ROPOS
RULE MAKING

CR-102 (July 2022)
(Implements RCW 34.05.320)
Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Department of Licensing

☑ Original Notice
☐ Supplemental Notice to WSR _____
☐ Continuance of WSR _____

☑ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 23-14-116; or
☐ Expedited Rule Making--Proposed notice was filed as WSR _____; or
☐ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or
☐ Proposal is exempt under RCW _____.

Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) WAC 308-56A-275 Certification of signature and WAC 308-93-470 Certification of signatures

Hearing location(s):

Date: Time: Location: (be specific) Comment:
November 8, 2023 10:00 am Join Zoom Meeting
https://dol-wa.zoom.us/j/81285681948?pwd=QQUyV2l5eDh0QWZTYzBJRFRSYlZjd209
Meeting ID: 812 8568 1948
Passcode: 870613

If you are having difficulty joining the Zoom meeting at the time of the public hearing, please call 360-902-0131. An in-person option is available at the highways and licensing building located at 1125 Washington St SE, Olympia, WA 98504
Date of intended adoption: November 9, 2023 (Note: This is NOT the effective date)

Submit written comments to:
Name: Kelsey Stone
Address: 1125 Washington St SE Olympia, WA 98504
Email: rulescoordinator@dol.wa.gov
Fax:
Other:
By (date) November 7, 2023

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: Amending WAC 308-56A-275 and WAC 308-93-470 to clarify the certification process and eliminate outdated references to "operator numbers".

Reasons supporting proposal:
This clean up will help to simplify compliance for customers.

Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 46.01.110 Rule-making authority and RCW 88.02.320 Department – Powers and duties

Statute being implemented: WAC 308-56A-275 Certification of signature and WAC 308-93-470 Certification of signatures

Is rule necessary because of a:
 Federal Law? ☐ Yes ☒ No
 Federal Court Decision? ☐ Yes ☒ No
 State Court Decision? ☐ Yes ☒ No
If yes, CITATION:

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: N/A

Type of proponent: ☐ Private ☒ Public ☐ Governmental
Name of proponent: (person or organization) N/A

Name of agency personnel responsible for:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Office Location</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drafting:</td>
<td>Kelsey Stone 1125 Washington St SE Olympia, WA 98501 360-902-0131</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation:</td>
<td>Stacy Allen 1125 Washington St SE Olympia, WA 98501 360-902-0122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement:</td>
<td>Stacy Allen 1125 Washington St SE Olympia, WA 98501 360-902-0122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is a school district fiscal impact statement required under RCW 28A.305.135? ☐ Yes ☒ No
If yes, insert statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting:
Name:
Address:
Phone:
Fax:
TTY:
Email:
Other:

Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328? ☐ Yes ☒ No
☐ Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:
Name:
This rule does not constitute a “significant legislative rule” as defined in RCW 34.05.328. The rules under amendment are procedural rules, governing the consistency of agency internal operations as they relate to vehicle licensing. Additionally, no costs are imposed by the proposed amendments; amendments merely reflect current practice.

Regulatory Fairness Act and Small Business Economic Impact Statement
Note: The Governor’s Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance (ORIA) provides support in completing this part.

(1) Identification of exemptions:
This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, may be exempt from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see chapter 19.85 RCW). For additional information on exemptions, consult the exemption guide published by ORIA. Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):

☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted.

Citation and description:
☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this proposed rule.
☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was adopted by a referendum.
☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:

☐ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)
  (Internal government operations)
☐ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)
  (Incorporation by reference)
☒ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)
  (Correct or clarify language)
☐ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)
  (Dictated by statute)
☐ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)
  (Set or adjust fees)
☐ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)
  ((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)

☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.025(4) (does not affect small businesses).
☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW ______.

Explanation of how the above exemption(s) applies to the proposed rule: This rule corrects an outdated reference to a bureaucratic identifier that no longer exists. Removal of this reference does not change the effect of the rule.

(2) Scope of exemptions: Check one.
☒ The rule proposal is fully exempt (skip section 3). Exemptions identified above apply to all portions of the rule proposal.
☐ The rule proposal is partially exempt (complete section 3). The exemptions identified above apply to portions of the rule proposal, but less than the entire rule proposal. Provide details here (consider using this template from ORIA):
☐ The rule proposal is not exempt (complete section 3). No exemptions were identified above.

(3) Small business economic impact statement: Complete this section if any portion is not exempt.

If any portion of the proposed rule is not exempt, does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?

☐ No Briefly summarize the agency’s minor cost analysis and how the agency determined the proposed rule did not impose more-than-minor costs. ______
☐ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert the required small business economic impact statement here:

The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:

  Name: 
  Address: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phone:</th>
<th>Fax:</th>
<th>TTY:</th>
<th>Email:</th>
<th>Other:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>October 4, 2023</th>
<th>Signature:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name:</td>
<td>Ellis Starrett</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title:</td>
<td>Rules and Policy Manager</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>