 0:4:8.900

Roch Manley

After you.

0:4:9.980 --> 0:4:13.190

Roch Manley

Whoever wants to jump in, or if, if not, maybe Sian.

0:4:14.10 --> 0:4:14.300

Harm, Scott

OK.

0:4:14.980 --> 0:4:15.370

Harm, Scott

So.

0:4:14.160 --> 0:4:15.410

Roch Manley

So take it away, Scott.

0:4:16.100 --> 0:4:23.90

Harm, Scott

So welcome to the team, really excited to have you, Erica. Everybody. We can hear me right when Mike's on and all that.

0:4:24.450 --> 0:4:28.470

Harm, Scott

My name is obviously Scott harm. I'm a vice chair. I'm.

0:4:29.120 --> 0:4:44.920

Harm, Scott

I think along with Roch, I'm halfway through my second term on the board. If I recall, I work for Tetra Tech, a very large publicly traded A&E firm. You've probably heard of us. I did own my own firm for a little over 11 years in Tacoma, WA.

0:4:46.30 --> 0:5:8.910

Harm, Scott

A Tetra Tech tried to acquire me. I said no thanks. I agreed to be acquired by another firm that lasted seven years, and then I called Tetra Tech up and joined them about 14 or 15 months ago. I lived out in Puyallup. I'm also a planning commissioner for the city of Puyallup, and I'm licensed and pretty large number of states that kind of focus on.

0:5:10.70 --> 0:5:23.80

Harm, Scott

Military DoD projects all over the country and just got back in from Petaluma, CA late last night walking at Coast Guard project, but welcome aboard. Really excited to have you. Yeah. Really excited.

0:5:29.330 --> 0:5:30.910

Harm, Scott

But we could see you. We could see you.

0:5:25.950 --> 0:5:41.800

Sian Roberts

OK, I think I think, Roch said me next. So hot, I know, I know, I got it working. I just, I don't know how many times I had to click it to make it work, but so anyway, Erica, Erica and I actually go back quite a ways. So we volunteered together on the AIA.

0:5:43.260 --> 0:6:11.440

Sian Roberts

Committees along long time ago. So anyway, great to see you here, Erica. You know about me? I'm a partner at Miller Hall and I don't really need to go into much more detail except to just say that it really excited to have you here. And I think maybe other people will say this, but I'll. I'll say it now. And that one of the great benefits of being on this board is being able to engage with carbon, really understand kind of how the licensing process works and what's going on across the country in terms of regulatory issues.

0:6:12.210 --> 0:6:26.670

Sian Roberts

And in our ability to be able to help support architects here in our state, get licensed and understand the licensing environment around them. So hope that you are able to take advantage of that and welcome.

0:6:30.60 --> 0:6:34.400

Sian Roberts

And I will pass it on. Actually, who else is here? I will pass it on to uh, Rick Benner.

0:6:38.450 --> 0:6:38.980

Rick Benner

Thanks Sean.

0:6:40.180 --> 0:6:41.850

Rick Benner

Hi Erica, Rick Benner.

0:6:43.980 --> 0:6:51.230

Rick Benner

I believe this is my last year on the board and just starting my last year of the 12.

0:6:51.960 --> 0:6:53.50

Rick Benner

And.

0:6:54.190 --> 0:6:58.110

Rick Benner

I've been at Western Washington University for.

0:6:59.630 --> 0:7:2.620

Rick Benner

Starting my 38th year there.

0:7:3.120 --> 0:7:10.870

Rick Benner

Umm. In various roles right now it's the university architect and senior director for Capital Planning Development.

0:7:12.880 --> 0:7:16.350

Rick Benner

Prior to that, I was in private.

0:7:17.50 --> 0:7:26.0

Rick Benner

Practice firms in the Bellingham area for seven or eight years, primarily doing a 12 type of work.

0:7:27.280 --> 0:7:30.810

Rick Benner

So again, welcome to the board and that's my background.

0:7:33.70 --> 0:7:35.30

Rick Benner

And all pass it on to.

0:7:36.140 --> 0:7:37.850

Rick Benner

Let's see who else is here?

0:7:38.540 --> 0:7:39.540

Rick Benner

Umm.

0:7:40.580 --> 0:7:42.970

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Paul is here now. Paul, can you hear us?

0:7:43.270 --> 0:7:43.700

Roch Manley

Great.

0:7:44.0 --> 0:7:48.720

Paul Wu

Yes, I can. I have a problem getting on to the team. I don't know why.

0:7:51.0 --> 0:7:51.480

Roch Manley

So.

0:7:58.230 --> 0:7:58.610

Paul Wu

Yeah.

0:7:51.230 --> 0:8:0.420

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

That's OK. If you want. We're doing, we're introducing the board's introducing themselves for our new board members. Erica, who's here. So if you, if you wanna go ahead.

0:8:1.250 --> 0:8:2.280

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

To a little bout.

0:8:1.520 --> 0:8:2.560

Paul Wu

Ah, yes, yes.

0:8:3.720 --> 0:8:15.970

Paul Wu

Erica, welcome aboard. And I'm sort of new. Also, I joined the board last year. I'm Paul Wu. I've been practicing architecture for the last 40 some years.

0:8:17.30 --> 0:8:29.940

Paul Wu

Umm, I might sound younger than I really am, but anyway since you can't see me now, that's good. Yeah, I'll still try to get on the team again, but anyway.

0:8:31.120 --> 0:8:53.910

Paul Wu

My practice I've been with the Puget Sound Energy for the last 37 years and I retire about four years ago. I'm still practicing, as you know, as an architect, you never quit. You know, I'm still maintaining a office at home and working on miscellaneous projects. I'm pretty well involved with.

0:8:55.150 --> 0:9:2.20

Paul Wu

OH local community groups such as the Historic Day Foundation.

0:9:2.680 --> 0:9:5.30

Paul Wu

Not Bill Gates, the Chinatown gates.

0:9:5.630 --> 0:9:6.90

Paul Wu

Umm.

0:9:7.170 --> 0:9:12.760

Paul Wu

And architects Without Borders. I was wondering, the founding members Seattle area.

0:9:13.540 --> 0:9:21.370

Paul Wu

And other organizations within the Seattle Chinatown International District. So.

0:9:22.630 --> 0:9:27.150

Paul Wu

That's why I am I'm semi retired, so welcome aboard.

0:9:30.350 --> 0:9:33.390

Paul Wu

I don't know who the pass it to because I can't see faces.

0:9:37.850 --> 0:9:38.160

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

No.

0:9:46.400 --> 0:9:46.770

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Yep.

0:9:34.0 --> 0:9:49.320

Roch Manley

Yeah, it looks like uh, Susan is not here today and Colin is retiring from the board and we have we gone through everyone and am I left. OK, well thanks. Thanks, Paul. Sian, Rick.

0:9:50.530 --> 0:9:50.900

Paul Wu

Yep.

0:9:49.960 --> 0:9:56.470

Roch Manley

And Scott and welcome to the board, Erica, really, really happy to have you on board.

0:9:57.270 --> 0:9:57.800

Roch Manley

Umm.

0:9:58.800 --> 0:10:8.360

Roch Manley

And good to hear that you and Sean have a history together that feel that'll make you guys might make quite a team going forward, so that's great.

0:10:9.90 --> 0:10:13.260

Roch Manley

Uh, so I'm in my second term on the board.

0:10:14.560 --> 0:10:15.930

Roch Manley

And I am.

0:10:16.600 --> 0:10:31.360

Roch Manley

Just steps behind Rick Benner in the in the process of working our way through our terms, he he's probably can feel my hot breath on the back of his neck as we're headed toward the end of our terms. But.

0:10:32.200 --> 0:10:32.720

Roch Manley

Umm.

0:10:33.420 --> 0:10:37.270

Roch Manley

I am like Paul retired.

0:10:39.100 --> 0:10:45.970

Roch Manley

From uh and for me it's from a career here in Southwest Washington.

0:10:46.670 --> 0:10:50.940

Roch Manley

Primarily and in the Denver, Co area.

0:10:52.540 --> 0:10:58.990

Roch Manley

Most of those years spent doing education design for education K through 12, which a lot of us.

0:11:0.390 --> 0:11:0.810

Roch Manley

Uh.

0:11:1.540 --> 0:11:4.230

Roch Manley

Lot of a Stew out there. There are a lot of schools that get built.

0:11:5.920 --> 0:11:6.570

Roch Manley

I.

0:11:6.730 --> 0:11:7.70

Paul Wu

Hey.

0:11:10.410 --> 0:11:11.0

Paul Wu (Guest)

Good.

0:11:10.690 --> 0:11:11.260

Paul Wu

Yes.

0:11:15.120 --> 0:11:15.750

Paul Wu (Guest)

OK.

0:11:14.640 --> 0:11:16.720

Roch Manley

Is that OK?

0:11:17.220 --> 0:11:18.180

Paul Wu (Guest)

But I got on.

0:11:18.600 --> 0:11:21.70

Roch Manley

Yeah, yeah. Paul, it's good to see you.

0:11:21.280 --> 0:11:22.550

Paul Wu (Guest)

Get to see you again.

0:11:21.240 --> 0:11:22.950

Harm, Scott

That's quite the entrance. Yep.

0:11:22.760 --> 0:11:28.350

Roch Manley

Yeah, that was that. It was like a fanfare going off, greeting you.

0:11:29.360 --> 0:11:40.180

Roch Manley

Umm so I I had started my own firm probably. Jeez, I'm not even sure of his ohh 708.

0:11:41.730 --> 0:11:49.960

Roch Manley

And had a run there doing commercial work and some education work here in this area and.

0:11:51.120 --> 0:11:54.920

Roch Manley

Started backing out of that about three years ago.

0:11:55.950 --> 0:12:7.560

Roch Manley

And I guess you could say fully retired maintaining my license, I'm not currently doing any Community volunteer work.

0:12:9.120 --> 0:12:13.30

Roch Manley

But, uh, feel that I should be and will.

0:12:14.810 --> 0:12:24.210

Roch Manley

Soon a building, a building, a house here and doing some other things related to personal life.

0:12:24.870 --> 0:12:25.740

Roch Manley

Kind of his.

0:12:26.420 --> 0:12:27.970

Roch Manley

Taken that time.

0:12:29.40 --> 0:12:37.170

Roch Manley

So again, again, welcome to the board, Erica, and thanks everyone. Are we missing anyone to?

0:12:38.350 --> 0:12:40.780

Roch Manley

I I think we've I think we've hit everybody, OK.

0:12:41.670 --> 0:12:43.560

Roch Manley

Umm so Erica.

0:12:44.490 --> 0:12:44.770

Erica Loynd

Yeah.

0:12:48.550 --> 0:12:49.210

Erica Loynd

Speech.

0:12:44.920 --> 0:12:51.430

Roch Manley

Now, now it's a chance for us to hear about you. So welcome to the board and tell us about yourself.

0:12:51.830 --> 0:13:25.360

Erica Loynd

Yeah. Thank you so much. It's, it's really exciting. This is coming at a really good time in my career to pick on a new opportunity and kind of focus on my work in a different or kind of building on a part that have already been doing. I am an architect with dealer group in Seattle. We're a national firm and we have a Northwest team here and I run the Northwest Justice and civic practice. So I manage or lead all of the projects that are related to government work besides K12 or higher Ed cause we have separate teams there.

0:13:26.480 --> 0:13:30.950

Erica Loynd

So and I'm a principal with the firm I've been here for 18 years.

0:13:31.940 --> 0:14:2.410

Erica Loynd

Umm. And during that time I did get my fellowship last year, which was a really big in 2021, which was a really big moment for me to kind of pull together. Why? I'm passionate about architecture and what I think my missions are in the two of them were justice, architecture and how we can change society through the built environment, but also mentorship and growth in the industry and a lot of my career has been on membership. Different committees like the one that Sean and I were working on together with the membership.

0:14:2.480 --> 0:14:32.480

Erica Loynd

Committee for AI, Seattle I do. I was a chair and later for some pure mentorship groups through a Seattle I've been the AI, Washington Council, Western Washington, Licensure advisor for 10 years now, so I am familiar with the entire process and gotten to go through a lot. The you also manage on the board side. So that's something I get continue to carry on with and grow from both sides now. And I and that is a partnership with a.

0:14:32.560 --> 0:14:34.870

Erica Loynd

Washington Council and card.

0:14:36.230 --> 0:14:44.990

Erica Loynd

And a lot of other I advocacy groups are now part of the government advocacy committee for a nationally and.

0:14:45.990 --> 0:15:17.820

Erica Loynd

Now joining the board. So, I'm really starting to focus my career on how we can develop the industry and use the power of architects to develop the that really intense thinking and change that can happen through the interactions we have and the design agency that we can bring to the communities we work with and stuff. So, it's a passion of mine. I'm really excited to see it grow and then this board really being able to see our profession develop and continue to be such a strong force and have more and more people coming.

0:15:17.910 --> 0:15:23.700

Erica Loynd

That are diverse and staying with the industry and continuing to build us.

0:15:25.230 --> 0:15:40.600

Erica Loynd

To be the leaders that we really genuinely are. And so, I speak a lot about things people like. I can see your passion and like I know I can't stop kind of oozing it. I love what we do and I'm excited to keep working with everybody for that. So, thank you for including me on the board. I'm really excited to join you all.

0:15:42.190 --> 0:15:47.900

Roch Manley

Wonderful. Thank you, Erica, and thanks to all our board members for.

0:15:48.660 --> 0:15:52.530

Roch Manley

Sharing their introductions, seeing a little bit about themselves.

0:15:54.860 --> 0:15:55.670

Roch Manley

So.

0:15:57.700 --> 0:16:1.530

Roch Manley

I understand we have some new board staff members as well.

0:16:3.70 --> 0:16:8.680

Roch Manley

And we're looking for some introductions from them, Rick.

0:16:10.430 --> 0:16:11.60

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Thanks Ron.

0:16:9.390 --> 0:16:13.40

Roch Manley

Uh, could you please get us going on that?

0:16:13.240 --> 0:16:45.350

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Yeah. So, and actually a couple comments there. One in previous board meeting, I think mentioned that you see a lot more staff people listed on the call and that is partly a result of our functional alignment. And so, we have folks from the licensing section folks from the investigation section. We actually have folks from the Perry and fuel tax, which is part of our organization. But very specifically I wanted to call out and make sure we introduced the three staff members that are on board here.

0:16:46.390 --> 0:17:15.710

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Cindy Sydney, Sydney Mule, who started with us on June 16th in the position of PS5A program Specialist 5. She'll specifically be working with all of our regulatory boards, so I think you'll get to know Sydney quite well and be very engaged with her there. And then Sandy Bauer is her cohort. She also started on June 16th, and she'll be working with our advisory boards.

0:17:15.780 --> 0:17:18.880

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

We have four advice reports now that we support and then.

0:17:20.100 --> 0:17:25.810

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Kind of the person that stands in the middle of everything, Alyssa Woods, is the new.

0:17:28.30 --> 0:17:31.640

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

A3 and so she takes on the role that.

0:17:33.100 --> 0:17:44.430

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

I, Kim and Shari had where she does like everything for everybody all the time is kind of Alyssa's role. Shari will continue to work with you.

0:17:44.570 --> 0:18:6.80

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

I be with between Shari and Sydney. I anticipate they'll probably be the two primary staff members. You'll see quite a bit before and the one last piece that I'll just mention, and I'll probably mention again towards the end is that they are actually interviewing next week for a new administrator to oversee the section. So, we're well on our way to making sure that you're fully staffed moving forward.

0:18:7.200 --> 0:18:9.850

Roch Manley

OK. Thank. Thank you, Rick.

0:18:11.530 --> 0:18:17.760

Roch Manley

And welcome on behalf of the board to our new staff members.

0:18:19.360 --> 0:18:20.570

Roch Manley

That's I was.

0:18:22.490 --> 0:18:28.560

Roch Manley

Uh telling one of you earlier that it's a wonderful DOL.

0:18:28.990 --> 0:18:43.960

Roch Manley

Uh Group staff group and very I think I speak for all the board members when I say how much we appreciate the support we get and how easy it makes it to be a board member. So thank you.

0:18:45.80 --> 0:18:46.470

Roch Manley

Of item.

0:18:46.550 --> 0:18:52.630

Roch Manley

A 1.2 Next up on the agenda is the order of agenda.

0:18:53.460 --> 0:19:2.190

Roch Manley

And we'll need a motion and a vote on this if anyone wants to. A motion for approval of the agenda.

0:19:2.570 --> 0:19:6.880

Harm, Scott

This is board member harm also moved for the approval of the agenda as proposed.

0:19:8.280 --> 0:19:9.850

Roch Manley

OK. Thank you.

0:19:9.870 --> 0:19:10.190

Rick Benner

I.

0:19:12.250 --> 0:19:12.680

Roch Manley

Yes.

0:19:11.0 --> 0:19:18.580

Rick Benner

Mr. Chair, I do have one request that I'm gonna take about a 15-minute break around 10:00 o'clock.

0:19:19.710 --> 0:19:28.990

Rick Benner

Obviously, since I'm not sure anymore, we don't have to stop the meeting. But you can continue on. Just know that I'll probably be clean and half about then for a break.

0:19:30.740 --> 0:19:38.720

Roch Manley

Got it. Thanks. Thanks, Rick. And we didn't we didn't talk about that but that that might be a good perfectly good time too.

0:19:39.860 --> 0:19:41.810

Roch Manley

Take a take a break in the meeting.

0:19:43.400 --> 0:19:46.10

Roch Manley

So appreciate that the cats.

0:19:48.0 --> 0:19:48.710

Roch Manley

Yeah.

0:19:49.420 --> 0:19:50.230

Roch Manley

OK.

0:19:50.630 --> 0:19:51.440

Rick Benner

Yes, correct.

0:19:51.990 --> 0:19:57.570

Roch Manley

Yeah, I thought I saw Paul uh raising his hand for.

0:19:58.410 --> 0:19:59.100

Roch Manley

A second.

0:20:1.20 --> 0:20:1.510

Roch Manley

Did I?

0:20:2.130 --> 0:20:3.700

Harm, Scott

You you're muted, Paul.

0:20:9.610 --> 0:20:17.290

Roch Manley

And I and I, just by the way, I don't see everyone in my view. I see a lot of circles, so.

0:20:18.30 --> 0:20:18.490

Roch Manley

Umm.

0:20:19.590 --> 0:20:20.70

Roch Manley

So I.

0:20:19.550 --> 0:20:24.970

Sian Roberts

Well this this is this is Sean. I'm gonna. I'll jump in. Since Paul's working on his unmute and I will second.

0:20:25.470 --> 0:20:26.570

Roch Manley

OK. Thank you, Sean.

0:20:26.860 --> 0:20:27.240

Sian Roberts

Uh-huh.

0:20:27.750 --> 0:20:28.300

Roch Manley

And.

0:20:29.800 --> 0:20:31.980

Roch Manley

Having a motion and a second.

0:20:32.750 --> 0:20:36.580

Roch Manley

Uh, I'll call for votes all in.

0:20:37.560 --> 0:20:43.830

Roch Manley

Favor of approving the order of agenda. Please respond positively.

0:20:45.520 --> 0:20:45.970

Harm, Scott

Plus.

0:20:45.810 --> 0:20:46.140

Rick Benner

Aye.

0:20:46.550 --> 0:20:46.990

Erica Loynd

OK.

0:20:47.140 --> 0:20:47.650

Sian Roberts

Yes.

0:20:48.860 --> 0:20:51.230

Roch Manley

Well, all opposed, nay.

0:20:53.270 --> 0:20:58.140

Roch Manley

So hearing none, the order of agenda passes.

0:20:59.70 --> 0:21:5.330

Roch Manley

Uh, so item 1.3. I think we've all had a chance to look at the Minutes.

0:21:8.60 --> 0:21:8.600

Roch Manley

And.

0:21:9.960 --> 0:21:13.610

Roch Manley

For this we also need a motion for approval.

0:21:18.570 --> 0:21:23.730

Sian Roberts

This is Sean. I move that we approve the Minutes of the April board meeting.

0:21:25.180 --> 0:21:25.630

Roch Manley

OK.

0:21:26.740 --> 0:21:27.150

Roch Manley

I see.

0:21:25.750 --> 0:21:27.620

Paul Wu

Uh, this is Paul Woo.

0:21:28.350 --> 0:21:29.360

Paul Wu

Can you hear me now?

0:21:30.660 --> 0:21:31.250

Harm, Scott

Yes, Sir.

0:21:29.980 --> 0:21:31.330

Roch Manley

Yes, I can hear you now.

0:21:30.730 --> 0:21:32.740

Paul Wu

OK. I I second the motion.

0:21:33.660 --> 0:21:36.10

Roch Manley

OK. And before we have our vote.

0:21:37.90 --> 0:21:42.530

Roch Manley

Did anyone have any questions or any modifications on the?

0:21:43.790 --> 0:21:44.550

Roch Manley

I'm minutes.

0:21:47.30 --> 0:21:49.810

Roch Manley

And hearing no questions.

0:21:51.100 --> 0:21:55.610

Roch Manley

We'll take a vote on the approval of the Minutes.

0:21:56.270 --> 0:22:2.730

Roch Manley

So all all in favor of the approval of the Minutes respond with aye.

0:22:3.950 --> 0:22:4.190

Sian Roberts

Aye.

0:22:3.870 --> 0:22:4.300

Paul Wu

Aye.

0:22:4.170 --> 0:22:4.510

Rick Benner

Alright.

0:22:4.260 --> 0:22:5.290

Harm, Scott

But I.

0:22:5.100 --> 0:22:5.470

Paul Wu

I.

0:22:6.120 --> 0:22:7.550

Roch Manley

All opposed name.

0:22:9.470 --> 0:22:15.720

Roch Manley

And hearing no proposals, the Minutes are approved as presented.

0:22:17.320 --> 0:22:23.840

Roch Manley

Item 1.4 review of Communications, Rick.

0:22:24.930 --> 0:22:25.440

Roch Manley

Story.

0:22:24.770 --> 0:22:36.60

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Thank you. Rock. It just wanted to share actually our licensing staff and Lisa, yesterday had an e-mail from someone who was.

0:22:37.750 --> 0:23:1.380

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

A foreign architect, uh. Looking to get licensed and wanting to start the process to take the ARE the person is from the UK and had just read that the NCARB had approved an MRA with the UK. The UK had approved and wondering whether or not Washington would be a signer on for that MRA.

0:23:2.40 --> 0:23:31.710

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Umm, to which we it just responded that in fact the board hasn't acted on that yet. We'll have to wait until we see the final approval and documentation and at that point the board would take it up and consider whether or not to be a signer on that MRA. And so it just thought it was interesting we've signed other mras, we've been we're part of the other ones that are out there and I don't rarely hear about folks.

0:23:31.780 --> 0:24:1.900

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Wanting to utilize them and so it's fascinating to have somebody contact us before it's even begun. So just kind of a heads up, I anticipate this as a topic that will be on one of your future agendas once we get more feedback from NCARB that it's set to move forward, but it is certainly something that will be

before the board for consideration and discussion and just kind of a heads up, you already have somebody who's interested in taking that route as opposed to going through the examination route, so.

0:24:2.620 --> 0:24:3.740

Roch Manley

Yeah, yeah.

0:24:3.710 --> 0:24:7.130

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Interesting timing and that's all for the communication rock.

0:24:7.740 --> 0:24:11.920

Roch Manley

Right. Uh, OK, yeah, interesting timing. And it was interesting.

0:24:13.240 --> 0:24:14.130

Roch Manley

At the.

0:24:15.210 --> 0:24:21.310

Roch Manley

Annual business meeting the discussion of that MRI, MRA and the.

0:24:29.30 --> 0:24:29.480

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Right.

0:24:22.680 --> 0:24:33.910

Roch Manley

The portion of that discussion regarding alternate paths to licensure that came up, so maybe, maybe we'll hear more about that on the report out on the annual business meeting.

0:24:34.570 --> 0:24:38.90

Roch Manley

Which is next under old business.

0:24:39.230 --> 0:24:42.460

Roch Manley

Item 2 under Section 2 on the agenda.

0:24:43.690 --> 0:24:48.130

Roch Manley

2.1 is the end curb annual business meeting. Report out.

0:24:49.230 --> 0:24:49.880

Roch Manley

And.

0:24:51.0 --> 0:24:53.970

Roch Manley

We had, I know I attended virtually.

0:24:55.310 --> 0:24:58.870

Roch Manley

So you know, obviously none of it stuck, right?

0:25:0.740 --> 0:25:1.150

Roch Manley

But.

0:25:1.230 --> 0:25:3.590

Roch Manley

That we had a.

0:25:4.540 --> 0:25:7.660

Roch Manley

Rick, you were attending Scott?

0:25:8.380 --> 0:25:9.230

Roch Manley

And Paul?

0:25:10.0 --> 0:25:12.350

Roch Manley

And Sian, were you also there?

0:25:12.790 --> 0:25:13.530

Sian Roberts

I was there, yes.

0:25:13.680 --> 0:25:14.410

Roch Manley

Yeah, that's.

0:25:13.980 --> 0:25:15.640

Harm, Scott

Are voting delegate? Yep.

0:25:15.980 --> 0:25:16.470

Sian Roberts

Yes.

0:25:18.180 --> 0:25:18.630

Sian Roberts

I want.

0:25:16.0 --> 0:25:24.170

Roch Manley

Everywhere are voting delegate. That's right. And thank you for that. And thank you. The four of you for attending that.

0:25:26.20 --> 0:25:28.510

Roch Manley

Who would like to share on that?

0:25:31.510 --> 0:25:31.750

Sian Roberts

Uh.

0:25:31.40 --> 0:25:35.690

Rick Benner

Roch just this is Rick. Just to update you, I I wasn't able to attend.

0:25:36.890 --> 0:25:39.890

Rick Benner

I had a some other conflicts that came up at that point.

0:25:41.770 --> 0:25:45.900

Roch Manley

Right. Yeah. And I had planned to attend, but.

0:25:46.240 --> 0:25:46.490

Paul Wu

So.

0:25:47.520 --> 0:25:55.680

Roch Manley

Some things came up, some family things, and I I was able to catch most of it online.

0:25:56.800 --> 0:25:57.340

Roch Manley

And.

0:26:0.880 --> 0:26:2.910

Roch Manley

Great. You're waiting. Hear you fall.

0:25:57.140 --> 0:26:17.10

Paul Wu

Uh, I like to say a few words. If you can hear me, can you all hear me? Yeah, I I really appreciate these. The conference have a chance to meet with Rick, which I'm very impressed. I think there's a future for you in politics, which I told your son, by the way, Sunday.

0:26:17.640 --> 0:26:39.840

Paul Wu

Uh, yeah, so smooth in eloquent. But anyway, I think nothing beats a meeting people face to face and

knowing the person and I, I will. I look forward to our face-to-face board meetings coming up. So as a final word, I really enjoyed and learned a lot during the past conference in Austin.

0:26:43.570 --> 0:26:54.280

Sian Roberts

Yeah, and I'll, I'll add that I think some, you know, there were a number of resolutions this year. So it was it was good to you know.

0:26:54.380 --> 0:27:24.850

Sian Roberts

I consider in here you know all of the debate and discussion around them. I think I'll just mention two of them and it's got I don't you might jump in or Paul with others, but you know the responsible charge definition is something we talked about last time and I was on that committee. So I was glad to see that it passed. There was a little bit of drama but not a lot ultimately. And so as a reminder that really is just a new definition for responsible charge that's meant to.

0:27:25.300 --> 0:27:45.900

Sian Roberts

Address current practice and is now been incorporated into in carbs Model Law. Obviously, no, that does not mean that it is part of our law yet, but it is part of N carbs Model Law, so that that past which was, you know, a great feeling for all of us who spent two years on that sentence.

0:27:47.200 --> 0:27:59.950

Sian Roberts

And then also of course the MRA would the UK and I think that we as well as some other states had some concerns about the fact that they're that MRA does not.

0:28:1.330 --> 0:28:12.360

Sian Roberts

Does not apply to those who do not have an NAAB accredited degree, so that was a concern to states that do allow licensure for non NAB accredited degrees.

0:28:13.600 --> 0:28:15.690

Sian Roberts

And I think we felt.

0:28:16.160 --> 0:28:16.650

Sian Roberts

I'm.

0:28:18.0 --> 0:28:32.150

Sian Roberts

Satisfied or I guess, I think we felt comforted by end carbs response to our concerns that there is a great deal of work going on as we continue with these other MRA's. And by the way, some of our other MRE's are similar.

0:28:33.290 --> 0:28:39.360

Sian Roberts

In terms of trying to educate the other the other.

0:28:40.160 --> 0:28:40.590

Sian Roberts

Umm.

0:28:41.770 --> 0:29:0.350

Sian Roberts

The other parties that were agreeing to about what our licensure process is without an accredited degree so that we can convince them and do course that that our candidates who do not have those degrees are still qualified and competent architects. So this will continue as these agreements.

0:29:1.570 --> 0:29:16.180

Sian Roberts

I become renewed and I know that endocarp is aware of the fact that this is a concern for our state. So those are the two that I thought were the most significant. I don't know. Does anybody have anything else to add terms of the business that was?

0:29:16.640 --> 0:29:18.40

Sian Roberts

Take that was taken care of there.

0:29:26.100 --> 0:29:26.530

Roch Manley

So.

0:29:26.630 --> 0:29:31.940

Harm, Scott

No, I'll just jump in and that I was glad to see that the responsible charge.

0:29:33.880 --> 0:29:50.100

Harm, Scott

Finally, I don't know if I could say that door has been closed, but it was interesting. Rick and I can reflect back to the Futures Collaborative when there was this awkward silence when one of the Members who, you know, I can't remember the gentleman's name at this point, which is unfortunate, you know.

0:29:51.100 --> 0:30:7.310

Harm, Scott

Elicited that he in fact stamped all the drawings coming out of this fairly large firm and there was no way he was going to know all the details of every single project in that he had the delegate that and there was this very long, protracted, awkward silence.

0:30:8.390 --> 0:30:8.690

Roch Manley

Yeah.

0:30:8.590 --> 0:30:33.300

Harm, Scott

From the other group, so you know that was maybe 3-3 years ago or four years ago, Rick. And to see that it, if I just to kind of watch that engine slowly move through the system, the Zen carbon especially on the committee shown that you I think said you chaired it was just kind of fun to watch it. Oh, you know what moment becomes something that's becomes an action item and gets passed.

0:30:35.40 --> 0:30:35.870

Harm, Scott

You know.

0:30:37.430 --> 0:30:37.980

Harm, Scott

You know.

0:30:37.330 --> 0:30:40.240

Sian Roberts

But quick clarification, I did not actually chair that committee, but.

0:30:41.270 --> 0:30:41.430

Paul Wu

The.

0:30:39.910 --> 0:30:42.70

Harm, Scott

OK. OK. Yeah, I I sit corrected.

0:30:44.990 --> 0:30:51.970

Harm, Scott

EDI continues. I hope I got the acronym correct. John EDI continues to be a pretty, pretty hot topic as it should be.

0:30:52.800 --> 0:30:54.130

Sian Roberts

El got it.

0:30:54.70 --> 0:30:54.840

Harm, Scott

Yeah, yeah.

0:30:55.250 --> 0:30:55.500

Paul Wu

Yeah.

0:30:58.200 --> 0:31:6.150

Harm, Scott

You know, I, I I got up on my little soapbox and spoke to the fact that I was somewhat disappointed that.

0:31:6.230 --> 0:31:17.510

Harm, Scott

But the people who would benefit from that action, it didn't appear that anyone through their name in the hat, even though there were some contested elections, which I must admit, was very refreshing to see.

0:31:18.600 --> 0:31:18.810

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Yeah.

0:31:17.630 --> 0:31:22.240

Harm, Scott

Umm, I've always thought let's say for the president.

0:31:23.720 --> 0:31:36.560

Harm, Scott

Every election should be contested. We should have a choice and I think there were three maybe of the group that were contested. So I very much enjoyed that and appreciated it.

0:31:37.980 --> 0:31:54.70

Harm, Scott

A little surprised with how one of the elections turned out, but I thought it was. It was great that people truly did have an option this time which was in mine long tenure going to those things was quite actually only the second time there was a contested election as far as I can remember.

0:31:54.800 --> 0:31:55.0

Roch Manley

Yeah.

0:31:56.910 --> 0:32:0.100

Paul Wu

Uh, Scott, I think there's room for you in the organization.

0:32:3.90 --> 0:32:3.630

Roch Manley

Yeah.

0:32:4.560 --> 0:32:6.970

Roch Manley

Yeah, well, this is this is rock.

0:32:8.280 --> 0:32:11.580

Roch Manley

Cool. I'm really glad that you were able to attend.

0:32:12.370 --> 0:32:16.490

Roch Manley

The annual business meeting I found it at my first.

0:32:17.290 --> 0:32:22.360

Roch Manley

Uh and curb gathering, which was probably a.

0:32:23.110 --> 0:32:24.20

Roch Manley

A regional.

0:32:25.370 --> 0:32:34.720

Roch Manley

It really does have the drinking from the fire hose a sense to it. There's there are a lot of areas that in CARB touches.

0:32:35.740 --> 0:32:38.550

Roch Manley

Obviously they have to do with what we do as a board and.

0:32:39.890 --> 0:32:47.490

Roch Manley

It's a. It's pretty exciting to be there to meet the people face to face, who are.

0:32:48.930 --> 0:33:6.900

Roch Manley

You know those who are working on the committees sometimes with alongside you, sometimes other communities that you're interested in and you know, hearing about the leadership at and curb and some of the new initiatives and everything. I would encourage.

0:33:11.570 --> 0:33:11.880

Paul Wu

Yeah.

0:33:9.340 --> 0:33:13.130

Roch Manley

Erica to go if at the first opportunity.

0:33:14.650 --> 0:33:23.50

Roch Manley

Because it's a, it's a very, very valuable experience to us as board members to be there and participate in that.

0:33:26.610 --> 0:33:31.120

Roch Manley

Any other thoughts on the annual business meet?

0:33:31.800 --> 0:34:3.150

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Or I'll just share a couple of things. When I think the most exciting moment for me was standing in the main hall right at the start and by my assigned to see we were all assigned seats. And from halfway across the room, I thought, I'm not sure, but I think that person walking this way is a Washington board

member. And I think I've seen him on teams multiple times and met Paul Wu and person for the first time, and it was a kind of a fascinating experience. Having spent the last two and a half years in a virtual environment.

0:34:3.500 --> 0:34:14.730

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

To be there with Paul and Sian and Scott and I think there was a lot of value in that. I appreciate Rick Benner's comment in the chat that.

0:34:24.290 --> 0:34:24.620

Roch Manley

No.

0:34:15.970 --> 0:34:24.890

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

He the best session he participated in was the one that I was a part of. Thank you, Rick. I'll pay you next time I'm up in Bellingham. That'll be really helpful.

0:34:26.110 --> 0:34:51.720

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

But you know, one of the things that stood out to me, we did our session like three times. And as always, Scott Harm showed up for one of them. And as always, Scott was like the life of the party when he, I think, made a statement that personally, Mike, I think it was my Co presenter was that he made some comment about, you know, I think I should hate you or I think you're kind of like ruin my business.

0:35:4.270 --> 0:35:4.490

Roch Manley

Yeah.

0:34:52.120 --> 0:35:23.330

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Umm it would it? It sparked a conversation that I thought went well beyond just kind of the normal kind of looking at some real things and our session was on the future of HSW. It talked about some technology things taking place and stuff. The Co presenter is the unique individual John Locke in within an organization that does everything from like you think about something until you finish.

0:35:23.430 --> 0:35:26.110

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Building it and it anything in between so.

0:35:27.490 --> 0:35:27.740

Roch Manley

Yeah.

0:35:27.690 --> 0:35:31.550

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

But it it was a great session, you know.

0:35:32.800 --> 0:35:34.680

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

There has been some comments about some of the.

0:35:34.760 --> 0:35:34.980

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Yeah.

0:35:53.960 --> 0:35:54.300

Roch Manley

Yes.

0:35:37.30 --> 0:36:7.40

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Amendments that were passed the resolutions absolutely the other ones that Sean didn't mention, several of them were just these like cleanup resolutions where they were looking at something that they had passed 30 years ago and it's still on the books and the kind of getting those cleaned up. And I think you can anticipate for the next couple years, there'll be some ongoing work there. I think they started with like the oldest ones that were really old and they're coming up and cleaning up a little bit more. But again, it was great.

0:36:7.120 --> 0:36:10.210

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

One of the nice things was that I learned towards the end that.

0:36:10.290 --> 0:36:21.720

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Umm I Ducati motorcycle is like really fast and dangerous and that Paul Wu is qualified to write well. He used to be qualified to ride one so.

0:36:22.0 --> 0:36:22.370

Paul Wu

Yeah.

0:36:24.840 --> 0:36:25.300

Roch Manley

OH.

0:36:26.430 --> 0:36:27.60

Roch Manley

Go blue.

0:36:26.430 --> 0:36:27.990

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

And I think Rick Benner's hand is up.

0:36:28.450 --> 0:36:30.370

Roch Manley

I saw that Rick.

0:36:30.700 --> 0:37:1.290

Rick Benner

Yeah. Thanks. And just kind of to add to, I think Roch's comments about getting involved and getting involved early because the number of years you're on the board go by pretty quickly. And if you really wanna get in and make some change, I would encourage you to get into some of the committees or other work. I know I early on got into the ARE exam writing and modification committees. I know several of us have done.

0:37:1.370 --> 0:37:8.320

Rick Benner

That route that's I found really interesting for again helping.

0:37:10.100 --> 0:37:36.710

Rick Benner

New architects come into the profession. Uh, the other place that I went is was on some NAV accreditation school of architecture visits and things like that that you can do as an end CARB member. You can be one of those that work in the accreditation arena. So and that was quite interesting as well. Touring and looking at other institutions and how they.

0:37:37.210 --> 0:37:39.760

Rick Benner

Uh provide their programs.

0:37:41.60 --> 0:38:0.350

Rick Benner

And some were, coop and some were regular, and that experience and eventually may end up doing accreditation overseas. So that's kind of the perk of going into that field. So again, for those that are relatively new or aren't on and committees, I really encourage you to get involved cause it's.

0:38:1.430 --> 0:38:6.420

Rick Benner

It is really interesting work and quite beneficial to the community.

0:38:8.20 --> 0:38:15.30

Sian Roberts

And just so Erica is aware of the logistics of that, there is a call for volunteers that happens annually. So it will happen.

0:38:16.130 --> 0:38:33.560

Sian Roberts

Next winter, I believe. And then you sign up and you kind of I identify your preferences and then the president or it's the incoming or the outgoing. I can't remember somebody. Anyway on the board then creates the committee list so.

0:38:34.70 --> 0:38:34.700

Harm, Scott

And what's?

0:38:34.120 --> 0:38:34.810

Rick Benner  
Incoming.

0:38:35.650 --> 0:39:8.860

Harm, Scott

In the and I remember in the day, I think. Uh rock, when you and I came on and it was pre COVID of course or it was pre COVID they get so many volunteers for positions. I mean I think it's grown exponentially the number of people that I have signed up that you almost had the campaign to get on to a committee you had to go meet the incoming president make sure they knew your name because they you know according to Alfred Bidari who just rotated out you know sits there with eight and half by 11 cars with all their names of everybody that's thrown in their name and.

0:39:28.210 --> 0:39:28.640

Roch Manley  
Right.

0:39:8.990 --> 0:39:29.350

Harm, Scott

And they move it around and figure it out. So it's kind of a coin flip at this point, cause it has been refreshing to see or hear that they get just a large number of people who are volunteering for the committees. And so there's, you know, maybe a need for 250 people, what they get, 325 volunteers kind of thing. Yeah.

0:39:32.290 --> 0:39:33.340

Harm, Scott  
Yeah, yeah.

0:39:33.530 --> 0:39:34.20

Paul Wu  
We're good.

0:39:36.240 --> 0:39:37.90

Harm, Scott  
Yes, they do.

0:39:45.730 --> 0:39:46.20

Harm, Scott  
Yeah.

0:39:29.10 --> 0:39:59.140

Sian Roberts

I would say that they actually have a more scientific way of doing that and that they actually have a program that helps them make sure that they're getting the right component of people on the committee. And I've definitely noticed that in the responsible charge task force that was made up of architects from all over the country that had practiced in different sized firms had different kind of could bring different aspects of their practice to the discussion. So.

0:39:59.260 --> 0:40:4.950

Sian Roberts

They they're very intentional about creating those committees so that they're getting the right voices in the room.

0:40:5.320 --> 0:40:7.200

Harm, Scott

Boy in in, in in the.

0:40:6.90 --> 0:40:8.830

Roch Manley

That was Germos lineup, I believe.

0:40:10.470 --> 0:40:11.100

Roch Manley

Uh.

0:40:10.930 --> 0:40:12.500

Harm, Scott

Yep. Exactly. Yep.

0:40:10.260 --> 0:40:16.120

Sian Roberts

Yes, exactly. That's what that's called. They have actually kind of, yeah, created a program to make sure that they're.

0:40:17.150 --> 0:40:17.420

Roch Manley

Right.

0:40:16.800 --> 0:40:21.290

Sian Roberts

You know that the makeup, the makeup of their committees is gonna get them the results that they want.

0:40:27.780 --> 0:40:28.260

Roch Manley

Exactly.

0:40:30.260 --> 0:40:30.850

Roch Manley

Yeah.

0:40:20.490 --> 0:40:38.860

Harm, Scott

And in fact a program that Dave licensed out to other organ large organizations for exactly that purpose. So it's been really kind of fun to watch that from its infancy to something that's a separate entity from end card that's I think I think it's actually four profit if I remember correctly.

0:40:39.810 --> 0:40:44.470

Roch Manley

And like you, Scott, you know, back when I first started I.

0:40:45.380 --> 0:40:54.160

Roch Manley

I did a few years on a AR committees and I think the Kid Committee on Education, I wanna say.

0:40:55.530 --> 0:41:1.820

Roch Manley

But it's really fascinating and some of the discussions you get into.

0:41:3.480 --> 0:41:4.440

Roch Manley

Eye opening.

0:41:5.760 --> 0:41:30.790

Roch Manley

You know, stretches your stretches, your thinking a bit, and I would highly recommend getting involved on, you know, whatever committees sounds interesting to you or that you're able to get on. I was that was pre lineup for me. So probably was the five by seven cards. I'll let you down on the card table.

0:41:31.670 --> 0:41:31.930

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Right.

0:41:32.950 --> 0:41:33.500

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Will end.

0:41:31.530 --> 0:41:34.630

Roch Manley

Umm but yeah.

0:41:34.370 --> 0:42:4.940

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

And just stick quick follow-up business right the call for volunteers happens in the winter typically in February and e-mail would go out and as folks said, really encourage you to sign up and explain some of your passion and then kind of going back to something Scott mentioned earlier, I would really encourage board members to continue to be involved in the end card committees because it does make a difference. The committee, Scott and I were on four or five years ago that started the ball rolling.

0:42:5.10 --> 0:42:8.770

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Or it's changing responsible charge the definition in.

0:42:10.110 --> 0:42:17.520

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

The Model Law, as he said it took five years, right? So some of it's a really slow boat to make it happen.

0:42:18.840 --> 0:42:47.950

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

But I think something else that I've seen in a lot of conversations around and carb the last couple years is there's more and more acceptance towards alternate paths. And as you know, I'll turn it to pathways and Washington has been a real key element of licensure and important and there's some States and some areas where it's just they wouldn't even begin to think of it. But the interest is growing. There's a lot of concern about barriers to licensure or.

0:42:48.30 --> 0:43:11.710

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Where is the United States and. And so I think our involvement in different committees and different places has helped fuel some of that that I think now Washington is almost like a model that I think you'll see more jurisdictions moving towards utilizing alternative pathways to licensure. And you've got some really great ideas going on here that can be spread to other places so.

0:43:12.830 --> 0:43:24.690

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

It's a it's a great opportunity and again I appreciate you all being involved in the regional conference, the National Conference and keeping your voice out there. So excellent job.

0:43:26.300 --> 0:43:26.550

Paul Wu

Hey.

0:43:26.380 --> 0:43:31.30

Roch Manley

Right. Thank you. Thank you all for getting involved.

0:43:32.120 --> 0:43:43.700

Roch Manley

The next agenda item, I think we're any other comments if there are any other comments on the business repeating the business meeting report out?

0:43:46.150 --> 0:43:53.170

Roch Manley

Then let's move on to the master action items list with Shari.

0:43:53.840 --> 0:44:1.830

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

OK, I'm so right now we are we're in the process. We've had a couple meetings on.

0:44:2.520 --> 0:44:12.390

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Well, we sent you the official that e-mail. I followed up with that after the meeting, and then we've had a work group meeting.

0:44:13.990 --> 0:44:23.880

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Per se with the group. So that's in progress on the outreach committee and we're the Green Book is on hold. We've met with them.

0:44:25.250 --> 0:44:49.240

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

And then we're on in progress now to fall and for the fall on to review the you know, the architect, the architect, architect law. Sorry with Sean, Colin and Scott and we're working on the document to the board or I emailed that you to you guys. I've updated the website with the new chair and vice chair. That's complete.

0:44:49.960 --> 0:45:1.910

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

On the delegates, that's all done and gone. Those will be coming off. The ones that are completed and the AGL opinion that you all received that and then?

0:45:3.420 --> 0:45:14.140

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

There will be discussing later on about the review of the delegation was we're leaving. You know, we gotta get that figured out. So. So that's all I have rock.

0:45:18.310 --> 0:45:18.620

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Umm.

0:45:14.620 --> 0:45:18.830

Roch Manley

Alright, that that sounds good, Shari.

0:45:19.800 --> 0:45:20.420

Roch Manley

Umm.

0:45:22.360 --> 0:45:26.810

Roch Manley

We can move on to item 3 new business and.

0:45:28.670 --> 0:45:33.810

Roch Manley

I don't believe there is any new business. Is that true, Shari? OK, OK.

0:45:33.320 --> 0:45:34.960

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Correct, there is no new business.

0:45:35.330 --> 0:45:38.30

Roch Manley

All right, so again.

0:45:44.760 --> 0:45:45.150

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

No.

0:45:38.910 --> 0:45:46.960

Roch Manley

Uh, there looks like we don't have any complaint cases for review and unless and even brought up alright.

0:45:47.970 --> 0:45:51.210

Roch Manley

Same item 5 legal issues for deliberation.

0:45:52.160 --> 0:45:52.600

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

No.

0:45:52.350 --> 0:45:54.100

Roch Manley

And there are none.

0:45:54.740 --> 0:45:55.20

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Umm.

0:45:54.840 --> 0:45:58.680

Roch Manley

Uh, easy agenda this time around, huh?

0:46:0.860 --> 0:46:5.560

Roch Manley

The item 6 disciplinary and investigation reports.

0:46:7.160 --> 0:46:12.290

Roch Manley

Under 6.1 closed session deliberation report, we have none.

0:46:14.410 --> 0:46:21.740

Roch Manley

But it's like we have some information on the disciplinary cases report to share so.

0:46:23.700 --> 0:46:25.650

Roch Manley

Is Shari or Rick?

0:46:25.960 --> 0:46:26.590

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Rick.

0:46:26.940 --> 0:46:27.390

Roch Manley

Rick.

0:46:28.150 --> 0:46:32.840

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Yeah. And as you can see, we've got quite a few things that have closed.

0:46:34.680 --> 0:46:39.510

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

At this point we've got a couple things that are still under investigation.

0:46:40.990 --> 0:46:55.780

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

It looks like they're not actually assigned to a case manager yet, so I would anticipate that at some point in the not too distant future, somebody's gonna have a case coming their way and maybe a little bit of a follow up too with this.

0:46:57.530 --> 0:47:12.180

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Talking with Grace Hamilton and Keith Peterson, who are now working in another section, I think you're gonna see some conversations coming forward. Just talking a little bit more about what the process looks like now in our functional alignment process, so.

0:47:13.460 --> 0:47:16.120

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

We'll do that. And Scott, you've got a question, it looks like.

0:47:16.800 --> 0:47:17.90

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Probably.

0:47:16.690 --> 0:47:21.120

Harm, Scott

Let me see if I can figure how to put my hand back down. I guess so. Yeah, I would.

0:47:22.520 --> 0:47:37.110

Harm, Scott

Again on West Carb being someone who does the new member orientation for Erica's benefit, is there anything that she gets tutored on when her first case shows up in the process?

0:47:37.610 --> 0:48:6.550

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Yeah. So, Umm, that's a really good question, Scott. And actually we've been talking and I've been talking with grace about that and a little bit with Sydney as they'll be involved with this process as we move forward. I think what you can anticipate is there will be a little bit more work on boarding probably sitting down with you as an individual whether virtually through a teams format or otherwise to talk through the process, talk about what the complaint is.

0:48:7.650 --> 0:48:41.960

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Kind of fill you in on what your role is as we move through it and then making sure you feel like you've got kind of phone a friend as you move through so that you have confidence and what you're doing the basic role of the board members and maybe board members can jump in. If I get this a little bit off, but when we get a complaint, staff take that in, they do some initial investigation and review of the information put together a case file and then would come to one of you as a case manager. You're the only one that knows that the complaint has happened at that point.

0:48:42.370 --> 0:48:56.10

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

And you get to review the file, you can ask all the additional questions. It's like you can ask staff well, why didn't you ask them this or why didn't you get this or something and staff will go back out and do it.

0:48:57.270 --> 0:49:10.330

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Until you've got a case file that's got information that you feel you can make a real good determination whether a violation had taken place and whether it rises to the case of needing to have disciplinary action.

0:49:10.910 --> 0:49:11.520

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

And.

0:49:12.130 --> 0:49:12.660

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Go ahead.

0:49:10.630 --> 0:49:24.430

Harm, Scott

And then the thing you remember that the thing to remember that of course I get wrong a couple times was you aren't free to do your own investigating or you can't. You can't become your own Magnum Pi and go on to websites and do things like that.

0:49:26.310 --> 0:49:26.600

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Right.

0:49:25.440 --> 0:49:30.450

Roch Manley

Yeah, and this is rock to Scott's point. I think that the hardest part.

0:49:31.180 --> 0:49:35.60

Roch Manley

Uh, for me of handling a case.

0:49:36.210 --> 0:49:46.820

Roch Manley

Was, you know, what are the precedents? What have others done in the past? What is the level of egregiousness, or lack thereof, that this case might arise to?

0:49:47.490 --> 0:49:56.710

Roch Manley

And trying to figure out figure that out is that that's where in the past I would have been seeking the most guidance and did you know?

0:49:57.680 --> 0:49:58.160

Roch Manley

So.

0:49:58.170 --> 0:50:23.110

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

But and I think that's really true rock and I think particularly with this board it's not like you're reviewing 1020 cases every board meeting to consider foreclosure action as some other parts that we work with the funeral and cemetery board is the one that's probably the most active when it comes to complaint cases. So that becomes a really important thing cuz you might only get a case once every couple of years, few years or so. So absolutely.

0:50:24.440 --> 0:50:28.290

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

At reach out to staff. If you've got questions. If you have concerns.

0:50:28.420 --> 0:50:42.800

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

And we would like it to be that when you're making a recommendation, you feel solid about it. Then the other piece is that we have an attorney that will work with if it's something that you want to move forward with some type of action, that attorney is not Elizabeth.

0:50:42.910 --> 0:51:6.230

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

I'm Elizabeth is your board advisor? And so she said all these board meetings and things. But if a case ever went to the point that it's gonna be a hearing before the board to make a determination when it comes to the board as a hearing, it's pretty much the first time the whole board is aware of the compliant, aware of the circumstances and what takes place and.

0:51:7.430 --> 0:51:30.840

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Elizabeth and your board chair will know a little bit prior to that, because there's some motions that take place prior to an actual hearing before a board. But other than that, Elizabeth and the rest of the borders totally screened from the complaint and what's going on so that you can really sit as an unbiased group to hear the information that's presented at a hearing to make a determination.

0:51:31.980 --> 0:51:39.310

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

And so we have a prosecuting attorney is what we were referred to them as. They're just another assistant attorney general from the Attorney general's office.

0:51:40.270 --> 0:52:6.420

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Cool is assigned to a specifically to work with our board programs, and so that person is also available. And there's sometimes that you look at a case and you're thinking, well, this looks like a problem, but I think it's a violation of this. And if you want, we have that ability to consult with our attorney general, who's our prosecutor to really talk about the fine things in the law to figure out. Do you really have something to hang your hat on or not? So, yeah.

0:52:6.100 --> 0:52:8.810

Sian Roberts

Yeah, I would just add one thing to that in one of the.

0:52:9.530 --> 0:52:16.740

Sian Roberts

Perspectives that I think we've gained from our end carb, you know discussions is that make in.

0:52:18.80 --> 0:52:25.530

Sian Roberts

Making it clear that our role here is not to protect the industry, right? Our role is to regulate the industry so.

0:52:25.680 --> 0:52:25.930

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Yep.

0:52:27.490 --> 0:52:38.270

Sian Roberts

Being clear about what the role and benefit of the board is and what role you're playing when you're reviewing a complaint is important. That perspective is very important.

0:52:39.60 --> 0:53:9.330

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Yeah, absolutely. You know, we don't talk about it a lot, but at times we've talked about the fact that you have like your industry hat and your regulator hat. And I would assume that most of your days you go through work and you're wearing an industry that you're there to provide the services work within the industry, build the industry up. But when you come and sit on the board, you're here as a regulator, you're here to make sure that folks are operating according to the laws and regulations that determine what an architect can and can't do.

0:53:9.620 --> 0:53:42.370

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Uh, you're looking at whether somebody is properly licensed and really, you know, we talk about licensure as an issue of being minimally qualified and that's one of the challenges, particularly when you talk about complaint cases, because sometimes we want to look at it like we'll did, they do the job as well as I would have or as well as my firm would have and stuff. And you need to really look at it from a perspective of did they do the work as a minimally qualified architecture because that's what we license we don't license.

0:53:42.650 --> 0:53:48.400

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

The A plus front of the classroom student architect. So.

0:53:49.300 --> 0:54:14.890

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Very good points. Thank you. So right now a lot, not a lot of complaint cases and just a little historical perspective. Eight years ago we used to have a lot of complaint cases and probably 80% of them were failure to do their continuing education and people got caught up in an audit. We changed our audit process about six or seven years ago. And in those cases have all disappeared.

0:54:14.970 --> 0:54:21.600

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

I it's been rare that we get somebody not compliant with continuing education anymore.

0:54:24.590 --> 0:54:26.130

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

That would be that, then rough.

0:54:26.840 --> 0:54:27.350

Roch Manley

Right.

0:54:28.870 --> 0:54:41.610

Roch Manley

Period. If there isn't anything else on that topic, we kind of straight a little bit on the disciplinary cases report, but it's all good information for I think both for.

0:54:42.320 --> 0:54:43.610

Roch Manley

Call and Erica.

0:54:45.470 --> 0:54:50.960

Roch Manley

To hear. To hear more about that, the next item on the agenda is.

0:54:52.120 --> 0:55:3.740

Roch Manley

Item 7. The assistant Attorney General's report and as Rick just said, Elizabeth is our board advisor attorney.

0:55:4.450 --> 0:55:11.810

Roch Manley

And she'll share with us on the 7.1 the Lego opinion update.

0:55:12.410 --> 0:55:26.10

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

And Roch just real quickly interjecting here, I think Rick Benner had indicated that he would need to take

a break at 10. Do you wanna take a slight break here and then start back up with Elizabeth in just a little bit? Or do you wanna keep going?

0:55:25.910 --> 0:55:29.880

Roch Manley

There's no perfect. I had lost track of the time that.

0:55:30.530 --> 0:55:37.40

Roch Manley

That last conversation kind of made the made it turn into ten o'clock real fast. So.

0:55:37.220 --> 0:55:37.660

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Danger.

0:55:38.100 --> 0:55:42.410

Roch Manley

Let us take a break. It will take a.

0:55:43.960 --> 0:55:44.570

Roch Manley

10 minute.

0:55:45.230 --> 0:55:45.560

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

OK.

0:55:45.240 --> 0:55:47.660

Roch Manley

Or 15 minute any preferences?

0:55:48.980 --> 0:55:57.180

Roch Manley

How about a 10 minute break? So we we'll be back here? Yep, 10 minutes back here at 10:10.

0:55:57.880 --> 0:55:58.810

Roch Manley

And see you all then.

0:55:59.810 --> 0:56:0.120

Paul Wu

Right.

0:56:0.140 --> 0:56:0.500

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

OK.

0:56:0.890 --> 0:56:1.260

Roch Manley

OK.

0:56:1.10 --> 0:56:2.0

Harm, Scott

Thanks rob.

0:56:2.550 --> 0:56:2.980

Paul Wu

Thank you.

0:56:5.120 --> 0:56:5.560

Roch Manley

Thank you.

1:6:28.980 --> 1:6:29.420

Roch Manley

All right.

1:6:31.610 --> 1:6:32.740

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Yes, go.

1:6:32.400 --> 1:6:41.40

Roch Manley

OK, alright. So we're resuming the meeting. Hope everyone had a good break and hope the cats are in good shape, Rick.

1:6:42.610 --> 1:6:45.730

Roch Manley

Item 7.1 AG opinion update.

1:6:46.490 --> 1:6:50.150

Roch Manley

Uh Elizabeth is Elizabeth Lagerberg.

1:6:53.140 --> 1:7:3.520

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Thank you. So I am going to talk just a little bit about the most recent AGO opinion. This issue has been ongoing for.

1:7:4.210 --> 1:7:31.570

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Oh my gosh, Rick, how many years has this issue been coming up? 10 years at least. Maybe the entire lifetime of architects and engineers. But recently there's been two AG opinions in the last year, I believe on when an architect can stamp something and when can an engineer stamp something? AG opinions are issued when a senator or a A.

1:7:32.340 --> 1:7:40.540

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

A legislator requests an AG opinion from the from our office. AG opinions are written by.

1:7:40.620 --> 1:7:58.670

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Umm. Assistant attorney generals in our office and then reviewed and approved by the Attorney general himself. And then they become official and they can be used in case law. So in cases you can cite to AG opinions as.

1:7:58.750 --> 1:8:12.40

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Some persuasive, but they're not necessarily if a court case came out and held something different than the GOP opinion would, it would have precedence over the AG opinion, so that that's what AG opinions do.

1:8:12.590 --> 1:8:13.50

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Umm.

1:8:15.30 --> 1:8:34.680

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

And so that the question has been asked several times this year and I think it's specifically from an architect's perspective, there's concern from some architects or local building officials about when whether engineers can stamp certain items that.

1:8:35.610 --> 1:8:38.180

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

That people believe may be in the architect's purview.

1:8:39.50 --> 1:8:39.610

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Umm.

1:9:2.50 --> 1:9:2.380

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Yes.

1:8:40.470 --> 1:9:7.560

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

So this most recent AGO opinion, and as I said, there was another one that occurred very recently that was on this basically the same topic. So the questions, the two questions in this ago opinion and so this AG opinion was recently issued and I think it was sent out to everyone and I guess I can ask first, does do people want me to talk about the AG opinion or has everyone read it?

1:9:10.510 --> 1:9:14.140

Roch Manley

I'd say, Elizabeth I read it myself.

1:9:19.860 --> 1:9:20.590

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

OK.

1:9:14.220 --> 1:9:21.350

Roch Manley

Umm. And I guess it raised a question or two for me, so I'd like you to.

1:9:24.310 --> 1:9:24.660

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

OK.

1:9:21.990 --> 1:9:24.730

Roch Manley

Go ahead and talk about it if anyone else.

1:9:26.450 --> 1:9:27.70

Harm, Scott

Yeah.

1:9:26.400 --> 1:9:27.530

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

I'll just give a brief.

1:9:28.200 --> 1:9:29.830

Harm, Scott

Cliff notes kind of the thing, yeah.

1:9:30.40 --> 1:9:30.390

Roch Manley

Yeah.

1:9:30.20 --> 1:9:32.690

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

OK. Yeah, I'll just give it really brief overview.

1:9:34.170 --> 1:9:41.580

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Hang on a minute while I so there were there were two questions presented and I think that both of them.

1:9:41.910 --> 1:10:5.480

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

I have a little bit of a problem in that they confuse the architect Statute and whether it has control over engineers. So the first question is when does a complete set of design documents created by an engineer for non agricultural and non residential building that exceeds 4000 feet amount to the practice of engineering so.

1:10:5.560 --> 1:10:5.970

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Umm.

1:10:8.40 --> 1:10:36.920

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

I think that that this question confuses the exceeding 4000 feet rule, which means that 4000 feet rule is specifically comes from the architects statutes that say, you know, are you don't need an architect to design something and there's more specific requirements, but that's less than 4000 feet. Now the practice of engineering of course has nothing to do with that because they practice of engineering is under RCW 1843.

1:10:37.580 --> 1:10:57.470

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Which is their own statue. So they have their own requirements for design that are completely separate from and it doesn't matter how big the building is, right? So 4000 square feet has nothing to do with the practice of engineering in terms of there's no limitation for the practice of engineering on size.

1:10:58.60 --> 1:11:10.350

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Umm, it just has to do with whether it gets down to whether or not we're talking about the practice of engineering versus the practice of architecture, which is a very difficult thing from a statutory perspective to define.

1:11:11.910 --> 1:11:14.410

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

And I can just touch, I'll go back to that in a second.

1:11:14.930 --> 1:11:22.220

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Umm. So the second question is if a complete set of design documents is considered the practice of engineering.

1:11:23.710 --> 1:11:47.490

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Under 1843, that's the engineers statutes with those documents fall under the exemption to architect to architectural licensing provided in 1808. So again, this gets back to a confusion between whether 1808, the practice of engineering architecture, has any control over 1843, the practice of engineering.

1:11:48.270 --> 1:11:50.200

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

They're completely separate statutes.

1:11:51.120 --> 1:11:59.790

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

There's no requirements for an exemption from under the architect Statute for an engineered practice engineering.

1:12:0.790 --> 1:12:5.980

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Engineers practice engineering doesn't matter the size, they just have to stay within their.

1:12:7.730 --> 1:12:36.850

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Swim lane, so to speak, of what? Engineering, what engineers are allowed to do versus what architectures are architects are allowed to do. And I think this being the second opinion in a short period of time. And then I also have to say that as soon as this opinion came out and the legislator came back to the Attorney General's office and said again, but what's the difference between, you know, what, when do you say something isn't?

1:12:37.90 --> 1:12:42.160

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Engineers document versus engineers design versus an architects design so.

1:12:43.480 --> 1:13:13.620

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

The short and the long of it is from the AG's perspective, we can only look at the statutes and the wax, but mainly the statutes and the statutes do not clearly delineate the difference between the practice of engineering. They don't. It's not even, it's not clearly delineate they, they just don't delineate the differences between the practice of engineering and the practice of architects. Now the we put together a task force. Last year we talked with both engineers from the engineers.

1:13:13.710 --> 1:13:25.180

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Word and architects from the Arctic's board, and there are differences. Of course there are differences, but in the statutes there aren't, and the ago cannot opine on something that isn't in the law.

1:13:25.920 --> 1:13:27.20

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

So.

1:13:28.180 --> 1:14:0.270

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

So that's the opinion that AG's the AGO keeps providing to the legislature the actual final decision comes down to the local building, the local permitting office. The local jurisdiction is responsible for making those determinations and that's their authority. And then boards and the AGO can't step in and make those decisions for the local jurisdictions. So we just have to keep saying the local jurisdiction makes that call. The local jurisdiction makes that call.

1:14:0.920 --> 1:14:15.680

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

And it's not, of course, not satisfactory to some people either. Local jurisdictions want more direction, or people don't like decisions that local jurisdictions are making. But again, without any clearer statutory delineation.

1:14:16.370 --> 1:14:19.500

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

The AG's office can't fix that. So.

1:14:20.660 --> 1:14:21.500

Sian Roberts

So I guess the.

1:14:20.550 --> 1:14:23.900

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

So yeah, now I think I can answer questions. Umm, that's OK.

1:14:22.270 --> 1:14:32.450

Sian Roberts

Uh, sorry. Yeah. OK. Thanks. Thanks. I guess the follow up question to that is you know after having numerous discussions about this.

1:14:33.270 --> 1:14:33.980

Sian Roberts

Is.

1:14:45.50 --> 1:14:45.220

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Yeah.

1:14:35.140 --> 1:15:4.710

Sian Roberts

Should we be considering longer term better definitions here? And I believe that NCARB has an incidental practice task force or something that's been recently kind of working on this as well, and there may be something that comes out of that or there or there could be something that that we could begin discussing with the engineers board as to whether we can provide at least a little bit more of a framework around which this could be discussed. Is that something that we should be considering?

1:15:5.810 --> 1:15:31.20

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Well, again, we have to be very, very careful about that because unless I certainly think that it, you can discuss that in terms of what kinds of legislation you'd like to see if legislation is necessary or if it's a good idea. But from a, it would have to be from a legislative point of view because the boards themselves and the and again the AGO do not have the authority.

1:15:31.690 --> 1:15:35.500

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Under the law to make those kinds of delineations, even if.

1:15:36.40 --> 1:15:36.540

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Uh.

1:15:54.780 --> 1:15:55.220

Sian Roberts

But I guess.

1:15:37.750 --> 1:15:56.620

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Even if you have direction from the national, you know the association, the associations from engineers or architects. Even if you have some direction from them, even if you work with engineers still, there's no law to support those determinations. Yeah, sorry.

1:15:55.990 --> 1:16:11.780

Sian Roberts

Yeah, I guess what I would suggesting is that by beginning the conversation, I know that this takes years and years to happen, but by the beginning, that discussion, you know, 5 or 10 years from now, there could be a change to the law that does better define that, right? So is this something that should be a long term?

1:16:13.690 --> 1:16:16.490

Sian Roberts

And I know we wanna say should be. Maybe it's just, you know.

1:16:17.220 --> 1:16:26.130

Sian Roberts

Umm yeah, would it? Would it benefit? Would it be beneficial to have more clarity in in the law here, and is that something that?

1:16:26.950 --> 1:16:34.260

Sian Roberts

We could start thinking about and then that might eventually become a law, you know, a long way down the road.

1:16:34.910 --> 1:16:50.160

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

So, Umm, I know there's going to be opinions on that and I'll leave it open to the board. But the first thing I want to say is the other thing that these opinions all say is it's sort of a case by case basis. So I wouldn't want to.

1:16:51.290 --> 1:16:51.860

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

You know.

1:16:54.170 --> 1:17:5.20

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

I wouldn't want to eliminate that ability for the local jurisdiction to make those determinations on a case by case basis, but that's not to say that the boards couldn't decide that legislation would be helpful. So I'm going to let.

1:17:6.220 --> 1:17:11.70

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Rick or Sherry take over the discussion. I can answer questions if you want.

1:17:10.870 --> 1:17:13.190

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Sounds good. Scott, you had to go hang up.

1:17:13.670 --> 1:17:35.400

Harm, Scott

Yeah. The two items, first of all for Paul Woo and either I think it's rock or myself for the Wabo meeting, Washington Association of Built Building officials, this opinion especially page 22 really does reinforce the fact that local jurisdictions have the last call.

1:17:36.120 --> 1:17:40.920

Harm, Scott

Umm, we often say that, but to have that in writing on page 22.

1:17:46.960 --> 1:17:47.150

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Yeah.

1:17:41.840 --> 1:18:1.830

Harm, Scott

When it comes up for these smaller jurisdictions that Rick we ran into before that they have to make these tough decisions, it's here, it is, it's the AG's opinion that you are the, the, the, the, the, the your authority on what stamps you require. You can require almost whatever you would like, you know, make yourself sleep better.

1:18:3.80 --> 1:18:3.280

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Yep.

1:18:2.840 --> 1:18:32.190

Harm, Scott

The second thing was a bit then in reading it before the meeting and rereading it is with a background of an me is an architect working on the on a building for the United States Navy that I can't tell talk much about, but it is predominantly a structural engineering exercise of a giant frame to hold some testing equipment that's wrapped in a pre engineered metal building in and it's by the Navy. It's identified as they normally unoccupied building.

1:18:33.850 --> 1:19:3.40

Harm, Scott

That fits this question and I think what both questions one and two lack is some definition of that these are gonna be classified as fully occupied buildings and then they're not gonna be an industrial use like a large warehouse for storing things that people don't normally go, you know not an Amazon warehouse but just a warehouse where you store ball bearings or automobile tires or all that. But I think what really lacks is.

1:19:3.150 --> 1:19:24.330

Harm, Scott

You know, a thermally conditioned, fully occupied building and I think that's what's lacking in the two

questions of really define, you know, a building where humans will work or live in a normal basis. And I think and I think both questions kind of lack that clarity of this is what we're this is what we're looking at, not just any structure.

1:19:24.940 --> 1:19:53.60

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Well, and I understand that you know we've discussed that before and it makes complete sense to me and I've tried to sort of write that in to our to a tiny extent. But again, there's just nothing in the statutes that supports that. So even though I know it and you know it, there's we just don't have statutory guidelines on that. So it's hard to point to that as law.

1:19:59.360 --> 1:20:5.120

Sian Roberts

Which comes back to my question of whether they're, whether there's any value in actually.

1:20:6.660 --> 1:20:12.470

Sian Roberts

If you know again, yes, we do have do not have the power to change the law and maybe there is no.

1:20:13.110 --> 1:20:21.480

Sian Roberts

Better way to maybe better defining it is not a value, but is there a is? Should we? Is it something we should explore?

1:20:22.350 --> 1:20:22.840

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

And.

1:20:22.290 --> 1:20:51.740

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

And let me get back to the definition of or the phrase that they keep using, which is a set of design documents. So I think in situations where you have a building that's has a considerable a considerable amount of engineering involved, you know, the engineers may submit a set of design documents and an architect firm may submit a design set of design documents for the same project. And there are different elements.

1:20:51.870 --> 1:20:55.660

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Of the same. And we talked about that that frequently. You need both.

1:20:56.340 --> 1:21:15.170

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Umm. Engineering and architects working on a project. So again, that's that case-by-case basis. So. So I'd local jurisdiction will be looking at ohh here's the engineering piece of a project and here's the architect's piece of A of A of a project and so frequently you'll have both. So, it's hard to.

1:21:16.640 --> 1:21:17.840

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

You know, make that.

1:21:18.720 --> 1:21:19.430

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Distinction.

1:21:20.420 --> 1:21:20.890

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

And.

1:21:22.640 --> 1:21:23.0

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Yep.

1:21:20.290 --> 1:21:27.410

Roch Manley

So it's this is rock. I see. Paul, you had your hand up and then the Erica. So, Paul, you went to go ahead.

1:21:27.960 --> 1:21:31.990

Paul Wu

Yeah. My take is that our.

1:21:33.260 --> 1:22:2.950

Paul Wu

Our profession is into protecting the life and safety of the occupants and also there's an environmental impact that we look at a lot of times, maybe missed by the engineers or engineering functions. So in in the case of what Scott was mentioning about the equipment building, I think still a human will be involved in that kind of operation and it will be.

1:22:4.340 --> 1:22:5.0

Paul Wu

I wouldn't.

1:22:6.300 --> 1:22:26.870

Paul Wu

If I'm the project manager, I would definitely wanna architect to be involved, at least on the oversight to see what the whole structure and ramification and impact to human occupancy you know and operation. For that, I think architects' involvement should be included.

1:22:30.950 --> 1:22:31.740

Roch Manley

Right.

1:22:32.40 --> 1:22:32.260

Paul Wu

Yeah.

1:22:36.20 --> 1:22:36.380

Erica Loynd

Yep.

1:22:34.840 --> 1:22:42.50

Roch Manley

Erica, would you like to make your comment and end Elizabeth, if you have a follow up to either of those?

1:22:42.970 --> 1:22:46.880

Erica Loynd

Yeah, my excuse me, my thought it started as you were talking through.

1:22:57.850 --> 1:22:58.70

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Umm.

1:22:47.500 --> 1:23:17.30

Erica Loynd

What these types of engineering projects and the warehouses, I think one thing that the local jurisdictions may start combatting is I've seen a lot of the smaller communities in more the rural areas of Washington are starting to get very large warehouse data centers or warehouse factories because the land is cheaper and those buildings overwhelm the local jurisdictions, code officials, they don't. They haven't seen projects that big, they take over their infrastructure and thankfully they usually have a county that can.

1:23:17.590 --> 1:23:30.370

Erica Loynd

Support them and they have resources, but these questions I see coming up are I see the potential for them to come up a lot more if they are the ones that are responsible to make that decision and they are getting these very new megaprojects.

1:23:31.450 --> 1:23:38.60

Erica Loynd

And there are areas. So we get to give some guidance that they can go to and know that they have resources that can help them.

1:23:40.620 --> 1:23:44.60

Roch Manley

I see Sian raise your hand. Maybe before.

1:23:45.980 --> 1:23:51.890

Roch Manley

Before you interject, Sean, if Elizabeth has any comment on the last two items.

1:23:54.220 --> 1:23:56.560

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Well, again.

1:23:56.660 --> 1:23:57.290

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Uh.

1:23:57.910 --> 1:23:58.70

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

It.

1:23:58.140 --> 1:24:12.310

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Goes back to the local jurisdictions. I understand you know that what I think is that they may feel especially the smaller jurisdictions in you know Eastern Washington that you talked about, they may feel pressure.

1:24:13.440 --> 1:24:42.550

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

To make certain determinations from, you know one side or the other, architects or engineers, but ultimately, they need to know that they have the authority to make the call, and if they, if they need assistance, they have the authority to reach out. And I don't know who they can get assistance from. That's beyond my area of expertise. But ultimately at the end of the day, it's their determination. It's not the board's either of the board's determinations. There's nothing.

1:24:42.690 --> 1:25:6.40

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

In the statutes, there's nothing in the international. I mean, I think it's important to note that I think it's the International Building Code that's been adopted by law as the local, the building codes for local jurisdictions and then they can change that if they want to and local jurisdictions. But there's nothing anywhere. I mean, I combed through those.

1:25:7.380 --> 1:25:21.680

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Those codes for anything, anything that spoke to whether an engineer or an architect needs to stamp documents and there's nothing there. So again, that doesn't help them and it's, but it's still it's their call.

1:25:25.650 --> 1:25:26.200

Roch Manley

Sean.

1:25:27.460 --> 1:25:43.380

Sian Roberts

Yeah, I I just as a, I mean it does feel a little bit like, yeah, Pat passing the buck to the built poor building officials in the small local jurisdictions trying to figure out what to do. So, I guess I think what a next step on this from.

1:26:11.720 --> 1:26:12.170

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

I agree.

1:25:44.210 --> 1:26:13.620

Sian Roberts

What I'm hearing is I'm. I'm really just really curious to see how the meeting with Wabo goes and if that becomes an agenda item for that meeting of like, how are the building officials feeling about this? And do they feel comfortable and confident in being able being the people that are kind of at the end of the line here to make this decision? Would more clarity be beneficial or not beneficial? It would be nice to hear what their thoughts are. So, Scott and I don't know who else is gonna be meeting with them.

1:26:14.110 --> 1:26:14.460

Sian Roberts

Yeah.

1:26:14.230 --> 1:26:26.900

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

I agree. I'm gonna. I'm gonna be at that meeting as well. Just to hear what's going on. But I agree with you. You know, if they're asking if they're reaching out and saying, help us, we need some more guidelines from the legislature then that's important for you to know.

1:26:28.390 --> 1:26:28.670

Roch Manley

Yeah.

1:26:30.500 --> 1:26:35.450

Roch Manley

Yeah, I this is Rakhi. I know I've heard engineers just.

1:26:34.900 --> 1:26:35.610

Erica Loynd

There in my.

1:26:36.590 --> 1:26:36.870

Erica Loynd

5.

1:26:38.710 --> 1:26:39.50

Erica Loynd

OK.

1:26:36.780 --> 1:26:40.280

Roch Manley

The gas, when they hear about either engineers.

1:26:50.970 --> 1:26:51.230

Erica Loynd

So.

1:26:41.620 --> 1:26:51.310

Roch Manley

Going beyond their license and making health and life safety decisions that are there, along with the architect and it happens.

1:26:51.600 --> 1:26:51.950

Erica Loynd

Yes.

1:26:52.690 --> 1:26:57.750

Roch Manley

And that's I guess that's where that lack of a bright line is.

1:27:2.370 --> 1:27:2.720

Erica Loynd

Like.

1:26:58.510 --> 1:27:4.710

Roch Manley

Is can be a little problematic, but at the same time I think we all understand.

1:27:5.490 --> 1:27:6.220

Erica Loynd

Ohh MP.

1:27:5.420 --> 1:27:7.60

Roch Manley

Why there is a?

1:27:7.870 --> 1:27:14.680

Roch Manley

A vague and vagueness built into the law of times, and this is, I guess one of those cases.

1:27:17.10 --> 1:27:43.360

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

And I think health and safety is a really difficult term to throw around because the engineers also have a responsibility for public health and safety or health and safety of buildings. It's just a different kind of responsibility, right. So that's one of the things that I know that that was important to architects. But it's also an element of an engineering design. So like, especially when you get those large.

1:27:53.880 --> 1:27:54.460

Roch Manley

Yeah.

1:27:45.330 --> 1:28:11.420

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

Ohh I can't remember the name of the projects, but there there's a special definition for them in the in the engineering statutes essential structures. I think. So it's like hospitals and things. So engineers also have a responsibility to make sure that buildings are, you know, safe and there's health and safety issues

for them to consider as well. So that that in itself that that catch phrase and itself doesn't work as well as.

1:28:12.180 --> 1:28:15.310

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

As you might think for the delineating the two.

1:28:16.630 --> 1:28:47.620

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

You know, rock, I think a lot of this conversation kind of takes me back to so many calls we've had with building officials through the years where the bottom line comes back to, well, it's your call. And no matter how much depth or lack of depth there is to it, it is in that local jurisdiction's responsibility. I think, Sean, you bring up really good questions and points about whether there should be more direction in law and you're correct. And CARB has partnered with NCS. So, the engineers group.

1:28:47.720 --> 1:29:16.170

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Uh, with clarb. I think with the interior Designers National Organization, which they're not licensed in our state, but I think it's at least those four different organizations that are looking about at incidental practice and exploring it. I would think that one of the things they're looking at in that is how different states handle it, because there are some states that are actually more prescriptive than Washington that it's not as open ended as Washington slot is.

1:29:17.390 --> 1:29:47.370

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

And I do agree that's gonna be an ongoing conversation. And if there's a change, it might be 5-10 years down the road. But I think there's a lot of interest in it and maybe it becomes more important as we have more and more specialties that are engaged in the design process. And so what's their role as you look into it, this might be an area that some of you might take a look at in February when they call for volunteers. Maybe it'd be great to have somebody from this board.

1:29:47.500 --> 1:30:3.260

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

I get on that incidental practice task force and be engaged in those conversations. Usually when you're involved in one of those task force, you're just aware of a few more detailed conversations taking place different places.

1:30:4.760 --> 1:30:7.60

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Because it certainly, you know, I think the original.

1:30:7.490 --> 1:30:18.730

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

I HO came out in 1990, so we're talking about 32 years of the Attorney General's office being asked questions along these regards.

1:30:19.290 --> 1:30:24.70

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Umm, so it's certainly something that's been around for a long time and will continue to be so.

1:30:24.150 --> 1:30:24.380

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

No.

1:30:26.160 --> 1:30:35.360

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Yeah. And as Scott, I really appreciate the comment of, you know the what you have on the one page might be just a real foundational piece that will need to be taken to that wabo.

1:30:35.870 --> 1:31:4.750

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

A conversation that you know here it is. It's it is what it is. And again, I think going back to Elizabeth, couple comments you've made about, you know, the current law leaves us a lot of flexibility, leaves local building officials with a lot of flexibility because it depends. It depends on a lot of different things. I would think for any given project and what's important to that authority having jurisdiction, what they want or don't want but.

1:31:5.750 --> 1:31:14.860

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

It you know this is going to be a conversation, it's going to be around a long time this this conversation is one that actually I will miss since I go because there's no simple answer.

1:31:16.320 --> 1:31:33.850

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

And the although I I've got to say the thing that fascinates me, it's not like this question comes up on everything that's brought into for permitting, right? It's actually a small percentage of projects come through, get caught up in this and they can be caught up in it for multiple reasons.

1:31:35.210 --> 1:31:39.180

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

But it will forever be that Gray area in between so.

1:31:40.560 --> 1:31:41.150

Roch Manley

Yep.

1:31:42.280 --> 1:31:48.610

Roch Manley

Well, thank you, Rick. Good summary. Any other thoughts if there aren't any other thoughts on?

1:31:50.370 --> 1:31:58.130

Roch Manley

The AG opinion and that's outfall, we'll move on to committee, Task Force reports.

1:31:59.140 --> 1:32:5.170

Roch Manley

And we have three items and it looks like Sydney, you are up to.

1:32:5.840 --> 1:32:11.530

Roch Manley

Report out on each of these three items first, starting with the Charter.

1:32:13.970 --> 1:32:14.980

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Pretty good morning.

1:32:15.820 --> 1:32:38.340

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Uh, regarding the board Charter wanted to provide an update. We as staff are working on developing a draft template that will be implemented across all nine boards and commissions. So the five regulatory and four advisory boards and commissions that are overseen by the Department of Licensing and BPD specifically.

1:32:39.380 --> 1:32:40.120

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

And.

1:32:41.230 --> 1:32:58.620

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Each of those has been working on their own version of a charter to this point, and there really hasn't been a whole lot of uniformity across any of them. So we want to pump the brakes just a little bit and provide some of that.

1:32:59.400 --> 1:33:2.400

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Consistency and uniformity across all of them.

1:33:3.190 --> 1:33:31.170

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Wanna be very clear that none of the work that's already been completed by the boards and the committees of those is going to go away, we are going to absolutely be utilizing that as the foundation from which we're building these. But there are some essential pieces that we really want to make sure are included in online and then provide the ability for each of those to be customized for the uniqueness of each of the boards and what they oversee.

1:33:32.690 --> 1:33:43.220

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

So the Chargers are going to really clearly define the roles, responsibilities and statutory authorities for each of the boards and commissions specifically are regulatory boards.

1:33:43.940 --> 1:34:8.920

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

And we're also going to discuss the things that all of our public bodies have to abide by, such as the open Public Meetings Act, ethics and public records disclosure to make sure that all of that is upfront and can be utilized as a resource for the boards as well As for the public viewing these documents to know exactly where you guys have to follow and statute.

1:34:11.80 --> 1:34:40.110

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

We are going to be seeking the committee input on these regarding formalizing a set format for parliamentary procedures across all nine boards and commissions. That's an area that we've identified is another place that hasn't really been consistent across online until we really want to provide that as a tool for all of the boards and commissions as well As for the public. So that when a member of the public comes before any of our.

1:34:40.630 --> 1:34:56.390

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Words. They understand exactly what the format is going to be and how the meeting is going to be run. And we also think that that's going to be a really great tool for instances where board chairs are absent for whatever reason and somebody stepping in to run the meeting on their behalf.

1:34:57.90 --> 1:35:2.410

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

As well as when new chairs and vice chairs take over so that they understand.

1:35:3.530 --> 1:35:19.220

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

And have that resource to really jump in and begin running their meetings. So we because Robert's rules is not exactly a hard and fast defined way to run a meeting and doesn't necessarily apply.

1:35:20.940 --> 1:35:33.990

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Easily to public bodies. We want to kind of outline a format and so we're going to be getting input from all of the committees regarding how you guys would like to see that happen and create that format to be adopted.

1:35:34.850 --> 1:35:52.600

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

By all of them, and our hope is that this template and pumping the brakes on this is going to allow us to provide that uniformity and set procedures for how the boards and commissions through DOL are going to operate.

1:35:53.300 --> 1:36:24.10

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

And as well as honoring so that that individuality, with the different boards, so our timeline for approval for this at this point is to return these draft charters back to the committees for their input this fall to bring them before the full board for review and input this winter. And then if they are not ready to be approved this winter, if they're enough comments that we need to continue making changes and tweaks.

1:36:24.330 --> 1:36:27.50

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

They would come back for final approval in the spring.

1:36:28.140 --> 1:36:30.210

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

So with that, I'm happy to answer any questions.

1:36:33.940 --> 1:36:36.120

Roch Manley

Very good. Thank you. Thank you, Sydney.

1:36:37.260 --> 1:36:42.760

Roch Manley

I I really like the idea of getting uniformity across the boards.

1:36:43.440 --> 1:36:45.570

Roch Manley

For for procedurally.

1:36:46.250 --> 1:36:48.390

Roch Manley

It's I I can imagine how it would be a.

1:36:49.790 --> 1:36:53.810

Roch Manley

Quite a quite a headache for staff, for starters, and for the.

1:36:55.190 --> 1:36:55.730

Roch Manley

Viewing.

1:36:58.480 --> 1:37:0.720

Roch Manley

Participants coming to view as guests as well.

1:37:3.840 --> 1:37:6.550

Roch Manley

Any questions for Sydney on that?

1:37:9.310 --> 1:37:14.230

Roch Manley

If there are none, 8.2 is the wabo committee.

1:37:15.110 --> 1:37:15.610

Roch Manley

Sydney.

1:37:16.730 --> 1:37:20.340

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

That is me as well. So as was previously mentioned.

1:37:20.480 --> 1:37:42.380

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

I we did conduct an initial meeting with committee from the Engineers Board as well as the committee. This group and the staff involved for both this is for the wobble event in Spokane on October 28th. They have requested that representatives from each board come and do a presentation.

1:37:43.150 --> 1:38:14.750

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

More or less. Regarding this subject, we just heard from Elizabeth and the differences between engineers, architects and then the similarities and try to provide a little bit of perspective for the building officials on how to find their way through those different pieces. There was a lot of concern expressed regarding putting representatives from either board in a position where they could be offering an opinion or offering any sort of guidance or advice.

1:38:14.830 --> 1:38:30.860

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

You certainly don't want the board members or the boards as a whole, and any sort of legal sticky situation. And so we're going to do our best to avoid that and really work on the formatting for this and.

1:38:32.140 --> 1:38:44.650

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

The attorney general's opinion that Elizabeth just discussed is going to be one of the highlights of the presentation. We really want to make sure we drive that home and can answer as many questions as we can regarding that and then.

1:38:45.470 --> 1:38:50.60

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

From that meeting, we've been discussing ways that we can.

1:38:50.890 --> 1:39:21.120

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Format the discussion to really steer this toward being more of an educational opportunity for the building officials rather than perhaps unnecessarily question and answer format in the traditional sense and kind of trying to peel back the different layers involved in projects. And when architects are involved, when engineers are involved, where there's overlap, and just try to help them kind of find their way through that and then hopefully create a discussion.

1:39:21.690 --> 1:39:33.620

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

That can allow the larger jurisdictions to help the smaller jurisdictions because, as has been noted already today, I think that's where a lot of the confusion is coming in is that these smaller jurisdictions simply are.

1:39:34.960 --> 1:40:0.860

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Having projects come before them that they haven't seen before, and I think that's where we're getting a lot of the questions that are coming in and so providing them a foundation to at least begin to start asking questions and a piece of that being that a lot of these jurisdictions don't have attorneys on staff and things like that. So they don't have the resources that the larger jurisdictions do. So just at least providing them with.

1:40:1.720 --> 1:40:4.280

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

A direction that they can begin finding their way.

1:40:5.320 --> 1:40:8.950

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

So we're going to build the PowerPoint around that and.

1:40:9.40 --> 1:40:9.220

Paul Wu

Yeah.

1:40:10.250 --> 1:40:14.410

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Try and create that 28 conversation for them. So with that and happy to answer any questions.

1:40:20.580 --> 1:40:30.450

Roch Manley

Thanks. Thank you, Sydney. This rock, I am assuming we'll probably get this group together at least one more time before.

1:40:31.50 --> 1:40:34.930

Roch Manley

Uh, the presentation in Spokane.

1:40:35.870 --> 1:40:48.240

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

Yes, I think we're probably going to be meeting at least two or three more times. The presentation that we did with the engineers and the landscape architect group in 2016, there were three pre meetings I think.

1:40:49.110 --> 1:41:2.350

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

There was enough concern expressed that we'll have at least two more to set the format and then make sure that everything is lined out, but I can see the potential there for a third, but it at least once a month until we get there.

1:41:3.440 --> 1:41:5.450

Roch Manley

Sounds sounds good. Uh. Rick Benner.

1:41:7.730 --> 1:41:13.660

Rick Benner

Yeah. Thanks, rob. I think setting the direction and going and and towards.

1:41:14.890 --> 1:41:36.960

Rick Benner

Better communication and better cooperation and sharing of resources amongst the between the jurisdictions and the building officials may be the preferred or better route, and a lot of them, I'm assuming, already have molus when it comes to emergency situations or sharing of resources.

1:41:37.600 --> 1:41:46.210

Rick Benner

So they I'm sure they could come up with some sort of uh, you know, situation where they can reach out to another.

1:41:47.980 --> 1:41:56.340

Rick Benner

Here, institution or or per agency or a bigger agency and ask for some support on a particular project back and forth.

1:41:58.660 --> 1:42:2.120

Rick Benner

At least to me, should be able to be pretty easily executed.

1:42:4.240 --> 1:42:13.350

Rick Benner

In that regard. So I think that's to me that would be the the direction of steering the conversation at the meeting would be more, OK.

1:42:14.500 --> 1:42:19.710

Rick Benner

What do you need from us or how can we help with you guys creating a better?

1:42:20.880 --> 1:42:31.800

Rick Benner

Community cooperation amongst yourselves. Uh when that's needed because I could easily see also too that a smaller jurisdiction.

1:42:32.480 --> 1:42:39.820

Rick Benner

With perhaps just one person that's working that, UM, permitting process could also be.

1:42:41.50 --> 1:42:42.780

Rick Benner

Being pushed politically.

1:42:44.240 --> 1:42:56.860

Rick Benner

To make a decision that they may not really support based upon the business and the community at large and the politicians that are in those positions, so.

1:42:57.990 --> 1:43:2.520

Rick Benner

Again, that may help them give some push back and say no, we've had a.

1:43:10.940 --> 1:43:11.390

Roch Manley

Yeah.

1:43:3.710 --> 1:43:15.500

Rick Benner

Bigger agency it has experienced with these types of projects and this is these are the type of topics that come up again. Just I think the direction is is correct.

1:43:16.360 --> 1:43:24.670

Roch Manley

Right. Yeah. I think when we met last week, I think it was Scott that brought up in response to.

1:43:26.410 --> 1:43:28.480

Roch Manley

A concern that was raised over.

1:43:29.660 --> 1:43:32.140

Roch Manley

Sydney pointed out concern raised over.

1:43:32.840 --> 1:43:46.930

Roch Manley

US being in a position where being asked to give answers, I think Scott described it pretty well that this meeting can create a a banter between.

1:43:48.80 --> 1:44:0.290

Roch Manley

Code officials and I would guess that it it it sets up some pretty good lines of communication, just as you're saying, Rick, where maybe a small town.

1:44:2.70 --> 1:44:14.160

Roch Manley

The small jurisdiction code official goes, tells himself, hey, I can call so and so in King County or you know, so and so in in Spokane County and.

1:44:15.280 --> 1:44:20.280

Roch Manley

Tucked this through with them, so it's it's I think you're right. So Rick Starbuck.

1:44:21.170 --> 1:44:24.860

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Yeah, you know what? One of the things that's kind of stands out to me is the.

1:44:26.120 --> 1:44:31.20

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Maybe one of the things to be when when I look at it, I think.

1:44:31.100 --> 1:44:35.690

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Yeah, it Dan may have put a a question in the chat.

1:44:36.150 --> 1:45:4.260

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Uh, you know, maybe wabo could come up with a policy statement that would address some of the these issues and what it just really highlights for me. We have code officials asking us questions and looking to ask to help solve kind of a quandary that they're in trying to make a decision on who gets the stamp right. And oftentimes they're really asking questions like, well, is this something an architect can really do? Are they licensed to do this or is an engineer licensed to do this?

1:45:5.310 --> 1:45:8.420

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

And I I I think maybe.

1:45:9.90 --> 1:45:31.460

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Hopefully maybe one thing that can come out of this wabo outreach is a better understanding of both sides of the the process. I don't think we totally understand the role of wabo and the building official right, because I'm pretty sure wabo is just a loose knit organization of building officials across the state that have 0.

1:45:32.750 --> 1:46:3.120

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Authority individually, they have like a ton of authority in their individual jurisdiction, of which they may not want to exercise because for political reasons or any other thing. And so I like what Rick you talked about is there ways to explore, how to find solutions to the problems that they're facing, whether it's a small jurisdiction without the knowledge to be able to really appropriately review a set of plans and make determinations as to what needs to happen or not.

1:46:3.240 --> 1:46:36.390

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

You know, how do folks on both sides of the fans find the right resources to handle projects? Because the bottom line is I think it's an architect you'd like to make sure that you're putting forward a project and working on a project that is safe, that is, creates a healthy environment that has all those things and really the local building official is interested in making sure that it's a safe building in their community, right. So it's like we have the same outcomes, but we get this, like stuck in the middle thing because people are arguing over who's stamp it's gonna be and who's gonna.

1:46:36.670 --> 1:46:40.40

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

You know, I have the power to do something along along the way.

1:46:41.700 --> 1:47:5.460

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

And so then we reach out to one another. Hopefully that's part of the discussion is that maybe it helps wabo kind of explore a little bit more internally within themselves. What resources do they have among them? And I think clearly the message again can come back to you've got the authority you and your interval just jurisdiction. Do you have the authority you can tell us what you think you want?

1:47:6.0 --> 1:47:12.690

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Umm, but I I think when I going back to.

1:47:13.840 --> 1:47:40.990

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Rocks comment about Scott saying that this is gonna turn into a conversation no matter what, because you're going to have big jurisdictions and little jurisdictions, some that feel empowered. Those don't feel empowered. You're going to have people that are gonna be tech savvy and they can do the latest and greatest things and you're going to have people that you know they really prefer, you just sign it with a wet signature and give me a bunch of piles of paper. Right. So.

1:47:42.40 --> 1:47:51.870

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

It should be a great time. I'm gonna actually miss the opportunity. This is another place that is like I might have to go to Spokane that week. Just to, I don't know, ride the merry go round in the park down there or something.

1:47:53.900 --> 1:47:54.550

Roch Manely

Very good.

1:47:56.480 --> 1:47:57.990

Roch Manley

Let's see. Where are we at?

1:48:0.410 --> 1:48:7.560

Roch Manley

The the we made it through 8.2 unless anybody has it. Any other comments I I think that.

1:48:8.320 --> 1:48:13.450

Roch Manley

That wabo discussion is going to just get more interesting, especially in light of the.

1:48:14.840 --> 1:48:17.210

Roch Manley

AGO's fresh opinion.

1:48:18.600 --> 1:48:27.960

Roch Manley

If there are any more comments, 8.28 point 3 is the architect, Model Law, Task Force, and.

1:48:28.850 --> 1:48:30.310

Roch Manley

Sydney, you're up again.

1:48:31.420 --> 1:48:33.730

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

And this is going to be a very brief update.

1:48:35.50 --> 1:48:54.590

Muhle, Sydney (DOL)

We do have the language regarding the responsible control that we've already been discussing today, and so the committee is going to be getting together this fall will make sure that that's coordinated here soon and get that on the calendar so that we can begin incorporating that in any other additions from the Model Law with the committee.

1:48:56.30 --> 1:48:56.270

Roch Manley

OK.

1:48:57.310 --> 1:48:58.960

Roch Manley

They're good, very good.

1:48:59.350 --> 1:49:18.390

Sian Roberts

Uh, I'm just going to jump in for a second and just say that we did receive the committee members did receive a a very thorough and and complex alignment between our comparison between our law and Model Law that is going to be a wonderful tool to help us have discussions in the fall. So thank you to staff for creating that for us.

1:49:22.730 --> 1:49:26.440

Roch Manley

Very good. Any other comments on the architect Model Law?

1:49:27.980 --> 1:49:35.80

Roch Manley

None of moving on to item 9. The board executives report and.

1:49:36.20 --> 1:49:44.10

Roch Manley

We have three, uh, three sections. Rick, would you like to jump in on program operations?

1:49:47.980 --> 1:49:48.890

Roch Manley

Rick Storwick.

1:49:52.810 --> 1:49:53.220

Roch Manley

OK.

1:49:50.920 --> 1:50:2.340

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Put it there we go. Unmute myself if you want to scroll up one more. I I think we've got some statistics here. You have your licensee count broken down by ages.

1:50:3.560 --> 1:50:4.710

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

And also the.

1:50:6.470 --> 1:50:14.40

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Let's see. The upper one is total licensees. The bottom one is for Washington state only. So you can see.

1:50:15.340 --> 1:50:16.190

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Just looking at.

1:50:16.870 --> 1:50:26.960

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Grand totals 4000 Washington State only and then 6968 grand total. So you've got that means sweat 2968 or out of.

1:50:27.460 --> 1:50:35.390

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Uh country somehow out of country, out of state and then at the next page, I think we have our new licensees.

1:50:36.140 --> 1:50:42.10

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Umm, so this is folks that have been licensed just since your last board meeting in April?

1:50:43.310 --> 1:50:49.790

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

And actually quite a good list. There you can see there's a little chart over on the right.

1:50:50.890 --> 1:50:59.400

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Your reciprocity is the green, which is a little bit bigger than your examination, so 44% by examination and 56%.

1:51:0.20 --> 1:51:2.890

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Uh, by reciprocity? So.

1:51:3.780 --> 1:51:8.40

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

If you see any uh names you recognize, you might congratulate them and.

1:51:8.720 --> 1:51:12.70

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Do them well, I think the next page.

1:51:13.550 --> 1:51:21.600

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Wanted to talk a little bit and just go into this is what our new structure looks like now in BPD is you know before?

1:51:23.560 --> 1:51:29.650

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

We were the regulatory board section. Uh, we supported you. Uh. The funeral and cemetery.

1:51:30.770 --> 1:51:47.450

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Landscape architect, geologist and collection agency board. They're the five regulatory boards within the agency. We're now set up in this manner. You can see that we fall under the boards and commissions support services.

1:51:47.950 --> 1:52:3.350

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Umm, the folks Keith Peterson has done most of your investigations in recent years at Grace Hamilton has also done some they are now in the centralized investigations and audit unit so they work within that group.

1:52:4.470 --> 1:52:16.600

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

The regulatory compliance UCC Firearms unit, those are the folks that would be writing charging documents. So if we get to the point that we're going to charge somebody that'll come there also.

1:52:18.770 --> 1:52:50.760

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

And then there's the licensing customer support services. That's where Anisa lives now, and that's where Julia has gone there as the assistant administrator. So we've got some really good support there. And then back on the far right, along that same line, central services, that's a place the next time that this board wants to do rules. Central Services is a group that would help us with kind of just the logistics of managing that rule, writing and stuff. So we've got a lot of.

1:52:50.850 --> 1:53:6.70

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Folks, there, you're going to get a special introduction to prorate and fuel tax at the other far left, we have Lynn Briscoe with us today here in just a minute, she's gonna give you an opportunity to share a little bit what they do. They're a part of the business and.

1:53:6.730 --> 1:53:10.480

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

This is some professions division. If we go down one more slide, I think.

1:53:12.940 --> 1:53:40.150

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

This is what we currently look like as an organizational chart and this is where, like a huge apology for the last year. Now the assistant administrator in our section has been Deborah Allen, Bob who on my screen is at the far left up on the top. I she's been the assistant administrator actually making everything work so that I don't have to for this last year she's kept us up and running and going and she continues on well past my time.

1:53:40.490 --> 1:54:5.640

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Umm. And both Sydney and Sandy. Sandra, you can see report two. Dev. Just a real key player for us. She has a real strong background, having worked in the real estate. And so she in the real estate program prior to our functional alignment and did a lot of work if I remember right with the real Estate Commission and the Home Inspectors Board.

1:54:6.230 --> 1:54:28.80

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Umm, so a lot of specific board work, a lot of work around rules and dealing with regulations there. So you will be very well served moving forward with this staff and then you can see along the bottom there. Those are all the boards now that we support and we've organized it so that.

1:54:30.240 --> 1:54:30.840

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Uh.

1:54:32.520 --> 1:55:1.30

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

It right now it's a little bit kind of intermixed, but what we intend to do is organize it so that Sydney will be over the regulatory boards and Sandy will be over the advisory boards because there's a unique differences between those boards and commissions, right? And you all have some very specific responsibilities, so it'll help staffing, I think if there's specific into the programs, the type of programs that you support.

1:55:1.920 --> 1:55:2.510

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

So.

1:55:5.460 --> 1:55:10.310

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

That's what our organization looks like before we get to parade just a couple of quick notes.

1:55:12.150 --> 1:55:40.690

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

The again, I mentioned earlier, the administrator, the new administrator, they'll be doing interview first

round interviews next week. I'm hopeful that they'll have the new administrator hired by September 1st, September 16th someplace in that time frame, so there shouldn't be a large gap between when I leave and when the new person comes on. The other thing and I'm really excited for, we were hoping to bring a budget report to you at this board meeting, but we're not quite there. There's.

1:55:41.500 --> 1:56:10.700

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

We've got a great new budget manager in down at HLB. Her name is Gina. She's really been working with the numbers and getting information. I would anticipate this fall. You should see just a real solid report that gives you a sense of where the revenues are for this program and where the expenditures and that you should start seeing that on a regular basis. It should be a report that's in your packet every quarter. So you can kind of see how that continues to move forward.

1:56:11.330 --> 1:56:11.860

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

So.

1:56:13.160 --> 1:56:18.610

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

I wish we could give that to you here, but I know that it's really close coming around the corner.

1:56:19.790 --> 1:56:29.510

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

So any questions on any of that and if if not Rock You can move on to Lynn Briscoe, who is?

1:56:30.380 --> 1:56:38.630

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

My peer and organization as the administrator over prorate and fuel tax, which I just learned yesterday, is actually two separate activities.

1:56:39.440 --> 1:56:42.470

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

I didn't know that for 10 years. I thought they were the same thing, but they're different.

1:56:43.980 --> 1:56:48.10

Roch Manley

Alright, so Lynn and Katherine Ataman

1:56:51.200 --> 1:56:51.550

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

At.

1:56:49.180 --> 1:56:51.940

Roch Manley

Ataman have a report please.

1:56:52.400 --> 1:57:0.970

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Yeah. Hi. Katherine wasn't able to join us this morning. She had a she had a conflict. So a good or bad year stuck with me. But.

1:57:0.480 --> 1:57:1.170

Roch Manley

Thank you.

1:57:1.50 --> 1:57:1.320

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Well.

1:57:2.580 --> 1:57:33.790

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Ohh I'll give you an overview of our parade field tax program. Like Rick mentioned, we are peers. I'm the administrator within our unit and let me first apologize. I live in farm country and this morning of the neighboring farm decided they were gonna run trucks up and down the road all morning and they're going right in front of the house. So if you hear any background noise, just let me know and I'll try to do something. Not sure what that will be yet, but.

1:57:33.870 --> 1:57:35.620

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

I'll try to do something.

1:57:38.120 --> 1:58:4.270

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

But again, I'm happy to be here. Happy to give you a little overview and insight into our pro rate and fuel tax program. It's a unique, it's a unique arm within our business and professions Division I didn't understand a whole lot like Rick whole lot about our program until I really had the opportunity to dive in and work with the team and I have to say it's fascinating.

1:58:4.730 --> 1:58:34.620

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Umm, I came from our licensing side of the division, so I worked with Devil and bot in our real estate and home inspector programs and that's how I joined the Department of Licensing. I believe Rick, you were on my initial interview panel when I first was introduced to the agency and my background is really what the Department of Agriculture I worked in that agency for 20.

1:58:34.780 --> 1:58:44.910

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

122 years before I decided I was going to completely do a shift in my career and I came over to licensing.

1:58:46.350 --> 1:58:51.250

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

With a background in administration and leadership, but really having no.

1:58:52.890 --> 1:59:10.940

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Interaction with the industries that we currently support in the division. So it was a challenging and

exciting time and I wouldn't change anything and I will always remember my first interactions with Rick. So I appreciate what came about from there, but.

1:59:11.970 --> 1:59:46.70

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

I am happy to be here, happy to give you a little bit of a preview and to this interesting program. But basically, who are we? So our pro rate and field tax program is when fully staffed is 69 FTE strong of excise tax examiners and auditors. We really manage a lot of dollars on. There's a lot of zeros in our program area, not because we have necessarily the budget.

1:59:46.230 --> 1:59:50.880

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

That supports those types of dollars that we are.

1:59:52.860 --> 2:0:23.630

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

And involved in, but it's because we're collecting the states fuel tax on behalf of the transportation programs. So we have an operating budget of 13.1 million of a biennium budget, and we're strictly supported by tax revenue or transportation dollars that are collected through their fuel tax efforts, not BPD licensing fees, which a lot of my peers and peer programs are supported by.

2:0:24.50 --> 2:0:54.260

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Basically, fee for service that's generated strictly from those licensing fees. We are tax based, so our budget along with other areas within the agency. But our budget strictly comes from the tax revenue that we collect. We're one of five BPD operational areas within the division like Rick just shared on the flow chart, we are one of those boxes that reports directly to Jim Claussen, our assistant director.

2:0:54.720 --> 2:1:7.550

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Director. But prorate and fuel taxes organized into 4 units. We have our field techs and then licensed refund program area, motor carrier services and we have an audit.

2:1:8.910 --> 2:1:19.70

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Capacity and then of course our administration and office support services, which is where I kind of live within our program organization.

2:1:20.30 --> 2:1:24.260

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

But what do we do? We have basically three principles.

2:1:25.770 --> 2:1:55.640

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

And as as Ricky alluded to, you know it's there's two kind of support areas that we have within the program as it relates to fuel tax, you have the tax that is collected and imposed upon fuels that are used basically in motor vehicles on palm highways of the state. So every one of us when we're pumping gas and putting fuel into our cars motorcycles.

2:1:58.660 --> 2:2:26.320

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Commercial vehicles were paying that 49.4 cents per gallon. Everyone is paying that at the pump, but fuel tax and the tax collection model is set in statute, and that 49.4 cents per gallon is set in statute. So you think about the the rates of of fuel that we're paying. You know that we're paying per gallon at the pump.

2:2:27.290 --> 2:2:44.800

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Sticker shock. Really high prices right now that we're all experiencing the state isn't necessarily receiving any additional revenue from the high cost of right now. We get the same floor 39.4 cents per gallon where the extra money is going.

2:2:46.120 --> 2:3:15.230

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Going towards to the fuel companies and the the basic cost of that, that crude oil that, that basic supply. So for tax purposes we watch the industries quite a bit because we're forecasting on behalf of the whole state and and and support and partnering with our other state agencies to determine how much.

2:3:15.310 --> 2:3:32.720

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Of this tax is coming into the state. What can we anticipate? How will it be fluctuating based on demand and use efficiencies and cars, high prices, meaning we're we're staying off the roads.

2:3:33.600 --> 2:4:3.990

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

As much as possible, COVID was a great example of what impacted the the revenue coming in from state fuel taxes, where we weren't driving into necessarily our business of work as much as we had previously. Remote work teleworking became more popular. All of those factors kind of contribute to what is essentially the transportation funds.

2:4:4.460 --> 2:4:33.710

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Or the the tax fuel tax revenue that's coming into the state. So one portion of our program is is ultimately responsible for the collection of that field tax that is imposed when fuel is removed from the terminal rack for distribution to the end users. We being the end users, we're paying it, but the state is receiving those tax funds well before we're actually pumping that fuel into our vehicles.

2:4:34.60 --> 2:4:49.800

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

So our big terminals are big distribution systems. It's a very complex system that I today I'm still wrapping my head around. But essentially when that fuel is removed from our terminal systems.

2:4:50.260 --> 2:4:57.550

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Umm, two to be pumped into tanker trucks that you see running up and down the road that's gonna be distributed to various.

2:4:58.490 --> 2:5:27.860

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Fuel station shell stations, Exxon, you know, Chevron, etcetera around the state when that fuel is removed and put into those tracking systems, that's when the taxes imposed we have we have a a, a system where we're collecting those fuel taxes on a monthly basis. So our larger companies when you think in terms of well who is our customer base as it relates to this field tax.

2:5:28.160 --> 2:5:41.620

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Some component it's the suppliers, the distributors, those are that are blending and creating fuel. Products are aircraft, aircraft distributors, it's the large BP Exxon.

2:5:42.900 --> 2:6:11.690

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Suppliers and distributors over the world, so they are supplying and submitting a monthly tax return with the dollar amounts or the fuel taxes that that are imposed based on their distribution and sales of that fuel within the system ultimately coming to us as the as the end user, we paid at the pump and it goes back and reimburses those companies those appropriately through the the.

2:6:12.440 --> 2:6:20.260

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

The service stations, but the state is receiving those those taxes on the front end.

2:6:21.950 --> 2:6:51.740

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

The second component of our program area, which is is what Rick and I were discussing yesterday is that we're also a member jurisdiction of two of our international agreements that essentially provides a single licensing point for vehicle registration and field reporting for commercial vehicles. And then these are the commercial vehicles that are crossing state lines. Essentially we're providing them a one stop shop for their licensing and registration.

2:6:52.10 --> 2:6:55.100

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

To be able to drive up and down the.

2:6:56.740 --> 2:7:28.350

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

The Interstate Highways without having to be registered and licensed in every state that they transfer and travel through. So for example we license and and if you can think of our commercial vehicles or a long long haul truck drivers are motor carriers. If you can think back in times when you have seen those vehicles in previous three years or they had multiple stickers and multiple license plates and.

2:7:28.600 --> 2:8:2.490

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

You know, they they were. They were sprinkled with a variety of decals and whatnot from various states. That system was was revamped and we created we, the global we. There's 58 jurisdictions that are involved or 59 jurisdictions that are involved in these two international agreements, which allows

our motor carriers to license with their base jurisdiction. So in this case, Washington and then they have the ability and the authority to travel throughout the.

2:8:2.590 --> 2:8:6.530

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

The United States, 10 Canadian provinces. NDC.

2:8:8.90 --> 2:8:18.40

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Without having additional registrations, we we have a reciprocal agreement. We recognize those registrations with other States and jurisdictions.

2:8:19.440 --> 2:8:27.950

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

One plan is called the International Registration Plan and the second plan is called the International Fuel Tax agreement and we are statutorily.

2:8:29.110 --> 2:8:40.820

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Authorized and an active member of these jurisdictions, which allows our motor carriers this flexibility and ease of licensure, if you will.

2:8:41.980 --> 2:9:2.50

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

For their business practices of moving up and down the road, it basically facilitates the the smooth transaction and smooth delivery of commerce on without the the burdens of of multiple jurisdictions and multiple registrations for these these motor carrier companies.

2:9:2.790 --> 2:9:5.400

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

So our unit, our motor carrier.

2:9:6.310 --> 2:9:23.450

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

A program unit assist motor carriers by issuing these licenses and vehicle decals and and our truckers are required to submit a quarterly tax return, and these tax funds are distributed across States and provinces, so we collect.

2:9:24.250 --> 2:9:43.280

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Taxes based on the motor fuel used, that is, that is being imposed on the consumption of motor fuel and qualified vehicles. So our our in essence I could fuel up and I'm a trucker, I feel up in Washington.

2:9:44.260 --> 2:9:49.260

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

I drive across the state of Idaho and feel up again in Montana.

2:9:49.910 --> 2:10:19.780

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

But because I utilized the road systems and Idaho, I need to pay a proportion that of fuel tax to the state of Idaho. So Washington, on behalf of this carrier, will collect all taxes imposed for Washington, Idaho and Montana via this carrier. And then we, on behalf of the carrier, will distribute the proportionate amount to the qualified states.

2:10:20.120 --> 2:10:49.970

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

So again, it's that it's that collective reciprocal support across the states on behalf of our carriers where we will help facilitate them. The transaction of their activities in various states. We're obligated to ensure that they're paying the appropriate amounts not only for Washington for but for every other jurisdiction that they have done business with. And then we are supplying those fuel tax revenues.

2:10:50.370 --> 2:11:20.640

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

To those states of per appropriately so we have to be aware of everyone's task fuel tax rates that are part of these international agreements. So if you think of in terms of R 49.4 cents for fuel tax, every state has their own version of their 40 net of our 49.4. So we have to be aware of what all of those tax rates are. So that when we send that check to Idaho.

2:11:22.890 --> 2:11:32.200

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

They are receiving their appropriate amounts on relative to to their tax rates, so it's a it's a very dynamic.

2:11:33.500 --> 2:11:34.910

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Ever changing.

2:11:35.490 --> 2:11:58.420

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Uh active process that we're dealing with in, in terms of how these trackers are, are managing and reporting miles driven, how we are calculating and ensuring that we're providing our peer jurisdictions, there are appropriate amounts of the fuel tax collected and then?

2:11:59.480 --> 2:12:11.650

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Ensuring that they have the proper registration to be able to move up and down the roads on encumbered by additional registrations, etcetera, that they may need.

2:12:13.370 --> 2:12:45.420

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

And then we do have that supports both of the tax collections, whether you're the big fuel companies that are distributing the fuel for ultimate ultimate consumption or if you are the user. In this case, our motor carriers using and paying based on their use, we do have an audit program on that that performs the financial audits on these licensees. So if Dan, IRP being a member jurisdiction of the international plans.

2:12:45.700 --> 2:13:16.770

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

There is a requirement as part of that that membership that we're auditing, 3% of our licensees to annually. So that means that we need to be reviewing 2% of the tax returns that have been issued to ensure that proper reporting supporting documents are accurate, that the calculations are making sense. We look at receipts, we look at miles driven, we look at GPS logs.

2:13:17.110 --> 2:13:29.40

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

We look at a variety of sources that help tell the story where that tracker went from point A to point B. When we're dealing with the fuel tax company, we're looking at the.

2:13:29.810 --> 2:14:0.760

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Who they are reporting that they sold to were looking at to ensure that those that were reported as being sold to actually received on the field that was that was sold and then transit so that we have a one for one balance. We have a database system that can generally look at the basics of either one of these tax returns types of tax returns to see you know weird data.

2:14:0.900 --> 2:14:30.850

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

You know, think things that are being reported that doesn't quite match up, that doesn't align our excise tax. Examiners can look at those errors initially to try to determine where we might have bad data, where there could be an error in just the reporting from our customers and work with our customers to make the changes as required. Our audit team will look at those more in depth and during the period of audit.

2:14:31.190 --> 2:14:38.860

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

To really dig into the documents and the paperwork to make sure that what is being reported and what is.

2:14:40.380 --> 2:15:6.580

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

What is uh? What was collected is in terms of their tax obligation, is accurate. Audits can result in refunds of taxes. Maybe they overpaid, maybe they didn't pay enough. There could be financial charges. They could have additional taxes, fees and interest penalties due. So it is a process. And in terms of the kind of the checks and balances.

2:15:7.250 --> 2:15:18.550

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

But based on these two tax revenue services and I think it's a little bit of this information is in the one pager there. In 2021 we collected 1.6.

2:15:20.500 --> 2:15:48.80

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Billion dollars in annual revenue for state transportation programs and that's billion with a B

and that was like a white. Yeah, that was an eye opener for me in terms of when I joined this program is like we are we are handling if you will a lot of dollars coming in from our fuel tax systems.

2:15:48.630 --> 2:16:3.370

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

On into the states transportation, but just so when you hear lawmakers talk about the transportation budget, a large portion of those of that budget is coming directly from the programme fuel tax program.

2:16:4.490 --> 2:16:19.780

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

We support a licensee base of approximately 18,000 licensees, so these are your fuel companies that are distributing. These are our motor carriers who are driving up and down the road and our licensing is a little bit different than what you would.

2:16:19.870 --> 2:16:53.100

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Umm, what we kind of consider in our our other licensing programs within BPD, it's more of a registration within prorate and field tax. It allows us the opportunity to know who is within our industry, who our customer bases, we license them but really they're obligations, absence of the license are spelled out in statute in terms of their business practices, how they report to the you know to the Agency on behalf of the state what those those tax.

2:16:53.220 --> 2:16:57.500

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Obligations are we look a lot alike, Department of Revenue.

2:16:58.800 --> 2:17:22.490

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

And a lot of a lot of fashion verses are peer programs within BPD. So there's a lot of similarities. If you were to compare our RCW's and wax to DLR, they look a lot alike, but we do interact with various state partners such as dot WSP.

2:17:23.440 --> 2:17:48.750

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Office of Financial management lawmakers. Because everyone has an interest in the in the fuel tax revenue that is coming in and passing through this program. So a lot of engagement transferring of dollars. Lawmakers are are we have a lot of conversations with lawmakers during legislative session because there's always an interest in reviewing our field tax.

2:17:50.550 --> 2:17:51.560

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Statutes.

2:17:52.80 --> 2:18:2.350

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Umm, the the tiered system the the points of contact where we're generating revenue based on transactions, fuel transactions.

2:18:3.500 --> 2:18:9.20

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

And in the forecasting where we're seeing less revenue coming in because we're seeing more efficient.

2:18:9.180 --> 2:18:39.650

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Umm use of fuels and transportation systems that we may not be generating as much revenue as we had in previous years. So a lot of topics of conversation conversations during legislative session to one see where we're at in our forecasting with dot as well as where can we we the global we generate additional revenue within our fuel systems but also other.

2:18:39.800 --> 2:19:5.920

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

I'm jurisdictional partners. Like I said, we are a member of of our international plans. There's 59 of us. So we we have a a lot of coordination between those other jurisdictional if done IRP jurisdictions, local, federal and tribal governments, we interact quite a bit with our our tribal partners, obviously they have.

2:19:6.50 --> 2:19:23.420

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

I'm feel milkiness AMS involved in the at the reservation level and we partner quite a bit with our tribal liaison and others within the agency and coordination with our tribal governments. So it's it's it's, it's a fascinating.

2:19:23.500 --> 2:19:55.590

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

A mechanism of which I was really didn't have a, you know, a strong awareness of when I joined BPD, but it is an impactful program area that you know, state overall is extremely reliant upon as it relates to those transportation dollars coming in that support a a host of projects and activities across the state involving other agencies and other jurisdictions.

2:19:56.330 --> 2:20:27.0

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

So what is it? How you know how? How do we relate within the business and Professions division? So although our core business within prorate and fuel tax is very different from our peers within BPD and her rate is not directly impacted by the recent divisional alignment that I'm sure you all have talked about. And I know Rick mentioned earlier on this morning, there's a lot of commonalities in our program areas.

2:20:27.120 --> 2:20:53.880

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

We license, we educate and train our customers, we audit practices, we have compliance and policy actions no differently than our peers and we have this. We have system improvements and online services. So we do have a we have mechanisms where our customers can go online, file their tax returns, interact with our program via an online presence.

2:20:54.980 --> 2:21:23.210

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

That we provide. So there's a lot of opportunities where I'm working with my peers. My program managers are working with their peers to understand best practices, learn from each other because of the commonalities that we do see, there's future discussions that we might integrate the prorate fuel tax program into other areas within the division as we.

2:21:23.960 --> 2:21:29.200

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Mature and grow our alignment within BBD. But for right now.

2:21:30.260 --> 2:22:0.110

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

It stands alone as a program, but we're bridging gaps within our divisional organization where prorate has more of a connection with their peers across the aisle just to provide better services, be more effective in our work product and learn. Like I said, those best practices that are licensing based teams have had the opportunity to really grow.

2:22:0.630 --> 2:22:4.880

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Amongst themselves. So it's exciting work, lots to learn.

2:22:6.330 --> 2:22:36.400

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Within, you know, in my short time with the program I joined them and well, it's been almost a year and it was September, October of last year, but a lot of moving pieces politically charged at times as you can only imagine when we're talking in terms of taxes, but exciting and rewarding work to see how you know in this case we're helping facilitate.

2:22:37.140 --> 2:22:42.30

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

You know, a lot of support for our transportation efforts in this state and across.

2:22:43.350 --> 2:22:44.720

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

The nation, so.

2:22:46.660 --> 2:22:53.970

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

With that, I'm sure there's questions or always happy to come back, but I hope that was helpful.

2:22:55.530 --> 2:23:7.110

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

You know, Linda, one thing that stands out to me with \$1.6 billion, it would be nice if we could just get, like, a pittance of that. And then, you know, we'd be good to go, we'd be golden, right?

2:23:16.360 --> 2:23:17.70

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

I better.

2:23:7.640 --> 2:23:21.830

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Right, right. You know, I've had my hand out a few times and. And, you know, there are various partners who kind of slap it away. So it's like it's like that money has been claimed twice over a few times. So, you know.

2:23:23.290 --> 2:23:23.950

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

That's true.

2:23:25.140 --> 2:23:25.820

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Thanks Lynn.

2:23:25.50 --> 2:23:30.450

rmanley@manleyarchitects.com

But it's strange. Yeah, Atlanta changed my perspective. Next time I get out on I-5, I'm.

2:23:31.230 --> 2:23:33.460

rmanley@manleyarchitects.com

I'm going to be having my eyes peeled for those.

2:23:34.280 --> 2:23:37.60

rmanley@manleyarchitects.com

Current stickers for the state of Washington that are.

2:23:36.730 --> 2:23:52.480

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

You know that that's funny. You mentioned that because when I did, when I did come and join the the program, I started looking at truckers as I passed them to see if I could find the if the sticker that's on the on the side of the the truck and they're there if you look.

2:23:53.700 --> 2:23:57.230

rmanley@manleyarchitects.com

And and if they're not, you're cutting down those license plates numbers, right?

2:23:56.710 --> 2:24:23.820

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Yeah, you know, that's a good point. You know, we do spend some time with our WSP partners at the scale House because that's where a lot of you know, roadside review of the truckers running up and down the road take place is at the the scale houses. And so we will partner with our WSP friends and make sure that truckers are registered, registered appropriately that they have the documentation that they need.

2:24:23.900 --> 2:24:45.630

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Umm to be running up and down the road, providing education and training that at those points of contact because we're really not here to trip anyone up, we want to make this as easy as possible while

also meeting our regulatory requirements. But I've got to spend some time in the scale houses and those are interesting beasts in their own right.

2:24:46.830 --> 2:24:51.420

Roch Manley

And I bet I bet. Well, thank you very much, Lynn, for.

2:24:51.170 --> 2:24:51.580

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Working.

2:24:52.80 --> 2:24:58.560

Roch Manley

Joining us in sharing about your work of your division or your.

2:24:57.900 --> 2:24:58.610

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Absolutely.

2:24:59.230 --> 2:25:0.410

Roch Manley

Group under the division.

2:25:0.920 --> 2:25:3.50

Briscoe, Lynn (DOL)

Yeah, absolutely. I'm glad to be here.

2:25:3.430 --> 2:25:4.70

Roch Manley

Yeah.

2:25:4.980 --> 2:25:10.40

Roch Manley

Uh. Any questions? Any other questions for Lynn's comments.

2:25:11.630 --> 2:25:14.900

Roch Manley

So if none our next.

2:25:15.700 --> 2:25:21.900

Roch Manley

Section is other business, second part 10 of the agenda.

2:25:23.140 --> 2:25:33.590

Roch Manley

And under 10.1, I don't know if Colin has joined us by phone at this point. I see one caller.

2:25:34.370 --> 2:25:34.720

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Umm.

2:25:34.540 --> 2:25:35.10

Roch Manley

Ah.

2:25:36.820 --> 2:25:38.660

Roch Manley

It's the caller is muted.

2:25:39.900 --> 2:25:40.250

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Here.

2:25:40.920 --> 2:25:43.490

Roch Manley

Sherry, do you know if we have Colin or not?

2:25:44.60 --> 2:25:46.680

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Yeah, that, that's him. But I don't know.

2:25:48.80 --> 2:25:51.200

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

I know he was getting on a ferry so.

2:25:52.390 --> 2:25:52.720

Roch Manley

Right.

2:25:52.190 --> 2:25:56.160

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

And calling if you can hear us and you can unmute your phone.

2:25:58.840 --> 2:26:0.10

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Or I guess?

2:26:1.190 --> 2:26:1.840

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Yeah.

2:26:1.760 --> 2:26:2.10

Colin Jones

I.

2:26:2.730 --> 2:26:3.430

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

There you are.

2:26:4.330 --> 2:26:4.920

Roch Manley

Colin.

2:26:5.630 --> 2:26:7.660

Colin Jones

Hello I'm not muted they say.

2:26:10.140 --> 2:26:12.550

Roch Manley

OK. Well, we can hear you now, Colin.

2:26:13.340 --> 2:26:20.890

Colin Jones

Very good. There's a foghorn at work above me because of the fog we're in, but I'll try.

2:26:21.810 --> 2:26:23.810

Roch Manley

Alright, awesome. Awesome is.

2:26:23.260 --> 2:26:34.670

Colin Jones

I followed the meeting very well throughout the my waiting in good reception. So now we're entering questionable reception and we shall endeavor to Sally forth.

2:26:36.90 --> 2:26:41.80

Roch Manley

Good, good, good to hear your voice and glad you're safely on the ferry.

2:26:44.10 --> 2:26:49.280

Roch Manley

The Shari I just say from my standpoint.

2:26:51.100 --> 2:26:52.350

Roch Manley

I'm gonna miss.

2:26:53.130 --> 2:26:54.880

Roch Manley

Colin, he's stepping down.

2:26:55.560 --> 2:27:3.570

Roch Manley

Uh, he's he's been a a good resource to me and a good companion at some of these annual business meetings and so forth.

2:27:5.90 --> 2:27:14.570

Roch Manley

Good, good guidance. And I said in a good example for me and his committee work over the years, which I should probably do a better job following.

2:27:16.140 --> 2:27:16.570

Roch Manley

But.

2:27:16.650 --> 2:27:20.330

Roch Manley

But what do you and Rick have?

2:27:21.210 --> 2:27:21.840

Roch Manley

For call.

2:27:23.510 --> 2:27:34.300

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

You know, one of the things I just want to emphasize, Colin, I've so appreciated your your work with the board and I'm excited that this isn't actually the end of the line for you because you've.

2:27:43.190 --> 2:27:43.940

Colin Jones

That's correct.

2:27:35.910 --> 2:27:43.960

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Indicated that you're willing to continue to serve on committees, so we have you, I think if I remember right on the model Lock committee and so.

2:27:45.30 --> 2:27:45.390

Roch Manley

Great.

2:27:44.40 --> 2:27:54.270

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Umm, you know, you've really added a lot to the board in some of those areas in the past and I really appreciate your willingness to continue to help us out as we move forward with those things.

2:27:55.140 --> 2:28:6.660

Colin Jones

Well, it'll be. It'll be a great pleasure. And I was feeling great. Nostalgia, listening to the board proceedings and continually reminding myself, don't talk, don't talk. You're just the public.

2:28:9.70 --> 2:28:30.280

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

But a pretty awesome public and and you know, we couldn't thank you enough. You know, it's like at one point we were talking about the wabo piece, right. And I think back to the Green Book. And I remember when I first came on and you were such a key part of the board, back when that whole thing was being developed. And you've been such an advocate.

2:28:31.640 --> 2:28:50.520

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

In in that space I can remember times when we were talking about the Green Book and you you would bring in conversations regarding building officials that you'd worked with and that you'd established good relationships with and engaging in some of that conversation and communication that we want to keep going.

2:28:52.580 --> 2:28:53.720

Colin Jones

I'll help where I can.

2:29:8.80 --> 2:29:8.480

Colin Jones

Good.

2:28:55.180 --> 2:29:11.30

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Outstanding. Well, I think Shari's projected on a screen in since you're on the phone, you probably can't see, but we've got a a thank you from the director that's being sent to your way. And then Sherry, do you have a plaque as well?

2:29:12.140 --> 2:29:12.700

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Mm-hmm.

2:29:17.250 --> 2:29:32.140

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

It says on behalf of the Washington State Board for Architects, this award is presented to Colin Jones in recognition of contributions and intuitive and leadership as a member of the Washington State Board for Architects.

2:29:32.820 --> 2:29:55.110

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

So I'll be sending that along with the letter from the director Colin. Then I'm. I'm glad you're staying with this. You're not gone totally yet. But I look forward to still working for with you on the Model Law. So I'll put these in the mail. Probably. Maybe I'll go in this afternoon and get them in the mail for you.

2:29:55.850 --> 2:29:56.430

Roch Manley

Yeah.

2:29:57.200 --> 2:29:57.610

Colin Jones

Alright.

2:29:56.540 --> 2:30:17.80

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

And and meanwhile, Colin, I've got to say that, uh, as people have made a few comments about you, you

know on these these zoom and team screens, people make little like clapping hands and hard start floating around your screen and you've gotten quite a few claps and quite a few floating hearts across our screen over these last couple of minutes.

2:30:17.990 --> 2:30:20.870

Colin Jones

Well, thanks, Rick. That's very hard warming, really. I mean it.

2:30:21.980 --> 2:30:22.230

Roch Manley

And.

2:30:21.860 --> 2:30:22.620

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Absolutely.

2:30:22.170 --> 2:30:35.560

Colin Jones

I thank all of you for the times we spent together and I do look forward to getting to know Paul and Erica little bit and perhaps in the interim with our work on caputi committees and either in series or parallel.

2:30:36.470 --> 2:30:40.470

Colin Jones

So thanks again for all the good times.

2:30:41.620 --> 2:30:42.230

Roch Manley

Yeah.

2:30:41.810 --> 2:30:44.750

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

And and so are you on the ferry out to the San Juan's? Uh.

2:30:45.850 --> 2:30:51.720

Colin Jones

Yeah, yeah. We're we're sailing through the fog at the moment, blowing our very loud fog one.

2:30:52.450 --> 2:30:55.820

Colin Jones

In hopes that we don't get to see anything emerging out of the fog.

2:30:57.440 --> 2:31:6.440

Roch Manley

Yeah, well, hopefully, hopefully. If you see anything, it's just a whale in the water or something more interesting than a boat coming right at you.

2:31:7.130 --> 2:31:9.820

Colin Jones

Yeah, yeah, we can hope for that. They're up here.

2:31:9.550 --> 2:31:9.840

Roch Manley

Yes.

2:31:10.830 --> 2:31:11.400

Roch Manley

Yep, Yep.

2:31:12.180 --> 2:31:18.290

Roch Manley

Well, that's thank. Thank you. Thank you again, Colin, I am personally I'm gonna.

2:31:19.10 --> 2:31:29.300

Roch Manley

Miss working with you on the board, having you on the board and your your insight and your experience and your thoroughness and and.

2:31:30.160 --> 2:31:32.690

Roch Manley

Passion for all things.

2:31:33.930 --> 2:31:36.80

Roch Manley

In boards so.

2:31:37.280 --> 2:31:44.230

Roch Manley

Hope I get to work with you on one of your continuing committee assignments.

2:31:46.180 --> 2:31:47.880

Colin Jones

Likewise, roch. Thanks.

2:31:47.660 --> 2:31:48.150

Roch Manley

Yeah.

2:31:49.830 --> 2:31:50.840

Roch Manley

So awesome.

2:31:52.770 --> 2:31:54.540

Roch Manley

So, Shari.

2:31:55.240 --> 2:32:0.990

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Does it? Does anybody else any of the other board members have anything else? Anything else they wanna say or?

2:32:2.10 --> 2:32:3.190

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Before we move forward.

2:32:4.60 --> 2:32:35.610

Sian Roberts

I I this is Sean, Colin and I just wanna I I don't know, maybe I don't have anything to add except just to reemphasize what a pleasure has been working with you on the board and and actually how relieved I am because when I saw your name pop up for the committee for the Model Law, I kind of freaked out for a second because I thought Oh no, he's leaving. What are we gonna do with all that great historic knowledge on kind of where we've been and some of the things we've already thought about before that we don't need to think about again. So anyway we'll we'll definitely miss your perspective on the board but.

2:32:35.750 --> 2:32:40.770

Sian Roberts

I'm really relieved to know that you're gonna be part of our committee going forward. Thanks for everything.

2:32:40.40 --> 2:32:42.60

Colin Jones

Thanks a lot, Sian. Thank you.

2:32:47.130 --> 2:32:47.530

Colin Jones

Eric.

2:32:41.650 --> 2:33:10.380

Rick Benner

And I I'd also echo that call on that. This is Rick Banner that I appreciated your guidance and advice when I was a new newbie coming in and direction and again working with you on some of the subcommittees and their work really enjoying those times, we had it various places around the country and your friendship in.

2:33:12.70 --> 2:33:14.300

Rick Benner

Networking so thank you.

2:33:15.330 --> 2:33:16.990

Harm, Scott

Yeah, and and like to just.

2:33:15.610 --> 2:33:17.530

Colin Jones

Yeah. Thank you. Really have enjoyed it.

2:33:18.130 --> 2:33:46.140

Harm, Scott

Just like to reiterate what everybody else is saying, I, you know, being the chatty one of the group who's often seems to open his mouth without thinking it. It was always a pleasure hearing you call and talk because it's it really felt like, oh, this guy actually thinks about what he's about to say before he says it. I should learn something from this. So you were a guidepost for me. I kind of probably ignored it more often than I should have, but it was a.

2:33:45.60 --> 2:33:50.900

Colin Jones

Well, well, I'm grateful. I'm pleased you did. Ignore it, Scott. It would have been very, very quiet sometimes.

2:33:52.840 --> 2:33:56.550

Harm, Scott

Yeah, yeah, that's the luck to everything. Yeah, it's it's been a great pleasure.

2:33:58.430 --> 2:33:58.660

Paul Wu

Uh.

2:33:57.700 --> 2:34:1.490

Colin Jones

I'm relieved. We're. I'm relieved to report that we're breaking out of the fog right now.

2:34:3.330 --> 2:34:23.480

Paul Wu

OK, Colin, I didn't get to work with you a lot since I was a newbie, but I echo all the sentiments that was expressed by the board and I do think that we got big shoes to fill and a lot more ahead and I look forward to crossing paths with you.

2:34:24.170 --> 2:34:25.950

Colin Jones

Yes, likewise Paul. Thank you.

2:34:25.700 --> 2:34:26.600

Paul Wu

That take.

2:34:30.950 --> 2:34:32.280

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Scott, did you have something else?

2:34:32.720 --> 2:34:33.620

Roch Manley

Yeah, your hands up.

2:34:34.640 --> 2:34:38.510

Harm, Scott

That was that was now acting without thinking that that was that was a screw.

2:34:38.200 --> 2:34:38.630

Paul Wu

Yeah.

2:34:40.10 --> 2:34:41.970

Roch Manley

OK, well.

2:34:42.690 --> 2:34:49.0

Roch Manley

Colin. Colin. I hope you're able to stay through a little bit more of the meeting and don't get cut off.

2:34:50.10 --> 2:34:50.470

Roch Manley

Umm.

2:34:52.680 --> 2:34:57.150

Roch Manley

If anyone has any further.

2:35:0.170 --> 2:35:1.220

Roch Manley

Words for Colin.

2:35:1.700 --> 2:35:2.190

Roch Manley

Uh.

2:35:3.190 --> 2:35:5.120

Roch Manley

Go ahead, and if not.

2:35:5.870 --> 2:35:6.460

Roch Manley

Uh.

2:35:7.150 --> 2:35:12.420

Roch Manley

Shari, I think we have something else on this other business.

2:35:13.160 --> 2:35:13.710

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Yes.

2:35:13.820 --> 2:35:15.70

Roch Manley

Under 10.1.

2:35:15.420 --> 2:35:16.990

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Yep, you ready?

2:35:17.530 --> 2:35:19.450

Roch Manley

Yes, yes.

2:35:20.660 --> 2:35:21.340

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

OK.

2:35:20.830 --> 2:35:24.100

Roch Manley

Ah, OK, we we have a.

2:35:24.800 --> 2:35:27.190

rmanley@manleyarchitects.com

Mr Rick's Storwick, who is.

2:35:27.920 --> 2:35:28.380

Roch Manley

And.

2:35:30.0 --> 2:35:30.310

Roch Manley

Umm.

2:35:29.50 --> 2:35:30.410

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Uh, why?

2:35:32.260 --> 2:35:32.440

Paul Wu (Guest)

Yeah.

2:35:32.850 --> 2:35:35.820

Roch Manley

Who has totally abandoned us.

2:35:37.460 --> 2:35:41.860

Roch Manley

Now I'm. I'm so happy for you, Rick. Personally, that you're.

2:35:42.340 --> 2:35:44.910

Roch Manley

Uh, you're able to do this now?

2:35:46.250 --> 2:35:48.390

Roch Manley

Have the time with your family have the time.

2:35:48.670 --> 2:35:52.380

rmanley@manleyarchitects.com

OH away from the board we've all.

2:35:52.940 --> 2:35:59.530

Roch Manley

Uh, valued your guidance and knowing you can know you as a person.

2:36:1.390 --> 2:36:4.720

Roch Manley

So we put this resolution together.

2:36:5.830 --> 2:36:6.340

Roch Manley

And.

2:36:7.440 --> 2:36:8.30

Roch Manley

Maybe.

2:36:9.230 --> 2:36:14.370

rmanley@manleyarchitects.com

Randomly choose Rick Benner would you like to read the resolution out loud?

2:36:21.740 --> 2:36:22.970

Roch Manley

Uh, yeah.

2:36:23.390 --> 2:36:24.20

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Here.

2:36:18.210 --> 2:36:48.880

Rick Benner

Here, I'll be glad to if I can read it, I'll try on my screen so the resolution is whereas the Washington State Board of Architects has had a great had the great fortune and benefit of leadership from Mr Rick

Storvick as board executive director for the period spanning the years 2015 through 2022. And whereas Mr. Storvick has during his tenure been the cornerstone of the work of the board.

2:36:49.0 --> 2:37:18.540

Rick Benner

And its members and executing their duties, protecting life safety and welfare of the public by regulating the practice of architecture under law and rule of the state of Washington. And whereas those individuals, both staff and volunteer board members associated with the association, the organization have benefited from and flourished under the thoughtful and unflagging guidance of Mr. Storvick. And whereas Mr. Storvick has there.

2:37:19.350 --> 2:37:32.140

Rick Benner

Through his leadership, provided and accommodating environment where individual board members of and the board collectively have thrived and maximized potential in their volunteer roles.

2:37:33.360 --> 2:37:50.980

Rick Benner

Now therefore be it resolved that all board members and staff that do now or have in the past served under Mr. Storvick make non their appreciation for the attention, dedication and various significant contributions to the orderly and.

2:37:52.940 --> 2:37:53.830

rmanley@manleyarchitects.com

So lubricious.

2:37:53.180 --> 2:38:19.710

Rick Benner

Slivers, slivers conduct of the board provided by Mr. Richard Storvick, be it further resolved that a copy of the resolution is to be included in the minutes of the meeting of July 21st, 2022, and then a copy is to be given to Mr. Rick Storvick and witness there of the members of the Washington State Board for Architects have fixed their signatures on this 21st day of July 2022.

2:38:20.630 --> 2:38:21.760

Rick Benner

Congratulations.

2:38:27.660 --> 2:38:28.40

Paul Wu (Guest)

Umm.

2:38:22.450 --> 2:38:31.780

Roch Manley

Yeah. Thank. Thank you. Thank you, Rick. As outgoing board member for reading that or I'm sorry, outgoing PAT or past chair, excuse me.

2:38:33.350 --> 2:38:34.570

Roch Manley

Getting ahead of myself here.

2:38:34.500 --> 2:38:36.560

Rick Benner

I might get there sooner than you think.

2:38:36.350 --> 2:38:36.830

Roch Manley

Yes.

2:38:39.50 --> 2:38:40.150

Roch Manley

Right, right.

2:38:40.980 --> 2:38:41.370

Roch Manley

And.

2:38:42.330 --> 2:38:42.640

Roch Manley

Uh.

2:38:40.840 --> 2:38:43.100

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Ohh that's awesome.

2:38:44.730 --> 2:38:45.460

Roch Manley

So.

2:38:45.770 --> 2:38:48.320

Roch Manley

Uh, so Shari.

2:38:48.660 --> 2:38:49.150

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Yes.

2:38:54.870 --> 2:38:55.420

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Mm-hmm.

2:38:49.530 --> 2:38:58.280

Roch Manley

I think we have something else and then maybe everybody can share some thoughts about Rick's departure.

2:38:59.320 --> 2:39:0.60

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Yes.

2:39:3.410 --> 2:39:6.550

Roch Manley

I have a nice you wanna describe that?

2:39:8.530 --> 2:39:11.20

Roch Manley

How much, Sian? How about Sian?

2:39:11.700 --> 2:39:12.870

Roch Manley

You wanna describe that?

2:39:12.260 --> 2:39:43.310

Sian Roberts

Yes, I will describe that that is a gift card from the Mariners team store for our favorite baseball fan, Mr Storvik, and placed inside. Of course, the stadium bag that you will need these days in order to enter the stadium, and we hope that you have and guess that you have plans to visit this particular location in your retirement at many, many points in the future. So hopefully this will.

2:39:44.150 --> 2:40:14.480

Sian Roberts

Get you and your loved ones in for a nice evening at the ballpark and it is a very small token, so I will guess I will say my words right now of our appreciation rec for your leadership, for this board you were there when I got here. So you've been here my entire 10 year on the board and I just really appreciated your guidance initially and you kind of getting me up to speed with what it meant to be on the board. And also just your.

2:40:29.380 --> 2:40:29.790

Paul Wu

Umm.

2:40:14.740 --> 2:40:33.940

Sian Roberts

Umm, your thoughtful guidance to us understanding what our role is helping us navigate through the world of the state of Washington that that you are embedded in and understands so much better your ability to?

2:40:35.20 --> 2:40:52.370

Sian Roberts

To be able to get, you know, all of the support really throughout you, you throughout the entire staff and organization that we need to serve in our roles the best we can. So yeah, thank you so much and congratulations I mean so, so happy for you.

2:40:54.670 --> 2:40:56.700

Sian Roberts

And now, as somebody else jumped in.

2:40:59.400 --> 2:41:1.890

Roch Manley

Well, it's Scott. Never has anything to say so.

2:41:3.200 --> 2:41:22.210

Harm, Scott

No, no, I you know I I go back Rick and and I foot fought between famous famous Romans being I think long beaches maybe one of the first things we may have done together but then I quickly go to walking laps in San Antonio in the rain.

2:41:22.950 --> 2:41:30.60

Harm, Scott

I'm looking for some way to entertain ourselves with Wayne from with Wayne is Wisconsin. If I remember correctly.

2:41:31.280 --> 2:42:0.880

Harm, Scott

You know it and just watching you grow in your position. I had the pleasure of coming on before you were in your current position and watch you grow into it. And you seem to do it very effortlessly, which was kind of fun to watch because I knew probably that your duties and responsibilities were exponentially growing. But you handled it with class and then serving with you on the on the startup of the features collaborative and delighted that you were.

2:42:0.970 --> 2:42:14.760

Harm, Scott

It shows in his chair for her, I think at least two years and if someone said you have a I think it was Paul saying you have a future in politics and just and just watching the way you handle them all those freaking intellects that we were in the room with.

2:42:14.840 --> 2:42:37.150

Harm, Scott

Umm was just a kick in the pants. You know, most of the time I'm sitting there going in. And why was I invited to this party? You know, kind of a thing, but you handled it with grace and class. So, you know, getting to know you and your wife and you and your kids as well. I consider you not only a colleague but a dear friend and wish you nothing but the best of luck.

2:42:43.230 --> 2:42:43.640

Roch Manley

Nice.

2:42:43.340 --> 2:42:44.30

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

A huge.

2:42:45.790 --> 2:42:46.640

Rick Benner

And I guess all.

2:42:46.420 --> 2:43:3.20

Paul Wu

Well, right, I think a lot has been said and I'm glad that I got to meet you in person in Austin and I tried to see you at your retirement. You didn't show up. But I had the cake and got to meet your son, so.

2:43:3.730 --> 2:43:12.940

Paul Wu

I hope he has a told you what I told him to tell you, which is? You're not retired. You just going to different? We're different hats.

2:43:13.680 --> 2:43:14.10

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Umm.

2:43:15.340 --> 2:43:15.810

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

You bet.

2:43:17.490 --> 2:43:49.120

Rick Benner

And I guess, Rick, the I came on before your time, but again appreciated all your knowledge and depth of how to walk through government and how to handle your encouragement about participating in end carb and the many conferences and things we went to together. And I always appreciate that time your interest in Western Washington University.

2:43:49.450 --> 2:44:14.270

Rick Benner

And the Vikings and what they were up to and what the campus was up to. And so I just appreciated all that you did in supporting me in the board. And I'm sure you'll like, everybody has said that your role was much broader than what I can imagine. But you seem to always have the time for me whenever I needed it. So again, thank you very much.

2:44:17.790 --> 2:44:21.230

Roch Manely

Is colin still on the line?

2:44:21.950 --> 2:44:23.710

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

No, I think he dropped off.

2:44:24.80 --> 2:44:25.660

Roch Manley

OK, that's good.

2:44:25.50 --> 2:44:27.800

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

I think he I think he dropped off, yeah.

2:44:28.70 --> 2:44:29.240

Roch Manley

Yeah, yeah.

2:44:28.340 --> 2:44:29.630

Rick Benner

You had to get off the plane or.

2:44:28.960 --> 2:44:32.920

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

And and then we have Erica, who's never even got to meet him in person.

2:44:34.400 --> 2:44:34.690

Roch Manley

Right.

2:44:33.380 --> 2:44:50.400

Erica Loynd

Yeah, I agree. You you have shown me the bar. I said hi. I hope that I can fulfill the footsteps that you guys are saying and disappointed I didn't get a meat or work with Colin. And you write it as much but look forward to the joining with everybody. The compassion is real here. It's great.

2:44:53.430 --> 2:44:53.830

Roch Manley

As.

2:44:53.140 --> 2:44:58.590

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Well, I I've got to say working with this group has just been a fun nominal experience for me.

2:45:3.60 --> 2:45:3.410

Paul Wu

What?

2:44:58.730 --> 2:45:12.140

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Uh, and you're kindness in in kind words. I mean, to even get a kind word out of Scott is just like I'm gonna treasure it. My wife in the other room listening behind and.

2:45:13.410 --> 2:45:43.200

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Yeah. I just really appreciate it. Some of you know, some of you don't know. I mean, when I was a kid, I wanted to be an architect, right. When I was in middle school, I took drafting classes. And I just thought that would be the coolest thing in the world. By the time I got to high school. And I learned it being an architect meant like, more than four years of school, and it meant taking an impossible exam. And then

it would take you forever. I quickly decided the architect is the last thing in the world. I want it to be. But I still had that love.

2:45:43.270 --> 2:45:54.950

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Of design and wanting to do things. And So what a surprise to me when I came to the state and one of the boards I got to work with was architects. And it's been an absolute pleasure.

2:45:56.480 --> 2:46:11.810

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

You know, you all bring back a lot of memories for me, Sean. I love the conversations about following your son and baseball and the adventures I shared in that my youngest son played very competitive ball and.

2:46:12.410 --> 2:46:42.500

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

You know, and I have to say some of you probably know, maybe some don't. I do tend to go to some ball games, but it's not my fault. The great lover of baseball and my house is my wife, who grew up with a dad who taught her about baseball watching baseball every Saturday morning. I mean, she grew up thinking that the LA Dodgers and Cincinnati Reds were the best thing around. And so with her, we have been to all Major League ballparks.

2:46:42.580 --> 2:46:55.500

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

With the exception of Chase Field in Arizona, which we were scheduled to go to and we were gonna go to spring training, in Peoria in spring of 2020.

2:46:56.60 --> 2:47:5.530

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Umm. And so it got cancelled. I think that's it. Got cancelled like 2 weeks before we were about to leave her. So. So that'll be fun.

2:47:7.60 --> 2:47:27.840

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

And along the baseball themes, I don't know. Scott actually gave to me a baseball seats that are kind of like baseball seats out of the Tacoma Rainiers stadium, where he was an architect. His firm working on that stadium. And he had a couple sample chairs. And so when he abandoned his old.

2:47:27.920 --> 2:47:42.770

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Uh office. He had these two sample chairs, so I have four seats from the Tacoma Rainiers Stadium. They sit on my deck and my wife and I sit in those and listen to Mariner Ball games and go. Wow, aren't we cool?

2:47:43.110 --> 2:47:50.940

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Umm. And occasionally will put like a hot dog on the grill so that we can really kind of live large for a little bit, but.

2:47:52.140 --> 2:48:17.470

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

No, this is this has been a grand adventure. I think I am so convinced that board members that work together and you work on those regional and national things, that's where we have an opportunity for input and so many of you have in this board demonstrate that long before I ever came along, because I still remember Scott and I are first regional summit was in San Antonio.

2:48:18.480 --> 2:48:36.820

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

And we are in a West card meeting and they said we want everybody who volunteered this last year to stand up. We'd like to recognize you or raise your hand or something. And I looked at Scott. And Scott looked at me and we were the only ones not standing up in the room and thought what kind of organization is this? I didn't even know you could volunteer, right.

2:48:51.990 --> 2:48:52.680

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Umm.

2:48:38.410 --> 2:49:7.360

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

But pretty much we've had everybody involved and it's been a pleasure. So thank you for the recognition. Much to my surprise, I I'm just here to like doing my last board meeting, right, you know, but you guys have, you all have made it quite special. And I'm so excited. I've mentioned it before. I think the staff you have coming along to support you is just an outstanding staff. I sometimes I look and go, geez, they're gonna be.

2:49:10.740 --> 2:49:11.510

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

You know.

2:49:7.470 --> 2:49:12.30

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Thank God. Yeah, they should have gotten rid of Rick a long time ago. Look at these people that are coming in.

2:49:13.670 --> 2:49:24.450

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Although I did ask my peers, Lynn was on earlier with you. I did talk to my peers last week and I suggested when they interviewed the next administrator, would they please?

2:49:36.630 --> 2:49:37.610

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

OH.NCA

2:49:24.900 --> 2:49:54.970

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Umm, you know, really vote for like the worst candidate because I I really like them to hire somebody and go jeez, are we really Miss Rick? You know, he was so good. That will we end up with this person.

Right. But I think the future will be good. I'm looking forward to some things. I am looking forward to. I'll continue volunteering with NCARB by will continue to chair the Futures Collaborative for another year. So you might see me around a little bit here and there but thank you all so very very much and you're good.

2:49:55.770 --> 2:49:56.790

Paul Wu

Thank you. Yeah.

2:49:55.60 --> 2:49:57.40

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

The gift card to good use from 28.

2:49:57.870 --> 2:50:1.850

Paul Wu

Thank you. Right. You still have a chance to be architect will have alternate routes.

2:50:3.300 --> 2:50:3.980

Paul Wu

And this day.

2:50:2.90 --> 2:50:4.460

Roch Manley

Right, right. Alternate paths.

2:50:4.760 --> 2:50:5.50

Paul Wu

Yes.

2:50:6.230 --> 2:50:6.730

Harm, Scott

Good point.

2:50:24.900 --> 2:50:25.310

Paul Wu

Yeah.

2:50:5.140 --> 2:50:28.450

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

That's right. You know? And with Paul, with you popping in there, I can't help but. But thank you for all the stories you've told about your life adventures and the one that I learned about Paul was the Ducati and that he had a motorcycle that went really fast and he totaled it. But he still alive, walking and telling the story. So Paul keep telling your stories. They're great entertainment.

2:50:29.230 --> 2:50:29.650

Paul Wu

Thank you.

2:50:28.720 --> 2:50:31.520

Harm, Scott

He was at a race. It was at the race on the Isle of Man.

2:50:31.600 --> 2:50:32.70

Harm, Scott

Again.

2:50:35.650 --> 2:50:36.20

Paul Wu

Make it.

2:50:35.750 --> 2:50:36.720

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

OH.

2:50:37.990 --> 2:50:38.370

Roch Manley

OK.

2:50:39.40 --> 2:50:39.870

Roch Manley

Well, awesome.

2:50:39.350 --> 2:50:40.20

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Good to know.

2:50:41.720 --> 2:50:42.200

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

I.

2:50:41.880 --> 2:50:42.730

Roch Manely

How you are.

2:50:48.260 --> 2:50:48.630

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Umm.

2:50:42.840 --> 2:51:3.490

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

So this is Elizabeth and I just want to throw just five seconds in to say thank you so much to Rick and you guys. I advise you know quite a number of boards and Rick is the steadiest you know, smartest thought, most thoughtful administrator that I've had the pleasure to work with.

2:51:4.850 --> 2:51:14.40

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

You've been so fortunate, and I've been so fortunate to work with him. I've learned a lot from you and.

2:51:14.950 --> 2:51:25.700

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

I hope I can continue with the lessons that I've learned and your thoughtful demeanor, and I wish you the very, very best for your.

2:51:26.810 --> 2:51:29.270

Lagerberg, Elizabeth E (ATG)

A new hats that are coming up for you.

2:51:30.440 --> 2:51:30.960

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Thank you.

2:51:33.100 --> 2:51:33.680

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Absolutely.

2:51:34.960 --> 2:51:35.950

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Thanks, Elizabeth.

2:51:36.180 --> 2:51:37.510

Roch Manley

Yeah. Thank. Thanks, Elizabeth.

2:51:38.380 --> 2:51:41.290

Roch Manley

And I know it's a cliche, but.

2:51:42.660 --> 2:51:49.40

Roch Manley

You. You're leaving some pretty big shoes to fill, Rick. And now I was just sitting here thinking we're on teams.

2:51:49.860 --> 2:51:57.950

Roch Manley

Uh, we've survived this through a pandemic, and I've never really given much thought to.

2:51:58.950 --> 2:52:13.20

Roch Manley

Of what that probably is meant from the professional Department of licensing side and administrative issues that you've had to deal with that we don't even see as board members. So well done, Rick.

2:52:13.690 --> 2:52:14.150

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Mm-hmm.

2:52:13.660 --> 2:52:23.310

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Well, thank you. Well and the great thing rock is actually I don't have any shoes for anybody to feel after these last two and a half years. I have a big pair of slippers. That's it.

2:52:23.200 --> 2:52:23.750

Roch Manley

No.

2:52:24.230 --> 2:52:24.700

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Like.

2:52:24.750 --> 2:52:25.410

Roch Manley

I like it.

2:52:26.460 --> 2:52:26.850

Roch Manley

Like it?

2:52:28.80 --> 2:52:28.510

Roch Manley

No.

2:52:27.620 --> 2:52:28.550

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Ohh.

2:52:29.650 --> 2:52:30.610

Roch Manley

Well.

2:52:31.390 --> 2:52:31.830

Roch Manley

Umm.

2:52:33.640 --> 2:52:35.500

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

So back, yeah.

2:52:33.620 --> 2:52:37.820

Roch Manley

So hard, hard, hard to move on it really.

2:52:37.220 --> 2:52:43.820

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

It is hard. Yeah. We have two last things and there are I didn't capture her any action items.

2:52:52.750 --> 2:52:53.320

Harm, Scott

No.

2:52:53.80 --> 2:52:53.480

Sian Roberts

So.

2:52:54.370 --> 2:52:54.840

Roch Manley

And that.

2:52:54.470 --> 2:52:55.100

Harm, Scott

I don't think so.

2:52:54.600 --> 2:52:55.930

Sian Roberts

I just have.

2:52:44.690 --> 2:52:58.840

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

I that would be at first I that I there was no action items that I know of unless. Did you guys did I miss something? I don't think so. And then for agenda item there wasn't any but we will what?

2:53:0.850 --> 2:53:1.500

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Sorry, Sean.

2:53:17.370 --> 2:53:18.360

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Oh Umm.

2:52:59.710 --> 2:53:21.480

Sian Roberts

Sorry, sorry. I was just gonna. I was just gonna add add one thing. It's very, very anticlimactic after this lovely recognition that we've had. But I did wanna report back on the fact that we did have the session with a Washington Council. I don't believe we've reported back on that yet. And I people from.

2:53:33.280 --> 2:53:33.510

Erica Loynd

Yeah.

2:53:22.180 --> 2:53:54.890

Sian Roberts

Uh, from Ncarb joined me and Paul and some staff and Rick to and Erica was there as well in a in a different capacity to be able to answer questions basically about licensure and what's going on at N carbon. And it was great. We got some great questions and we're able to provide some guidance. I think the one question that came up that I wanted specifically to mention to this board is again, I know that we know that one of the differences between.

2:53:55.290 --> 2:54:4.130

Sian Roberts

Our law and in NCARB Model Law is the amount of time you need to be licensed before you can serve on the board, so that was brought up not, not necessarily.

2:54:4.770 --> 2:54:33.460

Sian Roberts

In, I don't think people were really aware of the law and the way that it reads it was more. Would it be beneficial to the board to have younger voices on the board? So, as we begin to think about model on how it relates to our law, we might want to also consider what that looks like for board makeup. And anyway, I just thought it was a, it was a comment that we should maybe discuss and deliberate as we go forward. I know we can't change the law. Elizabeth, I know I understand that.

2:54:35.100 --> 2:55:4.390

Sian Roberts

But again, there may be some. There may be some action from AI watching Council and from other from the profession to for us to consider that and then also just wanted to mention that we had we hosted a happy hour at Miller Hall for the Young Architects Forum and Women in Architecture Group talked a little bit to the Young Architects Forum because that was another group that we had talked about having some sort of event or outreach to.

2:55:4.450 --> 2:55:19.490

Sian Roberts

And so we're just starting to think about that. I now have a connection and I'm thinking maybe sometime early next year since we just had the AI Washington Council event, but maybe sometime early next year we might think about planning an event with the Young Architects Forum and.

2:55:20.730 --> 2:55:30.100

Sian Roberts

And you know, maybe plan a little bit more ahead about what we might wanna get out of that group in terms of feedback as well, so.

2:55:30.830 --> 2:55:38.480

Sian Roberts

That was my report. I don't know if anybody who was at the Washington Council meeting wants to add anything else to that.

2:55:41.340 --> 2:55:44.680

Paul Wu

Now you pretty much summed it up. Nothing to add.

2:55:45.860 --> 2:55:47.800

Sian Roberts

Great. Thanks Paul. Thanks for attending it with me.

2:55:53.610 --> 2:55:54.110

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Pardon me.

2:55:48.530 --> 2:55:55.960

Roch Manley

Yeah, and thank thanks for bringing that up to Sian. I think there may have been a couple of potential.

2:55:57.40 --> 2:56:6.340

Roch Manley

Future agenda items hidden in what you're just discussing, so keep those in mind for coming meetings.

2:56:9.740 --> 2:56:12.330

Roch Manley

See Shari.

2:56:13.300 --> 2:56:13.740

rmanley@manleyarchitects.com

Umm.

2:56:14.800 --> 2:56:19.510

Roch Manley

Are we? Have we completed a 10.2 and 10.3 then?

2:56:20.150 --> 2:56:20.790

Roch Manley

Shari.

2:56:20.390 --> 2:56:31.980

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Yeah, the only the only thing I have for will bring back. Well, we'll be on the next one is we'll be set in the calendar for 2023. So that'll be on for the agenda for next time, but that's all I got.

2:56:32.360 --> 2:56:48.70

Roch Manley

Yeah. And I think Sean and Paul mentioned some outreach issues and I think I think that would be as part of our calendar setting to be, you know, looking at when we might want to look at some outreach.

2:56:48.470 --> 2:56:48.830

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

OK.

2:56:49.160 --> 2:56:57.570

Roch Manley

Possibilities that you know now that people seem to be getting a little bit more relaxed about the pandemic mask wearing, et cetera, so.

2:56:58.550 --> 2:57:0.760

Roch Manley

Uh, so?

2:57:1.20 --> 2:57:1.330

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

OK.

2:57:2.30 --> 2:57:3.940

rmanley@manleyarchitects.com

Any, are there any other?

2:57:4.820 --> 2:57:6.280

Roch Manley

Other business items.

2:57:6.860 --> 2:57:36.230

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Actually, one other agenda item I would suggest, whether it's at your next meeting or the one following, that will be the mutual recognition agreement with the UK. I think the board will need to review exactly what's in it and make a determination of whether or not you want to recognize that agreement and be assignee. I anticipate once it's all done and card will be sending out something asking for who will officially be signing up as a signee to that agreement. So.

2:57:37.90 --> 2:57:37.590

Roch Manley

OK.

2:57:38.520 --> 2:57:39.80

Roch Manley

Very good.

2:57:42.920 --> 2:57:43.250

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Yes.

2:57:38.320 --> 2:57:43.650

Erica Loynd

And can I add one thing to that comment just earlier when you brought that up mutual agreement, somebody made the comment that?

2:57:44.950 --> 2:57:51.0

Erica Loynd

Nobody's used the mutual agreements on other countries and I have worked with somebody that did use the Australia, New Zealand.

2:57:51.780 --> 2:57:52.330

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Yeah.

2:57:51.770 --> 2:57:55.920

Erica Loynd

Mutual agreement to get license so it has happened. It's a really great thing.

2:58:2.10 --> 2:58:2.280

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

Right.

2:57:55.40 --> 2:58:10.120

Sian Roberts

Uh, yeah. I didn't say that nobody had used it, cause actually I've used it, I guess licensed to Canada, but I think it was more that some of the other agreements also have or a limitation that they'll only recognize people who have NAV accredited degrees.

2:58:11.160 --> 2:58:33.870

Storwick, Richard (DOL)

And the great part of that conversation to me is that if Sean has used the Canadian one, I believe that if I remember right, Paul also got licensed in Canada long before the mutual recognition agreement. And I think their stories as to how one gets licensed is vastly different and really speaks to the the value of those agreements.

2:58:35.710 --> 2:58:36.170

Paul Wu

Yes.

2:58:37.740 --> 2:58:38.50

Roch Manley

Good.

2:58:40.580 --> 2:58:41.360

Roch Manley

Alright.

2:58:43.140 --> 2:58:45.150

Roch Manley

If there is nothing else.

2:58:47.960 --> 2:58:50.810

Roch Manley

Item 11 is public comment.

2:58:52.450 --> 2:58:58.0

Roch Manley

Period and it's an opportunity for the board to receive comments from the public.

2:58:59.700 --> 2:59:10.940

Roch Manley

But the board may only direct staff to study the matter further if something is brought up or reschedule the matter for discussion and consideration at a later time.

2:59:12.0 --> 2:59:15.930

Roch Manley

Individual comments would be limited to three minutes each.

2:59:16.640 --> 2:59:21.40

Roch Manley

And if you'd like to make a comment, please unmute your mic.

2:59:21.960 --> 2:59:26.660

Roch Manley

The state your name for the record and share your comments with the board.

2:59:27.730 --> 2:59:37.160

Roch Manley

As a reminder, please mute your line once you've finished. So are there any comments from guests?

2:59:38.240 --> 2:59:42.60

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

They've there aren't any guests any longer. Rock there.

2:59:41.510 --> 2:59:42.970

Roch Manley

Ohh OK.

2:59:42.780 --> 2:59:43.370

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

There you go.

2:59:43.850 --> 2:59:49.430

Roch Manley

Yeah, I see a I see a circle with a + 11 in it, so I'm really not sure who's out there.

2:59:49.490 --> 2:59:53.490

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Yeah. No, no, there's no, no, no public comment. There's nobody here.

2:59:54.340 --> 2:59:54.950

Roch Manley

OK.

2:59:57.190 --> 3:0:0.530

Roch Manley

So it wasn't. It was very good to have Dan visiting.

3:0:3.830 --> 3:0:4.260

Honeywell, Shari (DOL)

Mm-hmm.

3:0:1.320 --> 3:0:4.730

Roch Manley

Uh and listening. And that was that's the.

3:0:6.900 --> 3:0:11.630

Roch Manley

So at item 12 is adjournment.

3:0:13.490 --> 3:0:14.220

Roch Manley

So.

3:0:14.940 --> 3:0:17.740

Roch Manley

Hearing that there is no public comment.

3:0:18.680 --> 3:0:22.330

Roch Manley

And having made her way through the agenda to this point.

3:0:23.710 --> 3:0:26.860

Roch Manley

The time is now 12:05 PM.

3:0:28.30 --> 3:0:28.940

Roch Manley

And.

3:0:30.240 --> 3:0:30.890

Roch Manley

I.

3:0:31.730 --> 3:0:33.730

Roch Manley

Adjourned the business meeting.

3:0:36.330 --> 3:0:36.660

Roch Manley

So.

3:0:37.90 --> 3:0:37.710

Rick Benner

Thanks Ron.

3:0:37.440 --> 3:0:37.850

Roch Manley

Yeah.